

UNIVERSITY OF CENTRAL FLORIDA

Board of Trustees Meeting March 21, 2019 FAIRWINDS Alumni Center 9 a.m. – 4 p.m. Lunch 12 p.m. 800-442-5794, passcode 463796

REVISED AGENDA

COMMITTEE MEETINGS

9 – 9:30 a.m.

Compensation and Labor, John Sprouls, Chair

9:30 – 10 a.m.

Nominating and Governance, William Yeargin, Chair

10 – 10:45 a.m.

Educational Programs, Robert Garvy, Chair

10:45 a.m. – 12 p.m.

Finance and Facilities, Alex Martins, Chair

Please note that committee meetings may begin upon adjournment of previous meetings.

BOARD MEETING 1-4 p.m.

1. Welcome and call to order Robert Garvy, Chairman

2. Roll Call Grant J. Heston, Associate Corporate Secretary

3. Public Comment Grant J. Heston

4. Minutes of January 18, 2019, Chair Garvy January 24, 2019,

February 12, 2019 and February 21, 2019 meetings

5. Remarks and introductions Thad Seymour, Jr., Interim President

6. Reports Chair Garvy

INFO – 1 Information Central Florida Educator Federal Credit Union

Arena Name Change (Grant J. Heston, Chief of Staff

and Vice President for Communications and

Marketing)

	INFO – 2	Information	Board of Trustees Service to Direct Support Organization Boards (Margaret Jarrell-Cole, Associate Vice President, Direct Support Organizations)		
	7. Compensation and Labor Committee Report		Chair Sprouls		
	CL – 1	Approval	Interim President Agreement between the UCF Board of Trustees and Thaddeus Seymour, Jr. (Sprouls)		
	CL – 2	Approval	Termination of further payments to John Hitt pursuant to the Performance Unit Plan (Sprouls)		
8. Educational Programs Committee Report		grams Committee	Chair Garvy		
 Finance and Facilities Committee Report 		ilities Committee	Chair Martins		
	FF – 1	Approval	Update Signature Authority for Checks (Martins)		
	FF – 2	Approval	Autonomous Vehicle Shuttle Service and Minor Amendment to Align Campus Master Plan Update (Martins)		
10. Nominating and Governance Report		Governance	Chair Yeargin		
	NG – 1	Approval	Settlement and Release Agreement between the UCF Board of Trustees and Dale Whittaker (Yeargin)		
11. Consent Agenda			Chairman Garvy		
	EP – 1	Approval	Conferral of Degrees (Garvy)		
	EP-2	Approval	Tenure with Hire (Garvy)		
	EP – 3a	Approval	New Degree Program – Bachelor of Science in Senior Living Management (Garvy)		
	EP – 3b	Approval	New Degree Program – Master of Science in Systems Engineering (Garvy)		
	EP – 4	Approval	2019 Accountability Plan (Garvy)		

NG – 2 Approval Amendments to University Regulation UCF-2.009

Admission of International Students (Yeargin)

NG – 3 Approval Nomination of Judy Duda for Honorary Doctoral

Degree of Humane Letters (Yeargin)

12. Unfinished Business Chairman Garvy

13. New Business Chairman Garvy

14. Announcements and Chairman Garvy

Adjournment

Eternal Knights March 25, 2019

Memorial Service (Student Union, Pegasus Ballroom)

BOG meeting March 27 – 28, 2019

(Florida A&M University, Tallahassee)

Order of Pegasus Induction April 10, 2019

(Student Union, Pegasus Ballroom)

Spring Football Game April 13, 2019

(Spectrum Stadium)

Spring Commencement May 2 – 4, 2019

(CFE Arena)

BOT meeting May 16, 2019

(FAIRWINDS Alumni Center)

College of Medicine May 17, 2019

Commencement (The Venue at UCF)

Minutes Board of Trustees Meeting University of Central Florida January 18, 2019

Chairman Marcos Marchena called the meeting of the Board of Trustees to order at 3 p.m. in the *FAIRWINDS* Alumni Center on the UCF Orlando campus.

Chairman Marchena reminded the board that the meeting was covered by the Florida Sunshine Law and that the public and press were invited to attend.

WELCOME

Marchena welcomed the board members and called on Grant Heston, Associate Corporate Secretary, to call the roll. Heston determined that a quorum was present.

The following board members attended the meeting: Chairman Marcos Marchena, Joshua Boloña, Ken Bradley, Joseph Conte, John Lord, Alex Martins, Beverly Seay, William Self, David Walsh, and William Yeargin.

Vice Chair Robert Garvy and Trustee Danny Gaekwad attended via teleconference.

PUBLIC COMMENT

There were no requests for public comment.

NEW BUSINESS

BOT – 1 Bryan Cave Investigation (Trustee Beverly Seay, Chair, Audit and Compliance Committee and Mr. R. Joseph Burby IV, Bryan Cave

Leighton Paisner LLP)

Marchena indicated there was one new item of business, the investigative report from Bryan Cave. He reminded the board that Trustee Seay was appointed by the board to be the trustee to interact with Bryan Cave, and he asked Seay to make introductions and provide comments.

Seay stated that for transparency, all documents produced by the investigation were electronically housed in one location so that the Board of Governors (BOG) and House would have access to the same information. Seay asked Mr. Joey Burby from Bryan Cave to provide a summary of the report.

Burby stated the mandate the Board of Trustees (board) gave on September 20, 2018 was to find the facts. He stated that his firm and Pricewaterhouse Cooper (PwC) had not been influenced by any outside sources. The findings of the investigation are theirs and theirs alone. He noted that Seay oversaw the investigation, and although she assisted in gathering the requested information

from the university, she did not influence the investigation, drafting of the report, results, or decisions. He noted they have not been asked to make any recommendation to any actions, except for internal controls which is addressed in the report.

Burby specified that they retained the services of PwC to provide expertise in finance and accounting, including forensic accounting. Bob Gallagher, a partner at PwC, led the team and was available for questions. Burby stated the investigation was conducted over a period of three months, which included five trips to campus, and over 56 interviews with 43 different witnesses. (Appendix A) They reviewed tens of thousands of documents, including emails, text messages, board minutes, financial reports, accounting records and listened to hours of recordings of board meetings. A more detailed account of the document review and collection process is available in the report. Burby assured the board that they were thorough. He also noted that they worked with the Board of Governors (BOG) and its Inspector General, Julie Leftheris, who was present in the audience.

Burby said the organization of the report is divided into four sections:

- 1. Background and scope of the investigation
- 2. Summary of findings
- 3. Timeline of significant events
- 4. Internal controls analysis and recommendations

Burby summarized the key factual findings from the investigation. He noted his comments are not to serve as a substitute for the full report and nothing he says is to contradict the report. Education and General (E&G) funds are public funds used to run the operation of the 12 universities in the state of Florida. The University of Central Florida (UCF) receives E&G funds annually from the state through the General Appropriations Act. Under Florida law and BOG regulations, E&G funds can only be spent to support certain types of operations activities, which does not include construction of a new facilities. Between the years 2013-2016, the university allocated more than \$38 million dollars in E&G funds including \$37 million in carry forward funds toward a project which resulted in the construction of Trevor Colbourn Hall (TCH). He noted that TCH replaced a smaller Colbourn Hall that was built in the 1970s. Of the \$38 million that was allocated to the project, \$30.6 million in E&G funds was spent as of August 28, 2018. The vast majority of these funds were spent in fiscal year 2017-2018 on the design and construction of TCH (Appendix C).

The project was initially conceived as a renovation of Colbourn Hall, which was expected to cost between \$5-8 million. In 2013, when the construction account for the project was first set up and the first transfer of E&G funds occurred, there were no plans to construct a new building. Over the next three years, however, the plan evolved in several iterations. In the spring of 2014, concerns over rising renovation estimates and the need to relocate employees led to a proposal to construct a new building next to Colbourn, move Colbourn's occupants into that building, and then renovate Colbourn at a later date. The board approved the construction phase of this

proposal, which was expected to cost \$21.3 million, but did not address funding and decided to table any decision on Colbourn's fate. In 2015, a new plan emerged - to construct the new building and renovate Colbourn at the same time, at a combined cost of \$38 million. The Finance and Facilities Committee (FFC) was informed about the project and its new price tag after the fact but was not asked to formally approve it or the source of funds. In May 2016, the plans changed for a final time, as it was decided to raze Colbourn rather than renovate it, and to increase the size of TCH, using the funds that would have been spent on the Colbourn renovation. The decision to raze Colbourn was approved by the board in July 2016. Since the estimated cost of the project was still \$38 million, no decision with respect to funding the new construction or demolition was put before the board. Construction on TCH began in May 2017 and was completed in July 2018. The demolition of Colbourn began in late 2018.

Burby found that the key figure in all of the decisions outlined above was the University's former Vice President for Administration and Finance and Chief Financial Officer William J. "Bill" Merck II, who was asked to resign from his position effective immediately in September 2018. Merck oversaw the University's Finance & Accounting (F&A) department, which is responsible for managing E&G funds received by the State and accounting for and reporting on the use of E&G funds. He simultaneously oversaw the University's Facilities and Safety (Facilities) department, which among other things is responsible for planning and overseeing the university's capital projects. This dual role placed Merck in a position to make all of the important decisions with respect to the Colbourn and TCH projects and its funding, and to direct employees of F&A and Facilities to carry out all necessary tasks.

Burby stated when the Auditor General (AG) officials announced their audit findings regarding TCH at a meeting in August 2018, Merck took full responsibility for the decision to use E&G funds to build TCH. Since then, he has consistently and openly acknowledged his role in the matter, though he declined to be interviewed by Bryan Cave in connection with the investigation. He also has stated, after the fact, that he viewed the decision as necessary and justified because Colbourn presented an imminent health and safety risk to its occupants, and because there were no other options to fund the project. The report found both claims to be rooted in legitimate concerns that Merck and other university officials faced at the time of the decisions. However, the evidence does not support a conclusion that Colbourn presented an imminent health or safety risk requiring emergency action, nor does it support the claim that there was no other alternative but to use E&G funds.

Burby viewed it as more credible that Merck, and perhaps others, saw the university's E&G carryforward balance as a convenient solution to the problems created by the university's aging infrastructure and the decrease in available state funding. By transferring carryforward funds to the construction account - and \$37 million was transferred to the account *before* any significant portion of it was actually spent - these funds no longer needed to be reported to the State as a carryforward balance. F&A officials were able to "report" the transfers as planned expenditures on deferred maintenance, even after it became clear that the project would involve new construction rather than repairs or renovation (Appendix D). Moreover, by 2016, the project was considered internally to be "funded," and university officials involved in the budgeting process could move on to other projects.

Burby stated that they found no evidence of any improper motive or that Merck stood to gain financially from any of his actions. While it is possible that Merck may have genuinely believed that he was acting in the best interest of the university, it is nonetheless clear that he understood the significance of the decision to use E&G funds for the TCH project, and that he took steps to conceal or downplay that significance. Bryan Cave found no evidence that Merck, or anyone acting at his direction, ever specifically told the board that the source of funding for TCH was E&G funds. More significantly, no evidence was found that Merck, or anyone acting at his direction, ever explained to the board that the funding of TCH was not permitted under BOG regulations and may lead to adverse consequences for the university. Merck clearly understood that state auditors might find the project to be in violation of the restrictions on the use of E&G funds. He told others close to the project that the project might result in an "audit comment," but downplayed the significance of this. After the 2018 state audit uncovered the use of E&G funds for TCH, Merck acknowledged on several occasions that he could not have disclosed the relevant risks to the board, because he knew the board would not have gone forward with the project had he done so.

Burby addressed the questions that he was charged with back on September 20.

1. What individuals, other than Merck, were involved in the decision to construct TCH using E&G funds for the project? Burby referred the board to the full report for that information. However, he did report the findings as they related to Dale Whittaker, the university's current president. Whittaker became provost in August 2014 and by that time, the board had already approved the construction of TCH, and a decision to commit \$10 million in E&G funds toward that project had already been made and the funds had already been transferred to the construction account. Whittaker signed a budget document less than two weeks after his tenure as provost began in which he recommended for approval by President Hitt the allocation of an additional \$18 million in E&G funds toward the project, and that document was later used by F&A as justification to transfer that amount to the project in June 2015. Burby stated that Whittaker could not have fairly understood the significance of the document he signed in August 2014, since he had just arrived at the university and funding decisions on capital projects are typically not made by the provost. As time passed, however, Whittaker developed at least some understanding of the university's strategies and decisions with respect to funding capital projects, in light of the decline in PECO funding. Bryan Cave found evidence that as provost, Whittaker took an interest in the backlog of unfunded capital projects, particularly those involving academic facilities, at one point calling a meeting in 2016 with President Hitt, Merck and the CEO of the UCF Foundation to discuss how to prioritize the university's planned capital projects. Whittaker also pushed for the formation of a Facilities Budget Committee in 2017, which he co-chaired along with Merck. Bryan Cave found that over time, Whittaker was provided with information accurately showing that E&G funds were being used to pay for the construction of TCH. However, they also found evidence that during the same time, Whittaker received vague and arguably misleading information about the source of funding for TCH from Merck and others. Perhaps more importantly, Whittaker stated that he was not familiar with restrictions on the use of E&G funds, and no persuasive evidence to the contrary was found. Whittaker also stated that at the time, he was primarily focused on unfunded

capital projects and making sure they were prioritized based on academic needs, and information about the funding source for funded projects like TCH would not have been important to him. Like others, Whittaker recalled hearing Merck state that the funding for TCH might lead to an "audit comment," which he said did not worry him because he was not familiar with state audits at the time and because Merck downplayed the significance of this.

- 2. Did anyone personally profit from this? No, they did not.
- 3. Were there controls in place that failed to prevent or detect this activity or that were violated? There were certain internal controls that were absent or inadequately designed (Section IV). Specifically, they found deficiencies in the areas of written policies and procedures regarding the use of state appropriated funding, training and education on such policies and applicable laws and regulations, oversight and approval of significant decisions involving the use of appropriated funds, auditing for proper funding of capital projects, monitoring and reporting. It was also found that the F&A department failed to foster a culture that encouraged challenging senior members of the administration and it did not encourage whistleblower activity.
- 4. What additional controls should be put in place to ensure that this does not happen again? Based on these control deficiencies, and in consultation with PWC, they have proposed certain enhancements to the university's internal controls for the board and university leadership to consider (Section IV).

Following are the board's questions and comments for Burby:

- 1. If there were any documents to which Burby was denied access that he needed to review (Marchena)? Burby said there was not.
- 2. Were there any employees that he did not get to interview (Marchena)? Burby said there were not, although there were some employees he would have liked to have interviewed more thoroughly.
- 3. Was he at any time pressured not to look in a certain area or denied access to a certain area (Marchena)? Burby said he was not.
- 4. If he had any interaction with Dr. Whittaker since he interviewed him (Marchena)? Burby said he did not.
- 5. Did he receive full corporation from the Board (Garvy)? Yes, he did, and it was not tainted.
- 6. Did the investigation look into these matters the same way it would at other universities (Garvy)? Yes, it did.
- 7. Could the investigation be expanded (Walsh)? Before Burby's answer, Walsh qualified

his request by restating the scope surrounding the TCH investigation. Walsh stated that since this investigation began, there has been a total of \$85 million found either spent incorrectly or planned but not spent. Burby said they did not investigate the additional transfers but he hopes the board is able to use the report of how capital projects like this were handled. Walsh requested the board take a motion to accept the report and that it answers all the questions the board has. Marchena said they should take up the report next week after the board has had time to review it.

- 8. If there was anything that came up outside of the scope they were investigating that would be of interest or concern to the board (Yeargin)? He said there was nothing coming to mind.
- 9. Did Burby review the letters sent from Mr. Merck and Dr. Hitt (Yeargin)? Burby indicated they did review them and they are in the report.
- 10. What should the board do going forward (Bradley)? Burby suggested the university audit department add "capital project funding" as part of their audit report.
- 11. Bradley confirmed there was no personal gain found in the report.
- 12. Through the report, you provided an opinion on the culture in regard to lack of confidence to whistleblowing. Did you find anything relative to sharing or lack thereof of information to the board (Martins)? Burby stated there was an individual that did not share the funding sources accurately. He said the individual noted that Merck did not instruct her to keep quiet.
- 13. Do you feel like there was a culture of withholding information to the board (Martins)? Burby noted that he wouldn't go to that extreme. There was one or two people that withheld information, but he wouldn't say it was the whole department.
- 14. Did any of the outside audits conducted at the university contain comments or references to the funding of TCH for any of the years referenced (Conte)? No, he does not recall any comments in the audit reports that he reviewed.
- 15. Did you come across any reports that referred to Colbourn Hall as a catastrophic issue (Conte)? Burby did not see that in his reports.
- 16. Did you review state statutes as opposed to BOG statutes relative to the use of carry forward E&G funds (Conte)? Burby said they did review them. State law enables BOG to enact restrictions on the use of the funds and he did not see any inconsistency between the two.
- 17. Is there any information that could have lead Mr. Merck to translate the reports to Colbourn Hall being a safety risk (Boloña)? He did not see that in any of the reports.
- 18. Self commented that he believes the budget management at the university needs to be

reviewed in further detail.

- 19. Self commented that Appendix D was very unsettling in the report. He had a question for the board to see reports of where the other E&G funds were used?
- 20. Was TCH paid using interest funds (Self)? It was not, but Marchena clarified interest funds are also considered E&G carry forward funds.
- 21. How did the state auditor find we used state funding inappropriately (Walsh)? Burby said he believes they had impression there were incorrect funds used and decided to request information and use this for the audit.
- 22. Walsh commented that a whistleblower situation could have happened here. Someone may have tipped off the state and thinks it would be relevant to know.
- 23. Burby indicated they did not do an audit of the construction funds. They did audit that every dollar was spent on construction. Burby doesn't believe there was any indication anyone received financial gain from the construction company.
- 24. What is the next step (Gaekwad)? Marchena said he would be going into detail on the next steps.

Marchena gave statements and recommendations. He started by thanking Trustee Seay, Joey Burby and his team for their methodical and thorough investigation of this matter. Marchena indicated that there are two types of actions he is recommending to the board. The first and most important type is systemic. These include several procedural changes mentioned in the investigation and some already implemented:

- 1. The certification of funds form will remain in effect.
- President Whittaker already separated the position of CFO and V.P. for Administration. Marchena recommends the board adopt the change as policy and approve the change to structure and responsibilities of the two positions.
- 3. The office of the General Counsel, the compliance, ethics and risk office and internal audit will develop updated specific written policies and procedures for the board's consideration to further ensure proper use of state appropriated E&G funds.
- 4. He recommends the review of the existing whistleblower program and update it to encourage reporting of known or suspected violations of law, regulation or University policy.
- 5. Real-time audits for all capital projects in excess of \$2 million be formally adopted as board policy.
- 6. Marchena recommends a standard format be adopted for committee and board agenda

memoranda. The essential components of the recommendation are that all decision points relative to an agenda item be specifically identified, all pro and con facts relative to each decision point be disclosed and identified, that the specific source of funding and fiscal impact be included in the agenda item and any restrictions on the proposed funding source be identified and disclosed. Marchena distributed a copy of the requested agenda format document to the trustees.

7. He expects additional recommendations will come after the Association of Governing Boards (AGB) gives its report.

The second type of recommendation is retributive. Marchena stated that the report found the person primarily responsible for the decision to use restricted funds for the TCH project and for not informing the board was the former CFO Bill Merck. Marchena discussed CFO obligations with multiple CFOs. They informed Marchena that Merck had a duty to not only inform the committee and the board of the restricted nature of the funds but to highlight the restricted nature of the funds and ensure that a decision was made knowingly. The report makes it clear that the reason Merck hid the information was because he knew the board would say no.

- 1. Marchena recommends that Mr. Merck be stripped of all remaining unpaid performance bonus.
- 2. There were four additional employees who knew that this was inappropriate use of funds. Even though they are dedicated employees who have shown significant passion for their work, it must be made clear that concealing information from the board is unacceptable. Marchena concurs with the actions taken by President Whittaker in respect to all four employees.
- 3. Dr. Hitt requested to end his one-year employment agreement effective immediately. Marchena accepts this request as of January 10, 2019, the date Dr. Hitt's letter was received, and asks the board to ratify his action.
- 4. Marchena recommends that Dr. Whittaker's participation in the performance bonus program be suspended for two years, covering his time as provost.

Marchena stated that these recommendations have not been easy for him. He has no desire to injure any of the individuals impacted, but his obligation is to the university, ensuring that we behave appropriately within the law and to the regulations that apply to us and more importantly, that we continue to earn the community's trust, the Board of Governors' trust and the trust of the Legislature and Governor.

Bradley indicated he had several systematic recommendations he would like to bring forward. Marchena asked that any trustee recommendations be sent to Heston, who will compile them and bring them to the board as one document.

Bradley recommended the Audit department report to the Office of the President, that there is a plan made to review spending on campus to ensure it is following the law and for the capital

projects to be reviewed to see how projects go from \$8 million to \$38 million.

Boloña requested that any actions taken do not have secondary negative effects on students.

Walsh made a motion to extend the investigation which was initially seconded by Bradley for discussion. After discussion, Bradley made a motion to table the request which was seconded by Martins, and approved by the board with one opposition from Walsh.

Bradley made a motion to ratify the acceptance of President Hitt's request to end his one-year employment agreement. Martins clarified this was just ending the employment and not stripping him of his emeritus title. The board approved the motion with one opposition from Walsh.

Whittaker had comments for the board. The meeting today provided clarity about TCH but it is not the end of the accounting for how E&G funds are spent. Staff has identified additional projects during an internal look-back. Combined with TCH, this totals about \$85 million of construction projects that were either built or planned to be built, with inappropriate funds. Whittaker stated this is about more than one building, and the university accepts responsibility for it. The report indicated a leadership culture in the Administration and Finance division that did not place enough value on integrity and competence. Per the report "failed to foster a culture that encouraged challenging senior members of the administration and whistleblower activity."

Whittaker committed to leading change that creates a stronger culture. That starts with knowing that everyone has a responsibility to report unethical or illegal activity. UCF has multiple ways employees can report – including anonymously. The flawed leadership culture purposefully prevented the board from exercising its oversight and governance roles which is completely unacceptable. The Governor, Legislature, Board of Governors and this board expects and deserves better. Ultimately, this is an issue of trust placed in UCF to properly manage its resources.

Whittaker accepted Chairman Marchena's recommendation about the performance unit plan from when he served as provost and asked the board to vote on it. He reiterated that although he knew E&G funds were used for TCH, he was never told and never knew that using E&G funds was inappropriate. As President, his responsibility is to guarantee that every dollar UCF spends in appropriate.

Whittaker reminded the board of the changes he already implemented:

- 1. Separating the position of vice president for Administration and Finance from the CFO position to ensure independence in fiscal oversight.
- 2. Requiring real-time audits for all major capital projects to ensure the funds we said would be used for projects are, in fact, being used.
- 3. Issuing a moratorium on new capital projects using more than \$2 million of university funds.

4. Hiring Bob Caslen, retired Lt. General and former superintendent of West Point, to lead change at UCF by recommending and implementing new financial and operational structures, policies and procedures.

Whittaker stated he is immediately creating three new leadership positions.

- 1. A new Chief Operating Officer will be responsible for many operational areas.
- 2. A new Chief Financial Officer will oversee financial management services.
- 3. A new Chief Accountability Officer will oversee the Office of Compliance, Ethics and Risk.

These three new positions will bring more checks and balances to decision making and rebuild a culture of integrity and accountability.

Whittaker stated he is creating a culture where leadership serves the university with integrity, competency and openness. Together, we will make UCF a model for what higher education can be for the United States.

Yeargin made a motion to suspend Whittaker's performance unit plan for two years. Bradley commented he would prefer the board to wait until the next board meeting before voting on this. Trustees Gaekwad, Boloña, and Walsh agreed. Martins made a motion to table this item until the next meeting, which was approved by the board.

Boloña made a recommendation to research term limits for C-level employees.

Associate Corporate Secretary

Grant J. Heston

ADJOURNMENT

Marchena reminded the board the next n	neeting is located at the UCF Rosen School of Hospitali	ty
Management and he adjourned the board	meeting at 5:13 p.m.	
Respectfully submitted:	Date:	

Minutes Board of Trustees Meeting University of Central Florida January 24, 2019

Chairman Marcos Marchena called the meeting of the Board of Trustees to order at 1 p.m. at the UCF Rosen College of Hospitality Management.

Marchena reminded the board that the meeting was covered by the Florida Sunshine Law and that the public and press were invited to attend.

WELCOME

Marchena welcomed the board members and called on Grant Heston, Associate Corporate Secretary, to call the roll. Heston determined that a quorum was present.

The following board members attended the meeting: Chairman Marcos Marchena, Vice Chair Robert Garvy, Josh Boloña, Kenneth Bradley, Joseph Conte, John Lord, Alex Martins, Beverly Seay, William Self, John Sprouls, and William Yeargin. Trustee David Walsh joined via teleconference.

PUBLIC COMMENT

There were six public comments. Two members of the public commented on the results of the Bryan Cave Investigation; one on the negative impact to a university employee and the other on the completeness of the report. Three students and one member from the public provided comments disputing the effectiveness of the style and impact of the new hybrid teaching method in the College of Business.

MINUTES

Marchena called for approval of the November 15, 2018 and December 12, 2018, meeting minutes, which were approved.

REMARKS AND INTRODUCTIONS

Marchena thanked Dean Wang for hosting the board at the UCF Rosen School of Hospitality Management and recognized him for remarks. Wang gave an overview of the UCF Rosen College of Hospitality Management. Highlights included:

- Began with three faculty members and fewer than 75 students. Today, it has 72 full-time faculty members and 3,500 students
- Industry collaborations
- Comprehensive curriculum of the hospitality program
- One of the largest programs in the country

• High international reputation that is consistently ranked in the top five programs worldwide

Marchena thanked Dean Wang, his staff and students on behalf of the trustees.

Marchena asked to take an action item out of order, recognizing that Harris Rosen was in the audience.

• ADV-1 Naming of Adam M. Rosen Hall

Marchena called on Conte, Chair of the Advancement Committee, to bring the item from the Advancement Committee before the board. Conte called on Michael Morsberger, Vice President for Advancement and Chief Executive Officer of the UCF Foundation, Inc.:

- Morsberger recognized his special guests: Frank Santos, CFO of Rosen Hotels and Resorts, Glenn Rosen, Director of Planning for Rosen Hotels and Resorts, and the Honorable Harris Rosen.
- Morsberger provided a brief overview of the building expansion project at the UCF Rosen College of Hospitality Management and stated that today the board will be asked to act on the recommendation that the project be named Adam M. Rosen Hall in honor of Mr. Rosen's late son, who was a 2015 graduate of the UCF Rosen College of Hospitality Management.
- Conte stated that in their meeting this morning, the Advancement committee unanimously approved the naming.
- Conte made a motion for the naming of Adam M. Rosen Hall, with Bradley making the second. The board unanimously approved the motion.

Trustee comments on the naming included:

- Martins stated the board is grateful for not only Rosen's recent actions, but his long-time support of the university.
- President Whittaker indicated that the naming would have significant meaning to students of the Rosen campus.
- Marchena recognized Harris Rosen for remarks. Rosen thanked everyone that reached out to him to offer their condolences. He then spoke about his late son, Adam Rosen.

Marchena introduced Whittaker for his remarks. Whittaker echoed Dean Wang's welcome to the Rosen College of Hospitality Management. He expressed sincere appreciation to Harris Rosen for his personal generosity and thanked Morsberger for recognizing Adam Rosen in the naming that was just approved.

Whittaker turned to the matter discussed at last week's board meeting, the Bryan Cave investigation:

- Whittaker indicated the university commissioned two reports to take a hard look at operations, specifically in the areas of administration and finance and board/staff governance. Initial reports have been shared.
- He noted he already acted on making the separation of the Chief Financial Officer and Chief Operating Officer a permanent change, as recommended by both Bryan Cave and Accenture, the global consulting firm hired in October to review the administration and finance organizational structure.
- The Association of Governing Boards (AGB) provided eight immediate recommendations, with special attention to increasing staff's transparency in working with the board to ensure board members can execute its fiduciary responsibilities with full information and choice. AGB's recommendations also included clarifying the staff's role in board governance and improving workflow and formalizing the process for developing and distributing agenda items.
- Whittaker stated as president, one of his priorities has been to operate the university effectively, efficiently and to the highest standards. He said he could think of no better example of high standards and integrity than retired Lieutenant General Robert Caslen, a decorated Army veteran and former superintendent for the U.S. Military Academy at West Point. Whittaker hired Gen. Caslen earlier this month and charged him with leading change in Administration and Finance operations by working with the trustees and the university's leadership team to act on many of the findings in the Bryan Cave, Accenture and AGB reports, as well as anything yet to be worked on from the operations audit from the Facilities department.

Whittaker invited Gen. Caslen to share with the Board his role in changing the culture to one where accountability, integrity and transparency are the norm. Gen. Caslen thanked the president and the board and noted:

- His arrival at UCF included clear guidance from the president to take findings and recommendations from the reports, understand the culture and root causes, and then develop a plan/program to change the culture. This includes implementation of policies, procedures, structure and organizational changes as well as modifying or changing the culture, so it is as transparent as possible.
- Gen. Caslen would also like to meet with each of the trustees individually to obtain their insight and recommendations.
- Gen. Caslen reiterated the reports that are currently available for review: Bryan Cave, Accenture, AGB and the State of Florida Auditor General. Gen. Caslen also noted additional work that will be forthcoming from Tallahassee, which includes the Chancellor's Office review of financial management at all 12 universities in the SUS and the ongoing House investigation.
- Gen. Caslen shared some initial observations:
 - Accountability oversight authorities are in the process of establishing accountability and we need the right personnel within the new organizational structure to ensure that responsibilities and the mission can be carried out.
 - Policies, Procedures, and Organization the intent is to implement control
 mechanisms to shape the culture to properly govern with transparency and
 compliance, with regulations and statutes. He also noted his observation that

- today's culture and team has not pushed back at any level on their responsibilities and what the new culture should look like.
- Legislation bottom line issue is what policies, procedures and potential legislation will be put in place to address both the university system reserves, as well as policies for carryforward.

Following are the trustee's questions and comments for Gen. Caslen:

- Garvy asked "What advice can he give regarding the avoidance of rushing to judgement while the board takes appropriate action now?" Gen. Caslen stated this oversight will first address accountability, culture and control mechanisms. He wants to review and categorize all the findings to date and determine what can be implemented now and what can be implemented later.
- Conte asked "How robust have the conversations been between Whittaker and Gen. Caslen regarding the duties of Chief Financial Officer (CFO) and Chief Operational Officer (COO)?" Gen. Caslen stated there was initial guidance and both positions currently report to him. He noted that there will be a national search for each position and the duties and responsibilities will need to be clearly defined for each position, including their subordinate organizations.
- Self asked "If implementation takes longer than his current short-term contract, would he be willing to stay on?" If available, he would stay on.
- Walsh asked that the reports be approved as final by the board before any actions be
 taken. Marchena clarified that the Bryan Cave report was commissioned by the board and
 the AGB report was commissioned by the university. Gen. Caslen said he agrees that the
 appropriate body should approve or accept their report, however some recommendations
 are obvious and can be implemented now. He also recognized the importance of
 collaboration between the board and the university.

Marchena thanked Gen. Caslen for engaging with the board and again recognized Whittaker.

Whittaker's remarks highlighted:

- He stated he has been respectful of the board's investigation, acknowledging that it has been difficult not to respond to allegations. He stated he participated fully in the investigation and indicated he would continue to be completely transparent. He also noted that he had instructed all university employees to be equally transparent.
- Whittaker stated that he had committed he would not draw any conclusions or take any
 action until he had read the report himself, and now with the report completed, there were
 some questions he wanted to address. Whittaker focused on the question, "Dale, if you
 heard the CFO say something about an audit comment, then why didn't you do or say
 anything?"

He stated he would give a complete answer but asked for indulgence to provide background and context:

- Whittaker stated he came through the professorial ranks and at Purdue, where he was for 12 years, he led undergraduate academic affairs, focusing on course transformation, admissions, financial aid, student support, and honors curriculum across colleges. He noted that at Purdue, facilities and budgeting are in a separate division from academic affairs and that the division reports through the CFO and treasurer directly to the president. In his role, he overlapped with that unit by participating in multiday presentations, where academic affairs staff would present plans and proposals for academic priorities in the capital planning process. The CFO's charge was to ensure institutional integrity in financial matters. Whittaker shared that Purdue, as a public university, needed state approval if either of two conditions were true: one is to be authorized to borrow funds over \$2 million and the second was approval for state appropriation, as applicable.
- At UCF, Whittaker was hired as provost and vice president for academic affairs. In this
 initial role, he was responsible for all academic functions including faculty, curriculum,
 colleges, research, enrollment, financial aid, student success, and digital learning.
 Whittaker was also the liaison to the Educational Programs Committee and to the Council
 of Academic Vice Presidents for the Board of Governors. Specifically, regarding the
 budget, the provost had the responsibility to review budgets to ensure that academic
 needs and initiatives were being prioritized in the budget and to make recommendations
 to the president as such.
- Whitaker noted that each vice president at UCF has a role and a responsibility. The CFO and vice president for administration was liaison to this board and to the Board of Governors for all finance and facilities issues, and filed all reports related to buildings and budgets to both boards. In addition, after 22 years, Merck was the trusted link to the BOG and the Division of Bond Finance. Whittaker stated that he and Merck reported to the president, they were colleagues, and each had their own responsibilities. He said Merck was one of Hitt's most trusted vice presidents they worked together for more than 20 years and had successfully built dozens of buildings.
- Whittaker stated this was the context when he arrived at UCF in August of 2014. One year later, he was promoted to executive vice president with the role to serve in the stead of the president, and to take a broader, more strategic view of the university.
- Whittaker explained that when he arrived at UCF, the funding plan for Trevor Colbourn Hall (TCH) had already received approval by the president and the vice president/CFO. Whittaker stated he had a two-part interest in TCH that faculty had a high-quality place to work and to save the university money. Everything else was Merck's responsibility. In fact, facility decisions were not discussed with him. He stated that one of his earliest encounters with TCH came from a longstanding set of complaints by the faculty that occupied Colbourn Hall. Whittaker raised this issue on behalf of faculty, and the facilities department conducted testing and addressed air and mold issues. He stated it was at this time that he learned a new building had been approved two provosts prior, by Tony Waldrop, who worked with Merck and Hitt. Whittaker stated he didn't know nor did it occur to him to ask how the building was funded. To Whittaker, that was Merck's responsibility. Whittaker stated that his interest as provost was making sure that the faculty had adequate working space.
- Whittaker commented on the evolution of the Colbourn Hall and TCH buildings, noting it was a two-building solution and that this solution was already approved. He stated his

concern was to understand how faculty members were to be staged while Colbourn Hall underwent renovations. In January, Lee Kernek and Merck brought forward another idea that suggested combining the buildings to take advantage of a common utility core. In doing that, this build/move/remodel solution was presented as a \$10 million savings over the two-building solution. Whittaker said he was tasked to consider how to stage faculty members for an 18-month period, and his Academic Affairs team went to work on that. At a later point, Kernek and Merck brought forward another proposal that said a new building could be built with a better environmental envelope, saving money in the lifecycle of the building, and faculty could remain in Colbourn Hall while the new building was being built at basically the same price, which was \$38 million.

Whittaker then provided context to several comments in the report:

- Whittaker contextualized a comment in the report that said, "Whittaker took an
 increasing interest in facilities and Whittaker had a role in planning TCH." He stated that
 this was true. He did take an increasing interest in facilities, and he did take an interest in
 the academic planning of TCH because of the focus on what to do with faculty and which
 faculty were going to be moving into TCH, especially as UCF started to hire the cluster
 faculty.
- Whittaker also wanted to acknowledge another comment in the investigation, "Whittaker took this proposal (which he believes was combined with the common utility core proposal) to President Hitt for approval." He acknowledged that he did do that, there was a meeting with Hitt where the plan was presented by Kernek and Merck. Whittaker agreed it was a good idea as it was presented as a \$10 million savings, and if approved, he committed to figuring out the faculty side. Whittaker stated that although overall funding was discussed in this meeting, the source of funding was not discussed, and the meeting discussion focused on a facilities solution to a faculty need. He noted that once the idea settled in to build a new TCH, leave old Colbourn Hall functioning during the construction of TCH but eventually demolish it he and his team began to plan what academic units would occupy the new building. Merck's team was focused on funding, procurement, construction, contracts, and dollars.
- He stated that over time, academic prioritization of space became increasingly frustrating for the deans and they asked for more transparency and academic input into construction priorities. This resulted in Whittaker requesting that Hitt create a Facilities Budget Committee and like the University Budget Committee, which was reformed when he arrived at UCF, the formation of this committee would increase transparency and academic input in the planning process. He said that regarding the Bryan Cave findings, it was true that he and the other deans did take an increasing interest in prioritizing buildings, especially academic buildings, for all the reasons stated above.

In summary, Whittaker stated he was focused on meeting faculty needs, increasing academic input, and creating a more transparent process for capital planning.

Whittaker next addressed the audit comment:

• In his interview with Joey Burby of Bryan Cave, Whittaker stated that to his best memory, there was a brief meeting to discuss building priorities as a team, and attendees included Hitt, Merck, Morsberger, Whittaker and Faculty Fellow William Self, now a Trustee. Merck presented a plan for using internal funds for TCH, and maybe other buildings. Merck mentioned that there could be an audit comment, but he could handle it and recommended proceeding. In that context, Whittaker trusted Hitt and Merck, as he had no reason not to, and he trusted the confidence Hitt had over those years in Merck. Whittaker said he regrets not asking deeper questions. As the chairman noted on Jan. 18, Whittaker had a higher responsibility for inquiry because of his Executive Vice President role. He had an obligation to the university that in hindsight he missed. Therefore, Whittaker said he accepted the wisdom of the board to eliminate those years of performance pay, he accepted responsibility and accepted the penalty.

His remarks also included:

- Whittaker stated that he expected our CFO and VP for finance and administration to know, at the minimum, the fundamental statutes that govern his areas of responsibility, including planning, capital construction budget and finance. As president, Whittaker expects vice presidents to not just solve problems, but to present rationale, choices and risk. He noted UCF is a culture of risk takers but not risk outside of the rules of governance and statute. He does not feel Merck did that.
- He noted that as president, his goal is to be the best president he can be in service of this university. In that role, he is responsible for addressing, owning and fixing the issues of the past and taking full responsibility for how the university moves forward, earning the trust of the board, students and their families, and the legislature to manage their dollars in service of the mission of this university.
- Whittaker stated that he never knew, nor was he ever given any reason to believe, that the funds that were used for TCH violated any BOG regulation or state statute. He said he remains committed to that and will assure the actions he has taken as president are not distractions or cover-ups.
- Whittaker reminded the board that he committed, when the investigation started, that if anyone knowingly violated BOG regulations or state statutes, or misled this board, that they would be gone. Whittaker committed to fully participating in the investigation and he committed to instructing all employees to do the same thing. He honored all those commitments and made no prejudgments. Whittaker said he did read the report carefully and determined four people had violated that criteria. Therefore, he immediately honored his commitment to the university.
- Whittaker acknowledged he will continue leading the university to put in place the
 recommendations that are evolving to fix the issues of the past. Whittaker said he
 appreciates Gen. Caslen's support and leadership in that. Whittaker assured the board that
 the university will create a culture that will prevent this kind of mistake from happening
 again and that dollars will be carefully stewarded and as president, that will continue to
 be his top priority.

Walsh asked to have agenda item BOT-1 moved up and discussed. Marchena stated he would prefer to first have the committee reports and leave the remainder of the time to discuss BOT-1.

ADVANCEMENT COMMITTEE REPORT

Conte, Chair of the Advancement Committee, reported the highlights from the committee meeting held earlier in the day. He noted that besides the one action item – the naming of Adam M. Rosen Hall – which was approved previously, there were two informational items:

- INFO 1 IGNITE Campaign Update
 - Morsberger provided an IGNITE Campaign update. As of December 31, 2018, \$479.5 million has been raised in the IGNITE Campaign. Mike reminded the committee this would be the last update until the campaign ends. He also described preliminary plans for post-campaign analysis.
- INFO 2 Building a UCF Online Brand
 - Heston introduced Patrick Burt, Associate Vice President for Communications and Marketing, who gave a presentation on UCF Online, a collaborative initiative between digital learning, UCF Connect and UCF Communications and Marketing. Burt highlighted ways that UCF Online has impacted the university's national presence, reach and research.

<u>AUDIT, OPERATIONS REVIEW, COMPLIANCE, AND ETHICS COMMITTEE</u> REPORT

Seay, Chair of the Audit and Compliance Committee, reported highlights from the committee meeting held earlier in the day. Seay stated the following items were unanimously approved by the committee and placed on the board's consent agenda:

- AUDC 1 Board of Governor's Performance-based Funding Data Integrity Certification Audit Report
 - O As an annual requirement, University Audit has performed an audit of UCF's processes to ensure the completeness, accuracy, and timeliness of data submissions relating to Board of Governors' performance funding metrics. The results of this audit are to be accepted by the committee, including any identified corrective action plans. After acceptance by the committee, the report shall be submitted to the Board of Governors' Office of Inspector General and Director of Compliance no later than March 1, 2019.
- AUDC 2 Performance-based Data Integrity Certification Form
 - As an annual requirement, UCF is required to complete a Performance-based Data Integrity Certification Form affirming the results of the completed audit report (AUDC-1), and that all representations included in the Performance Data Integrity Certification Form have been fulfilled. After board approval, the form is to be signed by the university president and the UCF Board of Trustees Chair and

submitted to the Board of Governors' Office of Inspector General and Director of Compliance no later than March 1, 2019.

EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS COMMITTEE REPORT

Garvy, Chair of the Educational Programs Committee, reported highlights from the committee meeting held earlier in the day. Garvy reported that the following item was unanimously approved by the committee and placed on the board's consent agenda:

• EPC - 1 Tenure with Hire

Garvy presented the following informational updates.

- INFO 1 Status of New Degrees
 - Melody Bowdon, Interim Vice Provost for Teaching and Learning and Interim Dean, College of Undergraduate Studies, and Dean Wang reported on the new undergraduate degree program Entertainment Management B.S.
 - Elizabeth Klonoff, Vice President for Research and Dean of the College of Graduate Studies, and Michael Georgiopoulos, Dean of the College of Engineering and Computer Science, reported on the new graduate program Data Analytics M.S.
- Provost Update
 - Elizabeth A. Dooley, Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs and Professor, College of Community Innovation and Education, provided a provost update on the Constellation Fund, our 21st century model and digital learning. Garvy noted the Educational Programs Committee will follow up on student comments regarding digital learning.
- INFO 2 Academic Spotlight Digital Learning
 - o Thomas Cavanagh, Vice Provost for Digital Learning, gave a presentation on the digital learning course redesign initiative. The board requested a follow up presentation with information that included UCF Online rate of success and average GPA, if other online universities admit FTIC, and where UCF ranks in online programs. Garvy requests collaboration with the Educational Programs Committee going forward.

FINANCE AND FACILITIES COMMITTEE REPORT

Martins, Chair of the Finance and Facilities Committee, reported on highlights from the December 12, 2018 FFC meeting, as well as the meeting held earlier in the day.

The following action occurred at the December 12, 2018 meeting and was unanimously approved by the committee. The committee recessed after their discussion and approval, and the item was approved by the full board at the special board meeting held on the same day:

- FFC 1/ FF 1 E&G Carryforward Spending Plan
 - Martins later clarified with Mitchell that the committee was asked to vote on plans for spending the committed portion of their remaining 2017-18 E&G carryforward funds, as required by the Board of Governors. The other information was requested and provided, but there was no action required on the other information.

Also, at the December 12, 2018 meeting were a number of minor amendments to the campus master plan that were discussed and approved by the committee and are on the agenda for approval today. The following items were unanimously approved by the board:

- FF 1 Minor Amendment to the University of Central Florida 2015-25 Campus Master Plan Update for Learning Laboratory
- FF 2 Minor Amendment to Coordinate the University of Central Florida 2015-25 Campus Master Plan Update with Site Refinements
 - O Self asked if these changes came before the University Master Planning Committee, which is a joint committee of the Faculty Senate and the university. Susan Hutson, Assistant Director for Facilities Planning and Construction, indicated they have seen these amendments but there is currently no formal process for approving minor amendments. Self indicated the Faculty Senate would like to be more involved in this process, as per their bylaws. Scott Cole, Vice President and General Counsel, clarified their bylaws were recently amended but it is not required by law that they be part of the process.
- FF 3 Minor Amendment to Align the University of Central Florida 2015-25 Campus Master Plan Update
 - Walsh asked if management is periodically reviewing the master plan and deleting items that are no longer relevant. Misty Shepard, Interim Vice President for Administration and Finance, stated it is an annual process to review the plan.

Martins stated that the following item was discussed and tabled at the December 12, 2018 meeting:

- FFC 4 Subway Contract Amendment
 - O After committee discussion on December 12, 2018, Marchena said that two five-year renewal options were not warranted for a refurbishment investment, given what time remains on the current term. Marchena expressed concern about giving an additional 10 years until the level of their investment is known and recommended tabling the item until the level of investment is available. As a point of reference, Martins noted that initially this was on today's committee agenda but was pulled since the specifics of that investment were not included in the written amendment. It is expected that the item will come back to the committee at a later date.

The following information items were discussed at the December 12, 2018 meeting:

•	INFO – 2	Direct Support Organizations' 2018-19 First-Quarter Financial Reports
•	INFO – 3	University Draft Audited Financial Report 2017-18
•	INFO – 4	University Operating Budget Report Quarter Ended September 30, 2018
•	INFO – 5	University Investments Presentation and Investments Quarterly Report Ended September 30, 2018
•	INFO – 6	Five-year Internal Capital Plan 2019-23

The following action occurred at the meeting held earlier in the day and was unanimously approved by the committee:

- FFC 1 Concessions Services for UCF Convocation Corporation
- INFO 1 Parking, Housing, Health Auxiliary Facilities Operating Budgets
 - o Although presented as an information item, the committee approved the budgets after committee dialogue and review, and with the Chairman's concurrence, this item will be brought to the board later this afternoon under new business.

Martins presented the following item for board approval which was approved with one abstention from Trustee Sprouls:

• FF – 4 Concessions Services for UCF Convocation Corporation

NOMINATING AND GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE REPORT

Yeargin, Chair of the Nominating and Governance Committee, reported highlights from the committee meeting held earlier that day. Yeargin reported that the following items were unanimously approved by the committee and placed on the board's consent agenda:

•	NGC – 1	UCF Athletics Association Bylaws Amendments
•	NGC – 2	Proposed University Regulation UCF-1.00 Regulation Interpretation and Application
•	NGC – 3	Amendments to University Regulation UCF-3.0191 Disciplinary Actions - USPS
•	NGC – 4	Amendments to University Regulation UCF-4.034 University Direct Support Organizations
•	NGC – 5	Amendments to University Regulation UCF-5.016 Student Academic Appeals
•	NGC – 6	Amendments to University Regulation UCF-5.020 Religious Observations
•	NGC – 7	Amendments to University Regulation UCF-7.130 Administration and Finance; Procurement Services

Yeargin presented the following informational item:

- INFO 1 The Stephen W. Hawking Center for Microgravity and Education
 - o Klonoff reported that following a zero-gravity experience at Cape Canaveral, Stephen Hawking, Ph.D. granted Space Florida and UCF the right to use his name in conjunction with a not-for-profit corporation created to conduct research and provide education on microgravity. The corporation would be called "The Stephen W. Hawking Center for Microgravity Research and Education" or "The Hawking Center." UCF and Space Florida are negotiating terms for such an undertaking and are communicating with the Hawking estate for potential collaborative participation.

CONSENT AGENDA

A motion was made to accept the consent agenda and was unanimously approved.

 AUD – 1 Board of Governors' Performance-based Funding Data Integrity Certification Audit Report (Seay)

• AUD – 2	Performance-based Data Integrity Certification form (Seay)
● EP – 1	Tenure with Hire (Garvy)
• NG – 1	UCF Athletics Association Bylaws Amendments and Reappointment of Board Members (Yeargin)
• NG – 2	Proposed University Regulation UCF-1.00 (Yeargin)
• NG – 3	Amendments to University Regulation UCF-3.091 (Yeargin)
• NG – 4	Amendments to University Regulation UCF-4.034 (Yeargin)
• NG – 5	Amendments to University Regulation UCF-5.016 (Yeargin)
• NG – 6	Amendments to University Regulation UCF-5.020 (Yeargin)
• NG – 7	Amendments to University Regulation UCF-7.130 (Yeargin)
OLD BUSINESS	Chairman Marchena

Marchena said there was one item of old business, the actions related to the Bryan Cave investigative report:

BOT − 1

• He asked for a motion to accept the Bryan Cave report as received. Bradley made the motion to accept the Bryan Cave report as presented to the board on January 18, 2019 and Self provided the second. The trustees discussed and clarified what accepting the report means. After discussion, it was determined that the board formally accepts the report since the board hired the firm and acceptance allows for board discussion and action, but it does not commit the board to any recommendations or observations within the report, nor does it require the board to take any of the recommended actions. The motion to accept the report passed with one opposition from Walsh.

Action based on Bryan Cave Investigation

 Marchena requested a motion to remove Walsh's January 18, 2019 tabled motion to extend the Bryan Cave investigation. Bradley made the motion with Martins giving the second. The motion was approved.

Marchena asked Seay to address questions brought up in the January 18, 2019 board meeting:

• Seay detailed the process for creating the five-year review of capital projects to determine whether any other capital projects, outside of the TCH project, were funded from E&G. The review period was 2013 – 2018. Seay noted that the results of this five-year review

- were presented to the board on September 20, 2018, and in addition to TCH, 11 other projects that were planned to be funded all or in part from E&G funds were identified. Seay stated that the initial five-year look back was subsequently expanded back to 2008, for a total review of 10-years, for any misuse of E&G funds for capital projects. No additional misuse of E&G funds for the additional five years was identified.
- Seay then provided a detailed review of the tables of transfers, sorted both by project and by date. Based on this data and analysis, Seay stated there was the same pattern by the same individuals in the same time period of when incorrect funds were misspent or transferred incorrectly.
- Seay reminded the board that Mitchell presented the carryforward plan at the December 12, 2018 Finance and Facilities Committee (FFC) meeting. This plan presented the August 21, 2018 carryforward balances, as well as the changes to that balance up through November 30, 2018. Several trustees indicated that they would like additional information on the \$52.5 million in 'Other Expenditures, Encumbrances, and Reimbursements':
 - o Seay stated the explanation of the \$52.5 million net increase in this category included \$12.9 million in unrealized gains on E&G funds that were recorded and budgeted, increasing our total carryforward balance.
 - o Seay noted that this led to two discussions: recording and budgeting unrealized gains and the risks associated with investing E&G funds.
 - For the first, there was a reconsideration of whether or not to realize the
 previously unrealized gains on our investments. Seay said that investment
 managers agreed to sell the investments with no transaction fees charged to the
 university, and they were directed to take that action last week.
 - o For investing E&G funds, there was a reconsideration of the university's allocation of funding between the State's Special Purpose Investment Account (SPIA) and the university's investment portfolio. In 2010, with the significant increase in the investment markets, the university made the decision to record E&G funds as investments. Seay stated that with recent concerns about the market downturn and potential losses, a decision was reached to record all E&G funds only in the less-risky SPIA and BOA accounts; only non-E&G funds will be placed in investments. Seay stated the university will go back to the beginning of the fiscal year, July 1, 2018, and transfer all E&G funds to SPIA and an equal amount of non-E&G funds out of SPIA to the investment pool. She said that we are also going back and recording all SPIA interest to E&G funds and all investment gains and losses to non-E&G funds. This timing pre-dates the fall 2018 market decline and allows a complete replacement of E&G funds misspent on capital projects.

Trustee questions and comments regarding the report are as follows:

Walsh asked a question regarding the carryforward summary. He confirmed the board did
not vote and approve the entire carryforward summary. Marchena agreed that they only
approved the requested information from the Board of Governors. Walsh recounted the
conversation he had with Mitchell regarding the available information for the FFC
December 12, 2018 meeting. Marchena asked Mitchell to address Walsh's concerns. She

- said he was right, the initial plan was to not go into full details of the report, but they did get the information together and handed it out. She apologized it was not posted online before the meeting.
- Marchena asked why these additional items programmed to use E&G funding were not
 identified in the meeting on September 20, 2018. Mitchell clarified she understood the
 question as to determine any capital project where E&G funding was used incorrectly,
 which she did. She did not look at any capital projects that were programmed to use E&G
 funding but not spent until after that date, which is why the amount increased.
- Martins requested a clarification that at the December 12, 2018 FFC meeting, the board
 was only asked to approve the amounts (committed portion of the remaining 2017-18
 carryforward funds) and the form as requested by the Board of Governors. Mitchell
 confirmed that was correct and any additional information was requested and provided
 but no action was needed.

Seay continued her report regarding the extension of the Bryan Cave investigation:

• She stated that last week she requested Bryan Cave investigators to search all emails and texts provided to them and search for any projects that were inappropriately funded from E&G funds, search terms such as CREOL, band etc., which resulted in millions of emails and thousands of documents. Marchena asked what exactly are we looking for? Seay said it would be the same process as TCH – documents would need to be researched to determine relevancy and interviews take place to see if anyone else was involved in using incorrect funding for the 11 other identified projects. Seay said she is confident there will be no other identified projects beyond what has already been identified.

Trustee questions and comments continued as follows:

- Bradley stated that he would like the external investigators to review the other 11 projects, not to the extent of TCH, but to ensure that there is a continuation of the pattern seen with TCH.
- Walsh commented that the amount of money spent so far on the investigation has no bearing on whether the investigation should continue. He brought up information regarding the purchase of a pre-leased building using E&G money. Shepherd clarified that the money slated for the project was auxiliary funds from distance learning fees. Shepherd stated that if Merck did make a comment saying it would be E&G funds, then it was incorrect. Self stated that while he was on the Facilities Budget Committee he was also informed the money for the pre-payment would be distance learning fees.
- Marchena asked for an answer to Walsh's question as to why the Auditor General
 decided to audit TCH. Seay stated the Auditor General confirmed that its review of the
 funding for TCH was based on its risk analysis, and not any whistleblower activity
 provided to the auditors. Martins stated that it was brought up in the House ethics
 meeting that this is a normal course of action when construction of this magnitude
 happens.
- Walsh stated that he believes more needs to be done and that it should be done using the Bryan Cave firm. He believes more people need to be interviewed, including checking into the contractors receiving kickbacks. He noted the scope of the investigation included

- the determination of any self-benefit but questions if the Bryan Cave report thoroughly investigated that issue.
- Martins stated there are policies, procedures and audit consultation the board needs to
 enact to ensure that we are properly auditing any movement of funds. He said the
 investigation did uncover a pattern of what happened, but that if we are looking for
 something else, this board does not have subpoena power, but the state House does have
 that ability, and can act accordingly if they deem it necessary.
- Garvy believes a number of the concerns Walsh has will be addressed with the updated policies and procedures and with the work of Gen. Caslen.
- The motion to extend the Bryan Cave investigation did not pass. Bradley and Walsh voted to extend, with the remaining board members opposed.

Marchena asked to review his recommendations and any additional recommendations received from other trustees for actions from the investigation. He reminded the board the certification of funds was already voted into board policy and would continue.

Marchena made the following motions which were approved unanimously:

- To adopt as board policy the permanent separation of the position of CFO and Vice President of Administration or COO.
 - o Marchena reminded the board that the Delegation of Authority already incorporates that any changes to the hiring, firing or reduction of compensation for the Vice President and General Counsel, Chief Compliance Officer, and Chief Audit Executive needs to come before the board. This action will amend the Delegation of Authority to also include the position of CFO and Vice President of Administration or COO.
- Office of the General Counsel, Compliance Ethics and Risk Office, Internal Audit, and Gen. Caslen will develop updated policies and procedures for the board's consideration to further ensure proper use of state appropriated E&G funds.
- Audit and Compliance Committee to review the existing whistleblower program (Integrity Line) and recommend how to update the program and educate the university community on its availability and use, and for the committee to report their recommendations to the board.
- Adopt as board policy real time audits for all capital projects in excess of \$2 million; including the Audit Department's verification of the funding source as appropriate after board approval and before the project starts, spot check the funding source during the project and conduct post-project audits.
- Adopt a standard agenda memoranda format as distributed by Chairman Marchena at last week's meeting with two modifications; (1) section titled Board's Authority for the Action citing the statutes or university regulations that enables the board to take the action and (2) the committee chair or chairman of the board must approve all agenda items before they are posted publicly.

- Strip former CFO William Merck of all remaining unpaid performance bonuses.
- Lift Yeargin's tabled motion that recommends Whittaker's participation in the performance bonus program be suspended for two years, covering his time as provost.

The following motion was passed with opposition from Bradley, Conte, Sprouls and Walsh:

• Suspend Whittaker's participation in the performance bonus program for two years, covering his time as provost.

Discussion regarding other recommendations followed:

- Boloña made a motion to recommend an evaluation of a review system for vice
 presidents to ensure they are compliant, with a second by Conte. The initial second was
 retracted, Boloña indicated he wanted the motion to stand, however the motion died for
 lack of a second. There was board interest in exploring this topic after further thought and
 development.
- Bradley made a motion to retain an independent third party financial auditor for the next fiscal year and going forward, with a second from Martins. After discussion, Martins made a motion to table the item, which was approved with one opposition from Bradley.
- Marchena noted that he carefully read Walsh's recommendations and believed that most
 of them encouraged the extension of the investigation, which the board chose not to do.
 He asked Walsh if he had any additional motions, and Walsh replied that he did not.
- Martins suggested that Gen. Caslen conduct a review so that the board can view the financial reports outlined in the investigation, as well as additional internal E&G reports.

NEW BUSINESS

- INFO 1 Parking, Housing, and Health Auxiliary Facilities
 Operating Budgets
 - o Martins stated that earlier today, the Finance and Facilities Committee reviewed the operating budgets for the Parking, Housing and Health Auxiliary Facilities Operating Budgets for 2019-20 as an information item, but after thorough discussion and review, the committee was comfortable to move forward with a vote, and the committee unanimously approved this action. The board voted and unanimously approved the item.

ANNOUNCEMENTS AND ADJOURNMENT

Marchena announced the following upcoming meetings:

BOG meeting January 30 - 31, 2019

(Florida International University, Miami)

Inauguration	February 26, 2019 (Student Union, Pegasus Ballroom)
BOT meeting	March 21, 2019 (FAIRWINDS Alumni Center)
BOG meeting	March 27 – 28, 2019 (Florida A&M University, Tallahassee)
Marchena adjourned the	board meeting at 5:30 p.m.
Respectfully submitted:	
	Grant J. Heston
	Associate Corporate Secretary

MEMORANDUM OF VOTING CONFLICT FORM 8A FOR STATE OFFICERS LAST NAME-FIRST NAME-MIDDLE NAME NAME OF BOARD, COUNCIL, COMMISSION, AUTHORITY, OR COMMITTEE ROBERT TRUSTERS BOALO NAME OF STATE AGENCY UNIVERSITY CENTRAL 04 MY POSITION IS: ELECTIVE WINDSLMERE OLANGO APPOINTIVE DATE ON WHICH VOTE OCCURRED

WHO MUST FILE FORM 8A

This form is for use by any person serving at the State level of government on an appointed or elected board, council, commission, authority, committee, or as a member of the Legislature. It applies to members of advisory and non-advisory bodies who are presented with a voting conflict of interest under Section 112.3143, Florida Statutes.

Your responsibilities under the law when faced with voting on a measure in which you have a conflict of interest will vary greatly depending on whether you hold an elective or appointive position. For this reason, please pay close attention to the instructions on this form before completing and filing the form.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 112,3143, FLORIDA STATUTES

ELECTED OFFICERS:

As a person holding elective state office, you may not vote on a matter that you know would inure to your special private gain or loss. However, you may vote on other matters, including measures that would inure to the special private gain or loss of a principal by whom you are retained (including the parent or subsidiary or sibling organization of a principal by which you are retained); to the special private gain or loss of a relative; or to the special private gain or loss of a business associate. If you vote on such a measure or if you abstain from voting on a measure that would affect you, you must make every reasonable effort to disclose the nature of your interest as a public record in a memorandum filed with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting, who shall incorporate the memorandum in the minutes. If it is not possible for you to file a memorandum before the vote, the memorandum must be filed with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the meeting no later than 15 days after the vote.

For purposes of this law, a "relative" includes only your father, mother, son, daughter, husband, wife, brother, sister, father-in-law, mother-in-law, son-in-law, and daughter-in-law. A "business associate" means any person or entity engaged in or carrying on a business enterprise with you as a partner, joint venturer, coowner of property, or corporate shareholder (where the shares of the corporation are not listed on any national or regional stock exchange).

A member of the Legislature may satisfy the disclosure requirements of this section by filling a disclosure form created pursuant to the rules of the member's respective house if the member discloses the information required by this subsection, or by use of Form 8A.

APPOINTED OFFICERS:

As a person holding appointive state office, you are subject to the abstention and disclosure requirements stated above for Elected Officers. You also must disclose the nature of the conflict before voting or before making any attempt to influence the decision by oral or written communication, whether made by you or at your direction.

For purposes of this law, a "relative" includes only your father, mother, son, daughter, husband, wife, brother, sister, father-in-law, mother-in-law, son-in-law, and daughter-in-law. A "business associate" means any person or entity engaged in or carrying on a business enterprise with you as a partner, joint venturer, coowner of property, or corporate shareholder (where the shares of the corporation are not listed on any national or regional stock exchange).

IF YOU INTEND TO MAKE ANY ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION PRIOR TO THE MEETING AT WHICH THE VOTE WILL BE TAKEN:

- You must complete and file this form (before making any attempt to influence the decision) with the person responsible for recording the
 minutes of the meeting, who will incorporate the form in the minutes.
- A copy of the form must be provided immediately to the other members of the agency.
- · The form must be read publicly at the next meeting after the form is filed.

IF YOU MAKE NO ATTEMPT TO INFLUENCE THE DECISION EXCEPT BY DISCUSSION OR VOTE AT THE MEETING:

- You must disclose orally the nature of your conflict in the measure before participating.
- You must complete the form and file it within 15 days after the vote occurs with the person responsible for recording the minutes of the
 meeting, who must incorporate the form in the minutes. A copy of the form must be provided immediately to the other members of the
 agency, and the form must be read publicly at the next meeting after the form is filed.

73.00		, hereby disclose that	FICER'S INTEREST	4 24	20.75
7000	K, Sprious	, nereby disclose that	on	(204	, 20 1
inured to my sp inured to the sp inured to the sp	ecial private gain or loss; ecial gain or loss of my b	usiness associate,elative,	ore)		; , by
whom I am reta	ined; or	SPECTER FOOD	SERVICES		which
is the parent, su	ubsidiary, or sibling organi	ization of a principal which h	nas retained me. in the measure is as follows		
A 10	- YEAR CON	TRACT FOR SP.	ECTRA FOOD SE	sruces to	
**************************************			UCF AREMA		
			now, which	acso owns	
UNIVERSA	IL PALKS \$	LESORTS, MY	EMPLOYEK.		
4					
74.					
who is also an attome		isclosure requirements of th	e pursuant to law or rules go is section by disclosing the r		
			0		
Date Filed /	24/19		Signature	92	
Date Filed ,			Signature		

CIVIL PENALTY NOT TO EXCEED \$10,000.

REMOVAL OR SUSPENSION FROM OFFICE OR EMPLOYMENT, DEMOTION, REDUCTION IN SALARY, REPRIMAND, OR A

Minutes Board of Trustees Special Teleconference Meeting University of Central Florida February 12, 2019

Chairman Robert Garvy called the special teleconference meeting of the Board of Trustees to order at 9:02 a.m.

Garvy reminded the board that the meeting was covered by the Florida Sunshine Law and that the public and press were invited to attend.

WELCOME

Garvy welcomed the board members and called on Grant Heston, Associate Corporate Secretary, to call the roll. Heston determined that a quorum was present.

The following board members attended the meeting in person: Chairman Robert Garvy, Joshua Boloña, Beverly Seay, and David Walsh.

Trustees Kenneth Bradley, Joseph Conte, Danny Gaekwad, John Lord, Marcos Marchena, Alex Martins, William Self, John Sprouls and William Yeargin attended via teleconference.

PUBLIC COMMENT

There were no requests for public comment.

NEW BUSINESS

Garvy had one item of new business to discuss: the approval of an out-of-cycle election for the board Chair and Vice-Chair.

BOT-1 Approve an out-of-cycle Board Chair election

Garvy stated that in a normal nominating process an election of a new Chair and Vice Chair would occur after July 1 of this year. However, due to the critical issues facing the university regarding the misallocation of E&G funds, and the controversy and questions that have arisen from it, the Board of Governors (BOG), the Florida Legislature and the stakeholders of the university want this issue dealt with now. To do that efficiently and effectively, the full attention of a board chair is required to move through this process, and the purpose of today's meeting is to accelerate the election process.

Garvy asked the trustees to thoroughly consider their nominations and outlined the requirements he would like a new chair to possess: significant audit and compliance experience, the confidence of the BOG, the BOG staff, as well as this board, and the available time to perform the extensive duties of the Chair.

Garvy asked Scott Cole, Vice President and General Counsel, to outline the nominating process.

Cole stated that the nominating process is outlined in section 4.2 in the Board of Trustees Bylaws and to accelerate the nomination of a Chair and Vice Chair, the board will need to suspend this section of the bylaws. He said this suspension is allowed as per section 8.5 of the bylaws, which states that any provision of these bylaws may be suspended in connection with the consideration of a matter before the board by an affirmative vote of not less than two-thirds (2/3) of the members of the board.

Cole stated that today's action would be a board agreement to modify the nominating process and to authorize the Nominating and Governance Committee to modify their process to accelerate the timeframe for selection of the Chair and Vice Chair. He said the process other than the time frame would remain the same. The process would be for the Nominating and Governance Committee to request nominations from the trustees, the committee compiles the nominations and in their committee meeting votes on a recommendation for Chair and Vice Chair. All nominations received will be sent to the full board for action, so that although the committee will make a recommendation, the full board will have the option to select any nominated Chair and Vice Chair.

Garvy clarified that a suspension of the bylaws is used in extraordinary circumstances but affords the board flexibility when it is needed. Cole was asked to clearly state the process that the Nominating and Governance Committee would follow:

- A notice is sent to trustees requesting nominees for Chair and Vice Chair.
- The Nominating and Governance Committee meets for discussion of the background and biographical information of the nominees. The committee will vote and recommend a Chair and Vice Chair to the full board.
- The nominees must be members of the board of trustees.

Garvy asked for a motion to suspend section 4.2 of the bylaws for the election of the Chair and Vice Chair with an initial term beginning on the date of election and terminating on the first board meeting of 2021. A motion was made by Boloña, with a second from Conte. The motion was unanimously approved by the board.

Garvy asked for a motion to authorize the Nominating and Governance Committee to modify the time frame and accelerate the nominating process of electing the Chair and Vice Chair. A motion was made by Boloña, with a second from Walsh.

Further discussion followed, and highlights are as follows:

 Gaekwad asked if a trustee on the Nominating and Governance Committee can nominate themselves. Cole responded Nominating and Governance Committee members can nominate themselves and if the nomination is declined, they can participate in the committee's selection process. If a Nominating and Governance Committee member

- accepts the nomination, they are not able to participate in the committee's selection process.
- Garvy suggested that the entire board join the Nominating and Governance Committee for their meeting, noting the meeting date will be determined by the Nominating and Governance Chair, and assuming the committee forwards a recommendation to the board for approval, the full board could convene immediately after the committee meeting. Bradley recommended the board have appropriate time to consider the nominations before meeting, and Gaekwad agreed with that recommendation. Garvy suggested that Yeargin take this recommendation into consideration when setting the committee timetable.
- Garvy clarified that the reason for the expedited process is the previously stated critical issue facing the university and not whether he should serve as Chair until July of this year. He restated the characteristics he believes new leadership should have to carry the university through the process and the resolution of this key issue.
- Walsh asked if any board member may decline a nomination or decline to serve. Garvy stated this was accurate.
- Boloña asked if a nominated trustee could vote at the full board meeting. It was clarified that at the board level, every board member has the right to vote on a nomination, even their own nomination.
- Bradley inquired as to the Sunshine Law and the nomination process. Cole confirmed it would not be a Sunshine violation to send a nomination to the Chair of the Nominating and Governance Committee, if it is a simple email with a nomination and not a conversation. Cole further clarified that an email to a university staff member would also not be a Sunshine violation but in either case, it is a matter of public record.

Garvy restated the motion on the floor: to authorize the Nominating and Governance Committee to modify the timeframe and accelerate the process of electing the Chair and Vice Chair. The motion passed unanimously.

OTHER NEW BUSINESS

Walsh asked the board to consider charging the Nominating and Governance Committee with the following:

- Reevaluating Article IV Section 4.5 and have the board secretary be a member of the board, instead of the president of the university.
 - o Cole clarified that the provision is required by statute and the board does not have the discretion to change it.
- Clarify the university's Organizational Chart to reflect that the president reports directly to the board, and that the Committee reconsider the dual reporting structure of the General Counsel to both the board and the university.
 - Garvy responded that the university's Organizational Chart does reflect that the
 president reports to the board. Garvy clarified that the chart shared at the recent
 Board of Governors meeting was the university's Compliance and Ethics
 Committee organizational chart. Cole stated it has since been corrected with the

BOG. Garvy stated that the Nominating and Governance Committee would be the appropriate committee to evaluate reporting structure.

Bradley initiated a conversation on reopening the Bryan Cave investigation based on the recent actions of the BOG.

Questions and comments on this topic were:

- Garvy indicated there are two continuing investigations: one, by the BOG, will lead an investigation with Bryan Cave and its report will be received by the BOG; second, the Audit and Compliance Committee is continuing its investigation.
- Walsh asked for a clarification on the scope of our investigation. Seay said the board voted not to continue the investigation with Bryan Cave but there was always the intent to keep Bryan Cave on retainer to support the Legislature and the BOG in its efforts. She stated they have been on retainer since December and if needed, they can be asked to investigate issues as requested by her (as the board member in charge of the investigation). Seay said the Board of Governors are specifically looking at the additional projects beyond Trevor Colbourn Hall (TCH) and she will also assist them, if asked.
- Bradley recommended the board consider reopening the Bryan Cave investigation to be in lockstep with the BOG. Seay stated that if an investigation was reopened by our board, she would need clear and defined objectives.
- Walsh asked for a vote to continue the Bryan Cave investigation. Garvy stated the appropriate process would be for the Audit and Compliance Committee to further evaluate this request.

Walsh made a motion for the Audit and Compliance Committee to consider an evaluation, as quickly as practical, to reopen and continue the Bryan Cave investigation, with Bradley providing a second.

Discussion highlights are as follows:

- Seay requested that trustees be specific in their requests to the Audit and Compliance Committee, so the committee can understand the reasonableness of reopening the investigation.
- Garvy reminded the board that they were presented with the results of this board's investigation with Bryan Cave and that Bryan Cave, specifically Burby, recommended to the board that further investigation would not yield additional results. However, the BOG requested an independent investigation with Bryan Cave and the university and this board are cognizant of their concerns and will fully cooperate with them.
- Seay was asked for the specifics of the BOG's charge to Bryan Cave. She stated Bryan Cave was asked by the BOG to identify all E&G funds transferred into UCF construction accounts since July 1, 2010 to determine the usage or intended usage of those funds, their earnings and the current status of those funds, and additionally to determine how this was done, at whose direction and to what purpose.
- Seay confirmed that although this board is not continuing the investigation, a board member (Seay) is assisting with investigations being conducted outside of this board.

Cole asked to have the motion restated. It was confirmed that the motion was for the Audit and Compliance Committee to consider an evaluation, as quickly as practical, to reopen and continue the Bryan Cave investigation. A roll call determined that the motion did not pass, with eight oppositions.

<u>Yes</u>	No
Bradley	Boloña
Conte	Gaekwad
Martins	Garvy
Self	Lord
Walsh	Marchena
	Seay
	Sprouls
	Yeargin

Marchena stated that we have a reporting responsibility to the BOG and if they determine that it is appropriate for the investigation to look deeper into the transfer and misallocation of E&G funds for that period of time, perhaps the board should consider a vote that recognizes that we support that further investigation to show, as Bradley indicated earlier, that we are in lockstep with what the BOG is choosing to do. Garvy agreed that it is incumbent upon us to have the BOG understand that we are cooperating to thoroughly examine these matters and supported Marchena's comments.

Bradley made a motion to reopen the investigation with the information already received and to request Bryan Cave review the one matter which the BOG has asked us to do, which is to report back to the Board of Trustees and BOG if there are any additional transfers of E&G funds for construction. Garvy questioned if the board had already done that. Marchena suggested the motion may be stated such that the board endorses the BOG's directive for further investigation into any other E&G payments or transfers and we request Bryan Cave to also conduct that investigation on behalf of our board.

A discussion regarding the wording of the motion followed:

- Boloña said that from a student perspective, two separate investigations would limit the
 administration's time for student questions and slow down key partnerships between
 students and the administration.
- Walsh stated the board should not establish a precedent on supporting specific BOG initiatives, as UCF should always support BOG initiatives. He would support the first wording of the motion but does not believe an endorsement of what the BOG is doing is necessary.
- Seay stated her understanding of the January 24 board meeting was that after she presented her findings on the additional projects, the board voted to not continue to have the Bryan Cave firm investigate these additional projects. However, the vote did not limit her, as the board member in charge of the investigation, to continue to investigate these projects and any ancillary issues that may be uncovered. She believes that is the

confusion that has proliferated to the BOG and others. Seay restated a decision was made in December to keep Bryan Cave on retainer to support any further investigation needs from her, the legislation or the BOG.

Garvy asked Bradley to restate his motion after this discussion.

Bradley moved that the UCF Board of Trustees continue to investigate the one matter which has been requested by the BOG, and that is whether or not any additional transfers of E&G funds were sent to construction projects. Seay stated that Bryan Cave has the data and it is available for the BOG to use. Garvy asked if Bradley wanted to clarify his motion based on this information.

Bradley said that his motion may not be clear and asked to explain his position again. He said the BOG has asked for the investigation to continue and his understanding is that our action on January 24 was to cease the investigation. He believes we should be investigating, as a board, the very same issues that the BOG is pursuing.

Bradley moved for the UCF Board of Trustees to continue the investigation as outlined by the BOG's investigation. Walsh provided a second to this motion.

Discussion followed:

- Garvy questioned how this motion would interfere with the BOG investigation, specifically that the BOG is the client of Bryan Cave and Bryan Cave is conducting an independent review and report for the BOG.
 - O Cole stated there are two ways to approach this: a parallel investigation through our Audit and Compliance Committee or another independent firm be brought in. In either case, the Bryan Cave firm should not be engaged.
- Gaekwad stated he believes the board should wait for the results of the BOG investigation. He believes Seay conducted a complete investigation and we submitted the results.
- Garvy restated his concern that the BOG requested an independent investigation and if we involve ourselves in a parallel investigation, we may give the impression that we are involving ourselves in the BOG's independent investigation.

Bradley withdrew his motion stating that he never intended to interfere with the BOG investigation.

ADJOURNMENT

Before adjournment, Yeargin recognized former Chair Marchena and thanked him for his service, which was fully endorsed by Garvy and other board members.

Garvy adjourned the board meeting at 10:42 a.m.					
Respectfully submitted:		Date:			
	Grant J. Heston				
	Associate Corporate Secretar	У			

Minutes Board of Trustees Emergency Meeting University of Central Florida February 21, 2019

Chairman Robert Garvy called the emergency meeting of the Board of Trustees to order at 10:08 a.m.

Garvy reminded the board that the meeting was covered by the Florida Sunshine Law and that the public and press were invited to attend.

WELCOME

Garvy welcomed the board members and called on Grant Heston, Associate Corporate Secretary, to call the roll. Heston determined that a quorum was present.

The following board members attended the meeting in person: Chairman Robert Garvy, Joshua Boloña, Kenneth Bradley, Joseph Conte, John Lord, Alex Martins, William Self, John Sprouls, and David Walsh.

Trustees Beverly Seay, Danny Gaekwad and William Yeargin attended via teleconference. Gaekwad and Yeargin had to disconnect before the vote.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Garvy noted there were a significant number of public comments and asked for a motion to waive section 7.6 of the Board of Trustees Bylaws, which state that the board will reserve no more than 15 minutes for public comment, and for the board to allow 60 minutes for public comment. A motion was made by Martins, with a second from Sprouls. The motion carried unanimously.

At the 60-minute mark, a motion was made by Martins to extend public comments for an additional 30 minutes, with a second from Bradley. The motion carried unanimously.

There were 31 public comments including call-in comments. The comments from a diverse group of donors, faculty, staff, students and community members were overwhelmingly in favor for rejecting President Whittaker's resignation. These comments highlighted his attributes for leadership, student engagement and his integrity, while acknowledging the difficult decision the board had to make. There were several comments that were in opposition to Whittaker questioning the misallocation of funds and the transparency of the university's administration.

Garvy acknowledged there were more than 150 electronic responses sent to the board following the announcement of President Whittaker's resignation. Garvy asked for a 10-minute recess so the board could review these responses.

NEW BUSINESS

BOT-1 Resignation of President Whittaker

Garvy resumed the emergency meeting at 11:49 a.m. He said that late yesterday, Whittaker had written a letter to the Board of Trustees (BOT). Garvy asked Uzoamaka Mbionwu, a UCF student, to read the letter into record. The letter laid out a path to a renewed relationship with the Legislature and is attached here.

Trustee Martins made a brief statement addressing the rules of order, specifically that each trustee be recognized by the Chairman before speaking.

Garvy asked to make a statement before the board addressed Whittaker's resignation. He said that today is a loss for the more than 68,000 students, 280,000 graduates and thousands of businesses and entities within our state. He said that Whittaker, faced with a whirlwind of controversy borne by the poor decisions of a prior administration, has decided to his great credit and character, to subordinate his personal wellbeing to that of our students, faculty and the future of UCF, by offering to resign from the presidency.

Garvy said that in his letter offering to resign, Whittaker outlined the steps he took to address the issues and controversy surrounding the funding and construction of Trevor Colbourn Hall (TCH). He said the letter also detailed the comprehensive steps Whittaker and UCF's administration took when the statutorily unauthorized use of funds was uncovered. Garvy said Whittaker and the BOT sought to support an accurate and impartial investigation of the circumstances, and with the BOT's endorsement, began implementing reforms in both procedures and culture at UCF to prevent such incidents in the future. Both Whittaker and the BOT sought to repair and restore the public's trust and confidence in UCF.

Garvy mentioned that he worked with former BOT Chairman Marcos Marchena for many years and knew him to be honest, diligent, conscientious and committed to ethical and exemplary standards, the same that were evidenced by Whittaker. Garvy stated that Marchena and Whittaker are casualties in this violation of public trust and statutory limitations led by the former Chief Financial Officer at UCF. Garvy said this violation is evident in the former CFO's own frank and immediate admission when his actions were uncovered as well as in the conclusions by the nationally recognized legal firm of Bryan Cave, assisted by their forensic investigative partner, Price Waterhouse, who examined tens of thousands of documents to get to the bottom of how funds were diverted from UCF Educational and General Funds into prohibited construction accounts.

Garvy expressed that communications are difficult during the best of circumstances and the failure to adequately communicate with the Board of Governors (BOG) and the Florida Legislature created a perception that this board did not approach the misuse of funds in the construction of TCH with due seriousness. He said they wanted the Bryan Cave investigation to proceed unencumbered and not influenced by interference or statements from the BOT, and withheld comment until the independent investigation was complete.

Garvy said Whittaker's letter offering to resign stated that sometimes the finest leadership decisions are those that entrust future leadership to others and concluded that for UCF to heal wounds, new leadership is required. Citing Whittaker's demonstration of great courage and sacrifice for UCF's students, faculty and administration, Garvy stated that the board should honor his thoughtful and thoroughly considered offer to resign in the hope that the relationship between UCF and the Florida Legislature could be renewed.

To allow for board discussion, Garvy asked for a motion to accept the resignation of President Whittaker, subject to a mutually agreed upon Settlement Agreement negotiated by the Chairman and recommended by the Nominating and Governance Committee for approval. A motion was made by Martins, with a second from Lord.

Following the motion, Trustee comments highlighted the following:

- Sprouls said this is a very difficult situation, one of the few in life between where one is torn between doing what is right and what is best. He addressed Mary and Erin Whittaker, saying that Dale Whittaker should continue as president, while understanding that he most likely cannot if he truly loves this university. Sprouls noted that he was the Chief Compliance Officer for a multi-billion-dollar private business for more than 20 years, and he is required to know the difference between a mistake and a misappropriation. He said that he is very concerned that it would be far more damaging to UCF to not accept Dale's resignation today. He fears that if the board does what he believes to be the right thing, they would regret doing that in respect to what would happen to UCF.
- Conte acknowledged that he is not an alumnus of the school, but he is believer in UCF since the university brings so much quality and statistically robust data that helps this community. Conte said doing the best thing to keep the university at the forefront is the central question that has to be considered. He said it is important to protect the strategy of the university to ensure it is robust and sound. Conte stated, in his opinion, the role of the trustees was to make sound, honest and complete decisions relative to the information given,; however, he also believes that the board must make decisions to protect the university. Conte concluded by stating that although this is probably the most difficult decision he has made, having made many difficult decisions in his career, he thinks the best thing for the university is the most important factor and we must move forward in relationship rebuilding and keeping the university at the forefront with projects on hand right now.
- Boloña declared that it was a sad day because it has been clear that this step needs to be made to continue advancing the mission of the university, which is to create access for all and maintain a high-quality education. He said that he was in Tallahassee when he first heard the news. The heartbroken reaction of the Legislative Scholars confirmed to him that Whittaker was both a leader the student body wanted and needed, and a president who achieved the difficult task of building a relationship with a vastly diverse student body. Boloña stated that an illegal action made by some made Whittaker look unethical, which he does not believe. He reminded everyone that he previously stated

that no matter the consequences, the board should ensure that students do not feel negative impacts, but he believes that is too late. Boloña noted that although he understands that this resignation is best for the university moving forward, on behalf of the students, he believes they would vote against Whitaker's offer, and he wholeheartedly agrees. Boloña concluded by saying that the students and university will face setbacks with a transition at the highest level for the second time in one year.

- Bradley also affirmed that it was a sad and dark day for his alma mater and the State of Florida. He asserted that he does not take a resignation lightly and noted that Whittaker had put tremendous thought into this. He said that it is painful to see the Whittakers suffer through this since, in his opinion, these decisions were not on his watch. He noted that when the university is not on the front page for student success or academic achievement then all of us have collectively done something wrong. Bradley said he hopes that Whittaker's suggested path to go forward is adopted by the state. He expressed that in the long term, he believes Whittaker will be a president of a wonderful facility well into the future, and while we will be envious, we will be tremendous cheerleaders of what they do. He concluded that he will be voting for the resignation based on Whittaker's personal reflection and decision.
- Self indicated that is hard to believe the university is faced with this decision. He reflected on what Whittaker has brought to UCF, including sorely needed faculty strength and faculty governance. He said that it was mentioned at yesterday's emergency Faculty Senate meeting that prior to Whittaker's arrival, this was a very top down institution. He declared Whittaker was a breath of fresh air for the faculty and noted Whittaker's first initiative as Provost to hire more faculty was one of the reasons why he thought he was the strongest candidate during the presidential search. Self acknowledged the perspective of the rest of the board, but he also noted that he is the only member of this board, outside of the Student Government leader, who is not under threat by the Governor and by the Legislature. He expressed concern about the stability of this institution and strongly recommended the need of a strong academic leader to lead this institution both in the short-term and in the long-term. Self stated he would not support Whittaker's resignation and that he stood with the students and faculty of the university, stressing that from his perspective, the local leadership in the universities in our governance system and the BOG should be stronger and that we would be a far better system for it.
- Seay stated that she does not speak or act out of fear. She said Whittaker is a fine leader and the offer of his resignation is a demonstration of fine leadership. She remarked that his resignation should be respected because he knows what he is doing and it in no way diminishes the fine person he is.
- Walsh recounted that he was privileged to lead the Presidential Search Committee that selected Whittaker, an easy decision for the Committee. He agreed with all the statements made about Whittaker in respect to his engagement, involvement, intellect, and immersion with students and noted that it was heartbreaking to be in this position today. He affirmed that what the board does is for the welfare of the over 66,000

students at the university, ensuring their academic preparedness and inspiring their thirst for continued learning. Walsh noted that consistent with the reasons why he was picked as president last year, Whittaker articulated the rationale for his offer to resign, that his sentiments were about the students and sacrificing his position is in the core interest of students and the university being adequately resourced going forward. Walsh said that the board must look at what is best for students in terms of more resources and more teaching faculty to better influence and shape lives in a positive way, and Whittaker's own recommendation is consistent with that.

- Lord made a brief statement that Whittaker is a good man.
- Martins stated that it was a very difficult day and that as an alumnus of the university, he takes great pride in his responsibility to this board. He stated that as a leader, he emulates the great leaders among us and said Whittaker is a great leader, a leader of high character and integrity, a visionary and an innovator. He expressed disappointment in his resignation, but that Whittaker had shown great leadership by putting this university above himself, his current career path and above everything else because he understands that the responsibility of a president is to ensure the long-term interests of this university. Martins confirmed that Whittaker had a very positive impact on the students, faculty and everyone associated with the university and that if his resignation is accepted, our loss will be someone else's very significant gain. He thanked Whittaker for putting the university, students and faculty first. Martins expressed the seriousness that he and the other trustees take in their fiduciary responsibilities and stated that he believes the board responded appropriately in the actions taken to correct the mistakes that were made but acknowledged there was more work to be done. He said the board cannot do this alone and we need help from the BOG and the Legislature to move forward. Martins concluded by stating it was a time to heal and move on to ensure the university can continue to produce graduates that will positively contribute to the state, economy and world.

Garvy asked Heston to call the roll to record the votes on the motion.

Yes	No
Garvy	Boloña
Bradley	Self
Conte	Sprouls
Lord	
Martins	
Seay	
Walsh	

The motion passed to accept President Whittaker's resignation.

Garvy stated the board needed to address the next steps for the presidency. He initiated a discussion regarding the naming of an Interim President:

- Garvy said the naming of an Interim President would require approval by both the BOT and the BOG.
- He acknowledged that Whittaker stated he would move out of his position immediately.

Garvy made a motion to include an agenda item taking up the issue of naming an Interim President and assistance with the search process. Bradley provided the second.

Garvy recommended Thad Seymour, Vice President for Partnerships and Chief Innovation Officer, be named the short-term Interim President and that the board move forward with the Association of Governing Boards (AGB) for assistance with the search process on a long-term interim. He indicated the longevity of AGB, and that their search division was ready to step in immediately to assist in finding a long-term Interim President.

Garvy asked for a combined motion to appoint Thad Seymour as Interim President and to use AGB to search for a long-term Interim President. Martins made a motion for Thad Seymour to serve as Interim President until a search concludes and to use AGB to search for a long-term Interim President. Bradley provided a second.

Further discussion followed, and the highlights are as follows:

- Self supports the motion but noted that UCF needed an experienced academic leader even on the interim level. Martins supported this recommendation.
- Cole clarified we can appoint a short-term interim, but a long-term Interim President would require approval from the BOG.
- Martins verified the short-term Interim President does not need to be approved by the BOG. Cole confirmed but restated that if the short-term interim turns into a long-term Interim, then they will need to be approved by the BOG.
- Bradley thanked Elizabeth Dooley, Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs, and her staff for all their academic work during these trying times.
- Walsh inquired as to the time frame for hiring a long-term Interim President. Garvy suggested it might be a couple of months, if that long.

The board voted, and the motion carried unanimously.

BOT-2 Nomination Process for Chair and Vice Chair

Garvy reminded the trustees that at their last meeting they authorized the Nominating and Governance committee to accelerate the normal process for a Chair and Vice Chair from the usual July 1 date. Due to extraordinary circumstances, it had been requested that the board go through the normal process of electing a new Chair and Vice Chair. Garvy acknowledged that he had been requested to continue to serve as chair until July of this year.

Garvy requested a motion for the Nominating and Governance Committee to proceed in its normal process of taking recommendations from members of the BOT and to bring those candidates before the board. Bradley made a motion of restoration of the normal process with Boloña making the second.

Both Bradley and Walsh thanked Garvy for stepping up to serve as Chair.

The board voted, and the motion passed unanimously.

OTHER NEW BUSINESS

Garvy shared an information item regarding an upcoming special board meeting. He told trustees that staff would be in contact to schedule a meeting the week of March 11 to address the Carryforward Spending plan, which is to be presented at the March 28 Board of Governors meeting.

ADJOURNMENT

Bradley made a motion 12:53 p.m.	to adjourn with a s	second and	l Garvy	adjourned	the board	meeting at
Respectfully submitted:			Date: _			
	Grant J. Heston					
	Associate Corporate	e Secretary				

ITEM: INFO - 1

UCF BOARD OF TRUSTEES March 21, 2019

Title: Central Florida Educator Federal Credit Union Arena Name Change (INFO)

Background:

In May 2013, CFE Federal Credit Union (CFE) entered into a naming rights agreement with the UCF Convocation Corporation (UCFCC) for the UCF Arena, which was owned by UCFCC. This agreement was presented to the BOT on May 23, 2013 by former UCFCC Chair Bob Holmes as an informational item.

The term of the agreement is seven years, expiring on November 1, 2020, with an option for CFE to renew for one consecutive three-year term. CFE intends to change the name of its organization to *Addition Financial*, effective May 1, 2019, and change the arena name to *Addition Financial Arena* as described in the attachment.

Ownership of the UCF Arena was transferred from the UCFCC to the University of Central Florida in a refinancing of the debt on August 1, 2015. However, the naming rights agreement provides that UCFCC shall have the right to approve the proposed new name, understanding that approval shall not be unreasonably withheld. To be consistent with the original naming process and to comply with the naming rights agreement, the UCFCC Board of Directors considered the name change and approved it.

Issues to be Considered:

None.

Alternatives to Decision:

N/A

Fiscal Impact and Source of Funding:

Per the naming rights agreement, all costs associated with the arena name change are the responsibility of CFE Federal Credit Union. A CFE sign contractor and other trademarking companies are in production of new signage to replace the existing CFE signs, stationery, apparel, decals, on-campus and off- campus directional signs, branch sign, ATM imaging, etc.

Recommended Action:

None.

Authority for Board of Trustees Action:

N/A – information item only

Committee Chair or Chairman of the Board approval:

Submitted by:

Grant J. Heston- Chair UCF Convocation Corporation

Supporting Documentation:

Sign Design Documents
CFE/ UCFCC Naming Rights Agreement Excerpt

Facilitators/Presenters:

Ronnie Lamkin, General Manager UCF Convocation Corporation

ITEM: INFO-2

UCF BOARD OF TRUSTEES Board of Trustees March 21, 2019

Title: Board of Trustees Service to Direct Support Organization Boards

Background:

Under Florida law and the bylaws of each University of Central Florida (UCF) Direct Support Organization (DSO), the UCF Board of Trustees (BOT) Chair appoints a member of the BOT to serve on the board of directors and executive committee of each DSO board. The Florida Board of Governors and UCF regulations reflect this statutory requirement.

Issues to be Considered:

Not applicable.

Alternatives to Decision:

Not applicable.

Fiscal Impact and Source of Funding:

Not applicable.

Recommended Action:

Information item only

Authority for Board of Trustees Action:

Florida Statutes Section 1004.28(3) – The chair of the university board of trustees shall appoint at least one representative to the board of directors and the executive committee of any direct-support organization established under this section.

Committee Chair or Chairman of the Board approval:

Approved by Chairman Robert Garvy

Submitted by:

Margaret Jarrell-Cole, Associate Vice President, Direct Support Organizations

Supporting Documentation:

Attachment A: Committee and DSO Assignments

Facilitators/Presenters:

Margaret Jarrell-Cole, Associate Vice President, Direct Support Organizations

University of Central Florida Board of Trustees Committee Assignments and Direct Support Organization Assignments March 2019

Advancement	Audit and Compliance	Compensation and Labor	Educational Programs		
Minimum of five members	Minimum of three members	Minimum of three members	Minimum of five members		
Development and alumni relations, public and governmental relations, marketing, communications, and admissions	Financial practices and management, internal controls, and standards of conduct for university and affiliates	Conduct periodic compensation and assessment reviews of the president and develop recommendations to the board for its consideration; review and approve discretionary compensation plans and deferred compensation plans, if any, for senior executives of the university, and oversee the collective bargaining responsibilities of the board	Academic and student life, components of the university, athletics, and strategic planning		
Joseph Conte, Chair Ken Bradley, Vice Chair Joshua Boloña Danny Gaekwad Alex Martins	Beverly Seay, Chair Ken Bradley, Vice Chair Danny Gaekwad David Walsh William Yeargin	John Sprouls, Chair David Walsh, Vice Chair Joseph Conte John Lord	, Chair Beverly Seay, Vice Chair Joshua Boloña Ken Bradley Danny Gaekwad William Self		
Robert Garvy (Ex Officio)	Robert Garvy (Ex Officio)	Robert Garvy (Ex Officio)	Robert Garvy (Ex Officio)		
Staff: Grant Heston Michael Morsberger Janet Owen	Staff: Christina Serra Robert Taft	Staff: Scott Cole Maureen Binder	Staff: Elizabeth Dooley		

Finance and Facilities	Nominating and Governance	Strategic Planning	Direct Support Organizations
Minimum of five members Budget, finance and accounting, financial services, purchasing, business services, DSO oversight, human resources, environmental health and safety, facilities planning, landscape and natural resources, physical plant, police department, business services, and parking and transportation services	Minimum of five members Trustee assessment, trustee nominations, election procedures, presidential search procedures, and governance	Minimum of five members Provide support and guidance regarding strategic planning and the implementation of strategic plans	Academic Health–Joseph Conte Athletics–Alex Martins Convocation–Beverly Seay Finance–Danny Gaekwad Foundation–Robert Garvy Limbitless Solutions, Inc.–David Walsh Research Foundation–Ken Bradley Stadium–Alex Martins
Alex Martins, Chair, Vice Chair Joshua Boloña John Lord John Sprouls David Walsh William Yeargin	William Yeargin, Chair Alex Martins, Vice Chair John Lord Beverly Seay John Sprouls	David Walsh, Chair Joseph Conte, Vice Chair Joshua Boloña William Self	Other Boards Doctor Phillips Performing Arts Center—Joseph Conte Central Florida Clinical Practice Organization—John Lord
Robert Garvy (Ex Officio) Staff: General Robert Caslen Misty Shepherd	Robert Garvy (Ex Officio) Staff: Scott Cole	Robert Garvy (Ex Officio) Staff: Thad Seymour	M:Board of Trustees\BOT Committee Assignments March 6, 2019

ITEM: CL-1

UCF BOARD OF TRUSTEES March 21, 2019

Title: Approval of Interim President Employment Agreement between the UCF Board of Trustees and Thaddeus Seymour Jr.

Background:

On February 21, 2019, the Board of Trustees appointed Thaddeus Seymour Jr. as Interim President with the intention to move promptly to appoint a long term interim president. Based on feedback from Legislators, the Board of Governors, Trustees, and staff, it is the consensus view that Dr. Seymour is exceptionally qualified to act as Interim President for a long term until the search for a permanent president is conducted and the successful candidate is in place. The intent is to commence the search for the permanent president this Fall, with a start date of June or July 2020. The appointment of Dr. Seymour as a long term interim president will require approval of the Board of Governors pursuant to BOG Regulation 1.001(5)(d).

Issues to be Considered:

Whether to approve the attached Interim President Employment Agreement for Dr. Seymour.

Alternatives to Decision:

Deny the proposed Interim President Employment Agreement and move forward with hiring an interim president from an outside source.

Fiscal Impact and Source of Funding:

The salary of \$506,000 is funded through E&G and Foundation funds.

Recommended Action:

Approve the proposed Interim President Employment Agreement.

Authority for Board of Trustees Action:

BOG Regulation 1.001(5)(d)

Committee Chair or Chairman of the Board approval:

Committee Chair John Sprouls approved the agenda item and all supporting documentation for committee. Board of Trustees Chairman Robert Garvy approved the item and documentation for board consideration.

Submitted by:

Robert Garvy, Chairman, Board of Trustees

Supporting Documentation:

Attachment A: Proposed Interim President Employment Agreement

Attachment B: Thad Seymour Biography

Attachment C: Thad Seymour Curriculum Vitae

Facilitators/Presenters: N/A

INTERIM PRESIDENT EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENT

This Interim President Employment Agreement ("Agreement"), is entered into by and between the University of Central Florida Board of Trustees (the "Board," or the "Board of Trustees"), and Thaddeus Seymour Jr. ("Dr. Seymour") and shall become effective as of February 21, 2019. The Board and Dr. Seymour may hereinafter be collectively referred to as "the Parties."

RECITALS

WHEREAS, the Board of Trustees has appointed Dr. Seymour as interim president on a short term basis and would like to extend his term until a permanent president has been hired and begins employment; and

WHEREAS, Dr. Seymour is willing to serve as Interim President until a permanent president begins his employment;

WHEREAS, the parties desire to memorialize the terms and conditions of Dr. Seymour's employment as Interim President of UCF in this agreement.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual promises, covenants, and conditions contained herein, and other valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, the Parties agree as follows:

Section 1.0 Term. The Board shall employ Dr. Seymour as its Interim President for a term beginning on February 21, 2019. Dr. Seymour will serve as Interim President at the pleasure of the Board and may be replaced at any time with or without cause.

Section 2.0 Powers and Duties. Dr. Seymour shall be the Interim President of the University, subject to UCF regulations and policies, and supervision by the Board. Dr. Seymour shall have the powers and duties reserved to the position of President by the Delegation of Authority to the President dated October 26, 2017 and as established from time to time by the Board (collectively, the "Duties").

Section 3.0 Compensation.

Section 3.1 Annual Base Salary. As compensation for the services as Interim President, the Board shall provide Dr. Seymour with an interim salary supplement to his current salary to bring his base salary to \$506,000. No more of this amount than is allowed by Florida Statutes shall be paid from public funds. The base salary shall be payable according to the pay plan for administrative and professional employees at the University, with appropriate deductions for taxes and benefits.

Section 3.2 Incentive Compensation. Dr. Seymour shall continue to be eligible for an annual incentive award, established in accordance with the Performance Unit Plan (PUP) approved by the Board, based on his status as Vice President for Partnerships and Chief Innovation Officer.

Section 4.0 Benefits.

<u>Section 4.1 Standard Benefits</u>. While employed as University Interim President, Dr. Seymour shall be eligible to participate in all present and future benefit plans maintained by the University for administrative and professional employees. Such benefits shall include, without limitation, health care, disability and life insurance programs, retirement plans, tax-deferred savings plans, flexible spending accounts, and vacation and sick leave.

<u>Section 4.2 Business/Travel Expenses</u>. While employed as Interim President, the University shall cover the cost of Dr. Seymour's reasonable business expenses, including professional dues, meetings, business travel, and business entertainment.

Section 5.0 Outside Activities. Dr. Seymour agrees to faithfully, industriously, and with maximum application of experience, ability, and talent, devote full-time attention and energies to his duties as President. The expenditure of reasonable amounts of time for personal or outside business, as well as charitable and professional development activities, shall not be deemed a breach of this Agreement, provided such activities do not interfere with the Duties. Dr. Seymour shall not engage in any activity that may be competitive with or adverse to the best interests of the Board and the University.

Section 6.0 Termination/Resignation

Section 6.1 Termination. The Board may terminate this Agreement and Dr. Seymour's employment hereunder at any time, with or without cause. Upon termination, Dr. Seymour shall return to his role as Vice President for Partnerships and Chief Innovation Officer and his salary supplement will be terminated.

Section 6.2 Resignation as President. In the event Dr. Seymour resigns his employment as Interim President of the University in a timely manner that is acceptable to the Board, he will return to his position as Vice President for Partnerships and Chief Innovation Officer at his regular salary.

Section 7.0 Severability and Waiver. If any portion of this Agreement shall be held to be invalid, inoperative, or unenforceable, then, so far as possible, effect shall be given to the intent manifested by the portion held invalid, inoperative, or unenforceable, and the remainder of this Agreement shall remain in full force and effect. No waiver or failure to enforce any or all rights under this Agreement by either party on any occasion shall constitute a waiver of that party's right to assert the same or any other rights on that

or any other occasion.

Section 8.0 Governing Law. This Agreement shall be interpreted and construed, and the rights and obligations of the parties hereto shall be determined in accordance with the laws of the State of Florida, excluding its choice of law rules.

Section 9.0 Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, and by the parties on separate counterparts each of which, when so executed, shall constitute but one in the same instrument.

Section 10.0 Modification of Agreement. This Agreement represents the complete understanding of the parties and supersedes any previous or contemporaneous written or oral representations made by either party. There are no other promises, understanding, obligations, inducements, undertakings, or considerations between the parties or owed by either party to the other that are not set forth in this Agreement. This Agreement may be modified or amended only by mutual written consent of the parties.

Section 11.0 Personal Contract. The obligations and duties of Dr. Seymour shall be personal and not assignable or delegable in any manner whatsoever. This Agreement shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of Dr. Seymour and his executors, administrators, heirs, successors, and permitted assigns, and upon the University and its successors and assigns.

Section 12.0 Understanding of the Agreement. Both parties represent that they have thoroughly read this Agreement, that they understand it to be a binding contract, that they understand each provision, term, and condition of this Agreement as well as its legal effect, and that they have signed the Agreement voluntarily and of their own free will with the intention to comply with its terms.

Section 13.0 Disclosure of the Agreement. Both parties agree and acknowledge that this Agreement may be subject to the Florida public records law, Chapter 119, or other provisions, and may, therefore, be subject to disclosure by and in the manner provided for by law.

Section 14.0 Miscellaneous. The headings in this Agreement are for convenience only and shall not be used in construing or interpreting this Agreement. The terms "Board," "Board of Trustees" and "University" as used herein, where applicable or appropriate, shall be deemed to include or refer to any duly authorized board, committee, or officer of said entity. Whenever the context requires, the masculine shall include the feminine and neuter, the singular shall include the plural, and conversely.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Interim President and the authorized representative

of the Board of Trustees have executed this Agreement to be effective as of February 21, 2019.

University of Central Florida Board of
Trustees
By:
Robert Garvy
Chairman
(Date)
By:
John R. Sprouls
Chair, Board of Trustees Compensation and Labor
Committee
(Date)
By:
Dr. Thaddeus Seymour Jr.
(Date)



UNIVERSITY OF CENTRAL FLORIDA

Thad Seymour, Jr., Ph.D.

Interim President
Vice President for Partnerships
and Chief Innovation Officer



Thad Seymour was selected as interim president by UCF's Board of Trustees on Feb. 21, 2019. Thad also serves as UCF's Vice President for Partnerships and Chief Innovation Officer, focused on expanding and deepening the university's partnerships and enhancing UCF's ability to cultivate the talent needed to strengthen and diversify Central Florida's economy.

Prior to his current role, Thad spent two years leading efforts to bring UCF Downtown to life and positioning the campus, which will open in August, as an innovative model of 21st-century higher education. Before that, he led the development of UCF's Collective Impact Strategic Plan, which was unanimously approved by the UCF Board of Trustees in May 2016.

Before UCF, Thad's three-decade business career included senior executive roles with large healthcare and technology companies, as well as multiple early stage businesses. As senior vice president of Tavistock Development Company, he led strategy and business development for Lake Nona Medical City and helped bring more than \$3 billion in health and life sciences investment to the fast-growing innovation cluster. Thad also led the recruitment of the United States Tennis Association to Orlando to build the nation's largest tennis complex, and founded and served as president of the Lake Nona Institute, a not-for-profit organization committed to driving innovative collaboration among Lake Nona's diverse partners, including health and wellness, education and sustainability. It also hosts the widely acclaimed annual Lake Nona Impact Forum.

Thad served as a board member of BioFlorida and was the 2015 recipient of the BioFlorida Leadership Award. He cochaired bioOrlando and is a past chair of the Florida Research Consortium. Within the Orlando community, Thad has served on the board of Visit Orlando and the president's advisory council of Valencia College. He is a past chair of StarterStudio, a nonprofit entrepreneurial support and co-working organization in downtown Orlando, and Shepherd's Hope, which provides free medical care to more than 20,000 uninsured patients throughout Central Florida. Thad currently serves as an executive committee member of the Orlando Economic Partnership.

Thad holds a Bachelor of Arts in History from Dartmouth College and a Master of Arts and doctorate, both in History, from the University of Wisconsin. He also holds an MBA from the Kellogg School of Management at Northwestern University. He and his wife, Katie Glockner Seymour, have three grown children.

THADDEUS SEYMOUR, JR., PH.D. P.O. Box 160002

Orlando, FL 32816-0002

407.823.2484 thad.seymour@ucf.edu

SUMMARY OF EXPERIENCE

Experienced academic leader and corporate executive with demonstrated success in leading senior teams in higher education and fast-growing private and public companies. Proven general management skills, with strong functional experience in strategy, mergers and acquisitions, business development, marketing, sales, professional services, and finance. Industry experience in multiple vertical markets, with particular strength in higher education, healthcare, technology, and real estate development. Extensive experience with venture-backed companies. A passionate strategist and advocate for regional and statewide economic development. Academic background and experience, with track record of collaborations among universities, independent research institutes, charitable foundations, and a wide range of not-for-profit organizations. Collaborative, strategic leader with high sense of urgency and relentless focus on innovation and value creation.

EXPERIENCE

Sept. 2015 UNIVERSITY OF CENTRAL FLORIDA to Present

ORLANDO, FL

One of the nation's largest research universities with over 68,000 students, a highly respected faculty, and a national reputation for partnership and innovation.

7/18-present Vice President for Partnerships and Chief Innovation Officer

Creating new division to amplify the university's impact through strategic partnerships and both internal and external innovation efforts. Areas included in the division include the UCF Downtown campus, the Center for Higher Education Innovation, corporate partnerships, community-focused entrepreneurship programs, economic development, community relations, and the Florida High Tech Corridor.

6/16-6/18 **Vice Provost for UCF Downtown**

Led the development and preparation for opening of a new urban campus in partnership with Valencia College that will welcome approximately 7,700 students in August 2019. Developed unique and strategic community and corporate partnerships that will amplify the economic, social, and cultural impact of the university on downtown Orlando and beyond.

9/15-5/16 Senior Advisor to the Provost for Strategic Planning

Under the direction of the provost, led the development of the university's aspirational Collective Impact Strategic Plan, approved by the UCF Board of Trustees in May 2016. Oversaw multiple teams of university and community stakeholders, collaborated with university senior leaders and trustees, and developed a high-quality, metric-driven, 20-year roadmap for the university.

May 2008 TAVISTOCK DEVELOPMENT COMPANY to June 2015

ORLANDO, FL

Privately held real estate development company affiliated with the Tavistock Group, an international private investment organization. Tavistock Development Company has developed Lake Nona Medical City and the surrounding community comprised of over 7.000 acres.

12/12-6/15 Senior Vice President

Oversaw business development, marketing, sales, strategic alliances, and new ventures for Lake Nona project. \$3 billion in construction since 2007 has established a robust platform on which the project will continue to grow over the next several decades.

March 2019

12/09-3/16 President, Lake Nona Institute

Founded and led the not-for-profit organization committed to driving innovative collaboration among a diverse set of partners using Lake Nona as a living laboratory in the arenas of health and wellness, education, and sustainability. The Institute created and hosts the annual Lake Nona Impact Forum, among multiple other collaborative research and innovation initiatives.

05/08-12/12 Vice President and General Manager, Health and Life Sciences

Responsible for development and execution of Medical City strategy, working with the partner organizations, and developing external strategic alliances with national and global companies.

Nov. 2004 to May 2008

CURASCRIPT, INC. (a subsidiary of Express Scripts, Inc.)

ORLANDO, FL

\$4 billion specialty pharmacy and distribution subsidiary of Express Scripts, Inc. (Fortune 150 Pharmacy Benefit Management company), dispensing and distributing expensive, hard-to-handle biotech drugs to patients, physicians, and alternate site settings.

10/05-5/08

Vice President, Marketing and Corporate Communications

Responsible for marketing, strategy, and communications across CuraScript's four business units, which generated nearly \$100 million in EBITDA.

- Reported to CuraScript CEO with responsibility for marketing strategy development and execution.
- Successfully led entire rebranding of CuraScript following 2005 Priority Healthcare acquisition.
- Led marketing team of 16 professionals with \$4.4 million budget supporting \$4 billion in revenue.

11/04-10/05

Vice President, Corporate Development (Priority Healthcare, acquired October 2005)

Responsible for driving company strategy and accelerating growth through acquisitions. Led management team in defining transformational strategy to become a healthcare services company. \$1.3 billion sale to Express Scripts represented a 58% market cap increase since joining the company.

- Negotiated immediately accretive \$32 million infusion pharmacy acquisition in Louisville, KY.
- Built strong deal pipeline and doubled acquisition capital pool from \$200 million to \$400 million.
- Project managed Priority Healthcare teams through the Express Scripts sale process, including due diligence, definitive agreement drafting, communications, and regulatory/shareholder filings.

July 2003 to Oct. 2004

CFSE BUSINESS SERVICES, INC.

WINTER PARK, FL

Financial advisory and strategic consulting firm affiliated with Chastang, Ferrell, Sims & Eiserman, LLC, then the largest regional accounting firm in Central Florida.

President

Worked with growth companies to provide strategic and financial advisory services, including M&A assistance, capital raising, interim management, business valuation, and strategic consulting.

- Sold wireless public safety software business to publicly held acquirer.
- Provided valuation and business strategy assistance to multiple client companies.
- Board chairman and consultant to assistive technology company.

Jan. 2000 to June 2003

PERFORMANCE PARTNERS

LAKE MARY, FL

Management consulting firm established to improve the operational execution of clients through business strategy refinement, executive team development, interim management, and capital-raising.

Principal

Worked with high-potential clients to accelerate growth, establish a scalable infrastructure, and develop sustainable value in the business.

- · Co-founder and chairman of software firm (acquired in 2005, sold to Oracle).
- · Chairman and consultant to Web content management tools provider (acquired in 2002).
- Enhanced strategy and partnership opportunities for Chicago-based healthcare ASP provider.

Jan. 1995 to Dec. 1999

AVIO CORPORATION

MAITLAND, FL

A venture-backed healthcare software company. Acquired by Shared Medical Systems (SMS) in 1999, which was subsequently acquired by Siemens in 2000.

President and Chief Operating Officer

Full operating responsibility for high-growth clinical software business for large physician groups.

- Raised \$22 million in venture capital and strategic partner equity financing.
- Software twice recognized as Microsoft Healthcare Product of the Year.
- Negotiated and closed \$20 million+ distribution agreement with largest partner.
- Negotiated and closed multi-million-dollar global contract with large auto manufacturer.

1992 to 1994

HBO & COMPANY (now McKesson)

LONGWOOD, FL

A leading provider of hospital information systems. Previously IBAX Healthcare Systems (a Baxter and IBM joint venture), acquired by HBOC in June 1994.

9/92-12/94

Vice President and General Manager, Series 3000 and Value Added Products

Responsible for all functions of five highly profitable business units and new product development.

- Responsible for Pharmacy, Operating Room, and Small Hospital businesses, with staff of 70.
- Grew Operating Room business 70% and increased Pharmacy profit margin to best in the industry.
- Led post-acquisition effort to ensure successful transition for one of first HBOC acquisitions.

1/92-9/92

Director, Strategic Planning and Marketing

Responsible for company strategic planning and marketing communications. Reported to CEO.

- Initiated and led process to define strategy for each product line in company turnaround. Resulted in company reorganization and return to profitability in 1993.
- Managed corporate communications and completed successful company repositioning.

1987 to 1991 PANSOPHIC SYSTEMS, INC.

LISLE, IL

A publicly traded \$200 million systems and applications software company. Acquired by Computer Associates (Islandia, NY), 1991.

10/90-12/91 Director of Operations and Marketing

Responsible for Customer Service, Operations and Marketing for \$160 million Systems Software Division.

Manager, Midrange Sales and Industry Marketing

General management responsibility for IBM midrange-systems software business.

7/87-4/89 Strategic Planning Manager

Led financial team for six prospective acquisitions and coordinated \$40 million budget.

1984 to 1985 NORTH CENTRAL COLLEGE

NAPERVILLE, IL

Visiting Lecturer, History Department

Taught undergraduate courses in American twentieth century, urban, and economic history.

1977 to 1979 KIMBALL UNION ACADEMY

MERIDEN, NH

History Teacher and Coach

Taught history and coached three sports at college preparatory school for 250 students.

EDUCATION

5/89-9/90

1985 to 1987 KELLOGG GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MANAGEMENT,

EVANSTON, IL

NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY

Masters of Business Administration, June 1987. F.C. Austin Scholar (awarded to top five percent of incoming students). Concentrations in marketing, finance, and management policy. Summer Intern, First National Bank of Chicago, 1986.

1979 to 1985 UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN

MADISON, WI

Ph.D. in American History, August 1985. M.A., January 1981. Vilas Fellow, History Department Fellowship. Teaching Assistant and Research Assistant, 1980-1982. Concentration in early twentieth century history. Dissertation Title: "A Progressive Partnership: Theodore Roosevelt and the Reform Press — Riis, Steffens, Baker, and White."

1973 to 1977 DARTMOUTH COLLEGE

HANOVER, NH

Bachelor of Arts in History, *cum laude*, with distinction in major, June 1977. Language Program, Bourges, France. Congressional Internship, Washington, D.C. Fraternity President, Fraternity Governing Board, Dragon Senior Honor Society, Swimming Team.

OTHER INFORMATION

Current community involvement: Orlando Economic Partnership (executive committee), Canine Companions for Independence volunteer, Shepherd's Hope volunteer. Former board member of: StarterStudio (chair), Shepherd's Hope (chair), Lake Nona Institute (co-founder and president), Florida Research Consortium (chair), Bio-Orlando (co-chair), BioFlorida, Visit Orlando, One Blood (formerly Florida's Blood Centers), Florida Venture Forum, Rollins College Center for Entrepreneurship, Orlando Chapter of the Association for Corporate Growth (ACG). Past community involvement includes: Trinity Preparatory School trustee and Booster Club president, assistant Boy Scout scoutmaster, president and secretary of Dartmouth Club of Central Florida, Dominican Republic house construction project participant, parish council member, Masters swim team coach, Big Brother program participant, and volunteer firefighter. Interests include family activities, scuba diving, photography, active sports, and travel. Married to wife Katie Glockner Seymour for 38 years, with three grown children.

REFERENCES

Available upon request.

ITEM: CL-2

UCF BOARD OF TRUSTEES March 21, 2019

Title: Termination of further payments to John Hitt pursuant to the Performance Unit Plan

Background:

The investigations by the Bryan Cave Law firm and the House Committee on Public Integrity and Ethics both concluded that former President John Hitt played a key role in the decision to use state operating dollars for the construction of Trevor Colbourn Hall.

Issues to be Considered:

Whether it is appropriate to end any further payments to President Hitt in light of the findings of the reports.

Alternatives to Decision:

Allow President Hitt to receive his full payments as they become due or receive reduced payments.

Fiscal Impact and Source of Funding:

Termination of the payments to Dr. Hitt is estimated to save the UCF Foundation in excess of \$300,000.

Recommended Action:

Terminate the payments to President Hitt.

Authority for Board of Trustees' Action:

BOG Regulation 1.001(5)(a); Terms of the PUP Plan

Committee Chair or Chairman of the Board approval:

Committee Chair John Sprouls approved

Submitted by:

Scott Cole, Vice President and General Counsel

Supporting Documentation:

Attachment A: PUP Plan Analysis

Facilitators/Presenters:

Maureen Binder, Associate Vice President and Chief Human Resources Officer Scott Cole, Vice President and General Counsel

Estimated & Projected PUP Payouts for President John Hitt

		Average Attainment Options			Payout Calculations:				
Cycle	% Attainment	5-Yr: 2010-17	5-Yr: 2011-18	6 Yr: 2010-18	2015-18	2016-19	2017-20	Total	
2010-13	53.59%	69.40%	68.29%	65.84%	2788	2940	3028	8756	Units Approved
2011-14	102.93%				2788	1970	999	5757	Prorated Units
2012-15	64.50%				\$278,800	\$196,980	\$99,924	\$575,704	Target Payout
2013-16	64.50%				\$133,935.52	\$136,712.00	\$69,351.25	\$339,998.77	Projected Payout A
2014-17	61.50%				\$133,935.52	\$134,525.52	\$68,242.10	\$336,703.14	Projected Payout B
2015-18 ¹	48.04%				\$133,935.52	\$129,698.20	\$65,793.29	\$329,427.01	Projected Payout C

¹ 2015-18 PUP Results have not been approved by the BOT - % Attainment submitted by Audit. Alternate calculated attainment averages are provided with corresponding projected payouts.

Assumptions:

- PUP units for the 2016-19 and 2017-20 cycle were prorated to reflect Hitt's roll-off from plan based on his 6/30/18 retirement date. Hitt to receive 67% of approved units for 2016-19 and 33% of approved units for 2017-20
- Projected payout for the 2015-18 Cycle is based on 48.04% attainment provided by Audit and pending BOT approval.
- Projected attainment for 2016-19 and 2017-20 was calculated as an average of past cycles.

 Since 2015-18 attainment is not yet BOT approved, three options are provided for projected attainment calcuation:
 - A: 5-year average based on 2010-17 cycles attainment: 69.40%. Total projected payout: \$339,999
 - B: 5-year average based on 2011-18 cycles attainment: 68.29%. Total projected payout: \$336,703
 - C: 6-year average based on 2010-18 cycles attainment: 65.84%. Total projected payout: \$329,427

Note: Projected PUP payments are estimated based on historic performance data and are not guaranteed.

ITEM: FF-1

UCF BOARD OF TRUSTEES March 21, 2019

Title: Update Signature Authority for Checks

Background:

Following the personnel actions taken in response to the Bryan Cave investigation report, the board needs to update the list of university employees who are authorized to sign checks on the Bank of America account.

Issues to be Considered:

Three of the currently authorized check signers are not currently working for the university:

- William Merck II, Vice President for Administration and Finance (resigned September 14, 2018)
- Tracy Clark, Associate Provost for Budget, Planning, and Administration and Associate Vice President for Finance (resigned January 18, 2019)
- Christina Tant, Assistant Vice President and University Controller (suspended without pay January 18, 2019)

There are two other authorized check signers currently:

- Joel Levenson, Associate Controller
- Tera Alcala, Associate Controller

Alternatives to Decision:

Alternatives include designating different or additional employees as check signers.

Fiscal Impact and Source of Funding:

None, provided there is at least one authorized employee available to sign checks.

Recommended Actions:

- 1. Recommend to the BOT the removal of the following individuals from the list of designated personnel with signature authority for checks:
 - William Merck
 - Tracy Clark
 - Christina Tant
- 2. Recommend to the BOT the addition of the following individual to the list of designated personnel with signature authority for checks:
 - Phillip Henson, Director, Finance & Accounting

Authority for Board of Trustees Action:

Section 1011.42, Florida Statutes, requires the university board of trustees to designate university employees who are authorized to sign checks to pay legal obligations of the university.

Committee Chair or Chairman of the Board approval:

Approved by Chair Alex Martins on February 20, 2019.

Submitted by:

Robert Caslen, Senior Counsel to the President

Supporting Documentation:

Attachment A: Section 1011.42(7), Florida Statutes, *University depositories; deposits into and withdrawals from depositories*

Facilitators/Presenters:

Robert Caslen, Senior Counsel to the President

Select Year: 2018 ✔ Go

The 2018 Florida Statutes

<u>Title XLVIII</u> <u>Chapter 1011</u> <u>View Entire Chapter</u>
K-20 EDUCATION CODE PLANNING AND BUDGETING

1011.42 University depositories; deposits into and withdrawals from depositories.—

- (1) The board of trustees of each university shall designate the depositories in which any university funds may be deposited. No bank shall be designated unless it is a qualified depository as provided by Florida Statutes.
- (2) All funds received by a university, from whatever source and for whatever purpose, shall promptly be deposited in a board of trustees approved qualified depository.
- (3) The board of trustees shall require an accurate and complete set of accounts to be maintained in the books and records for each fund on deposit in each university depository. Each account shall show the amount subject to withdrawal, the amount deposited, the amount expended, and the balance of the account.
- (4) The university may maintain a separate checking account for each fund or may utilize a single checking account for the deposit and withdrawal of moneys from all funds and segregate the various funds on the books and records only. No check or withdrawal shall be drawn in excess of the balance to the credit of the appropriate fund.
- (5) Funds awaiting clearing may be invested in investments earning interest in a qualified depository, in the State Treasury, and in the State Board of Administration. Investments of university funds shall comply with the requirements of Florida Statutes for the investment of public funds by local government. Due diligence shall be exercised to assure that the highest available amount of earnings is obtained on investments.
- (6) The university president or his or her designee, after having been specifically authorized by the university board of trustees, may transfer funds from one depository to another, within a depository, to another institution, or from another institution to a depository for investment purposes and may transfer funds to pay expenses, expenditures, or other disbursements, evidenced by an invoice or other appropriate documentation.
- (7) The university board of trustees shall specifically designate and spread upon the minutes of the board the legal name and position title of any university employee authorized to sign checks to pay legal obligations of the university.

History.-s. 638, ch. 2002-387; s. 3, ch. 2003-3; s. 155, ch. 2007-217.

Copyright © 1995-2019 The Florida Legislature • Privacy Statement • Contact Us

ITEM: FF-2

UCF BOARD OF TRUSTEES March 21, 2019

Title: Autonomous Vehicle Shuttle Service and Minor Amendment to Align Campus Master Plan Update

Background:

In 2018, UCF partnered with the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) in vying for an Advanced Transportation and Congestion Management Technologies Deployment (ATCMTD) grant. FDOT was awarded \$11.9-million dollars, of which UCF will benefit from subsequent grant-funded projects, including one proposing to deploy and research an autonomous vehicle (AV) shuttle service on the UCF main campus.

- FDOT Memorandum: UCF Autonomous Vehicle (AV) Shuttle Service (Attachment B)
- UCF Matching Funds Commitment June 2, 2017 (Attachment C)

UCF Facilities Planning and Construction (FP&C), Transportation and Parking, the Police, and Environmental Health and Safety (EH&S) met to propose AV shuttle routes to the Primary Investigator, Dr Mohamed Abdel-Aty, Chair of Civil, Environmental, and Construction Engineering.

Three routes were proposed (Attachment D), subject to change as the specifics of the grant proposal develop. In order to align with the CMP with recommended routes would improve linkages between modes of travel and improve transit service within the campus core. Charging stations for the AV shuttles are proposed within the walled service yard of Health and Public Affairs I (Building 80).

At the University Master Planning Committee (UMPC) meeting on February 10, 2019, Dr Abdel-Aty presented the project; Renee Michel, Director of EH&S, voiced the support of the Office of Research and Commercialization; and FP&C presented and the Minor Amendment (Attachment A) and route recommendations (Attachment D). The UMPC unanimously approved.

Issues to be Considered:

"This investment in (AV) technology for the campus will separate UCF as one of the preeminent 'smart' campuses in the United States." (FDOT)

The 2015-25 Campus Master Plan Update (CMP) is silent on the subject of the use of autonomous vehicles (AV) on campus. As detailed in the attached Memorandum (Attachment A), UCF proposes a minor amendment to the CMP to add language in support of AV shuttle service as part of the existing multi-modal transportation system that integrates services provided by the public transit system (LYNX) and the private UCF transit system, Pegasus Express (Veolia Transportation).

Alternatives to Decision:

UCF projects funded from the ATCMTD grant will be jeopardized without Board of Trustees support of deployment and research of AV shuttles on campus.

Fiscal Impact and Source of Funding:

The ATCMTD grant will fund the purchase of the AV Shuttles and infrastructure to support at least two and possibly three routes. Infrastructure would include charging stations, wheelchair boarding ramp/blocks, safety and security features, etc.

Most of UCF's \$1.6-million matching funds commitment (See Attachment C) was targeted, not for the AV shuttle project, but for other UCF grant-funded projects that were part of the larger ATCMTD grant. The only undesignated UCF matching funds were \$100,000 from the Office of the Provost.

As the project moves forward, the University may find itself responsible for some related costs. The fiscal impact of related costs is difficult to quantify before the project is underway, but could include:

- Adding University-approved signage to change private roads to limited-access service roads.
- Adding an unmanned security gate, if the service road continues to be overused by private vehicles.
- Marking the shuttle paths on Apollo Circle and Memory Mall
- Relocating benches, bike racks etc. if they are too close to or in the path of the AV.

Any expenditures that require UCF Board of Trustees approval will come back before the Board once determined.

Recommended Action:

Authorize the deployment and research of AV shuttles on campus; allowing reasonable discretion to the Primary Investigator and advising UCF departments (ORC, FP&C, EH&S, Transportation, Police, etc.), with regard to safety, scheduling, routes, and other factors.

Approve a minor amendment to the <u>2015-25 Campus Master Plan Update (2015 CMP)</u> to update the Transportation element to support the deployment of an autonomous vehicle (AV) shuttle service on campus as part of UCF's multi-modal transit system. The proposed changes to the element are detailed in "Memo – Minor Amendment AV Shuttle Service 3.21.19 (Attachment A).

Authority for Board of Trustees Action:

Florida Statute 1013.30 states that this amendment to the campus master plan is a minor amendment requiring only Board of Trustees approval. See "Memo – Minor Amendment AV Shuttle Service 3.21.19 (Attachment A).

BOG regulation 21.205, Transportation Element, also states: 2) REQUIREMENTS FOR TRANSPORTATION GOALS, OBJECTIVES AND POLICIES. (a) The element shall include one or more goals for the provision of future transit, auto circulation, parking, pedestrian and non-motorized vehicle facilities, including sustainable transportation approaches that address: 1. Travel options to reduce dependence on single-occupant vehicles; 2. Reduction of greenhouse gas emissions; and 3. Reduction of dependence on foreign oil.

Committee Chair or Chairman of the Board approval:

Approved by Chair Alex Martins on February 20, 2019.

Submitted by:

Misty Shepherd, Interim Vice President for Administration and Finance

Supporting Documentation:

A - Minor CMP Amendment - AV Shuttle Service 3.21.19

B - FDOT Memorandum - UCF AV Shuttle Service

C - UCF AV Shuttle Matching Funds Commitment

D - Proposed Shuttle Route Map

Facilitators/Presenters:

Dr. Elizabeth A. Klonoff, Vice President for Research Misty Shepherd, Interim Vice President for Administration and Finance

MINOR AMENDMENT - AV Shuttle Service

The University of Central Florida proposes a Minor Amendment to the Transportation Element of the 2015-25 Campus Master Plan to add language in support of deploying and researching an autonomous vehicle (AV) shuttle service on the main campus as part of UCF's multi-modal transit system.

Proposed Changes to the 2015-25 Campus Master Plan:

2.11 TRANSPORTATION ELEMENT

GOAL 3: To develop a financially feasible multi-modal transportation system that integrates services provided by the public transit system (the Central Florida Regional Transit Authority, a.k.a. LYNX), and the private transit system, UCF Shuttle (Veolia Transportation), and future autonomous vehicle (AV) shuttle service.

OBJECTIVE 3.2: To continue to improve future mobility options for UCF faculty, staff, students, and visitors by improving linkages between modes of travel.

POLICY 3.2.1: The University shall continue to encourage transit, bicycle, and pedestrian modes as a means of travel from residential areas and parking lots to other on-campus destinations.

POLICY 3.2.2: Visitor parking shall be connected to present and future walkways, as well as the existing campus transit system.

POLICY 3.2.3: The University shall deploy and research an autonomous vehicle (AV) shuttle service as a linkage between shuttle stops and landmark campus destinations.

OBJECTIVE 3.4: To implement measures to improve transit service to, from, and within the campus.

POLICY 3.4.1: The University shall continue to plan for future campus intermodal transportation terminals in conjunction with proposed parking facilities. The timing and phasing requirements and priorities for terminals would be identified in the Capital Improvements Element.

POLICY 3.4.2: The University, in conjunction with area public transportation systems and organizations, shall continue to enhance campus transit service to, from, and within the University.

POLICY 3.4.3: The University shall continue to identify residential concentrations of students to provide convenient transit routes used most by campus patrons and increase transit service on these routes by decreasing bus headways, developing additional new routes, or modifying existing routes, as deemed appropriate by the University.

POLICY 3.4.4: The University shall continue to provide bicycle racks on transit vehicles serving the University.

POLICY 3.4.5: The University shall survey students every five years through 2025 regarding transit, bicycle, and pedestrian services.

POLICY 3.4.6: By 2020, the University shall implement, as appropriate, an evaluation of the availability of bicycle commuter support facilities (such as showers, lockers, and covered and secured bicycle parking) on campus on an annual basis.

POLICY 3.4.7: The University shall deploy and research an autonomous vehicle (AV) shuttle service to provide a mode of wheelchair-accessible transit within the Academic Core.

Compliance with Florida Statute 1013.30:

Florida Statute 1013.30 states that this is a minor amendment requiring only Board of Trustees approval because AV Shuttle Service will not:

(a) Increase density or intensity of use of land on the campus by more than 10 percent;

- (b) Decrease the amount of natural areas, open space, or buffers on the campus by more than 10 percent;
- (c) Rearrange land uses in a manner that will increase the impact of any proposed campus development by more than 10 percent on a road or on another public facility or service provided or maintained by the state, the county, the host local government, or any affected local government. provided or maintained by the state, the county, the host local government, or any affected local government.

Proposed Shuttle Routes:

Three routes are proposed, subject to change as the specifics of the grant proposal develop.

• Route 1 (See Attachment B) travels along Scorpius Street and Pegasus Drive/Circle from Pegasus Express Stop 4 to the Student Union near the Hitt Library.

These two private UCF streets will be converted into low-speed, limited-access, service roads for use *only* by emergency vehicles, service vehicles, commercial delivery vehicles, AV shuttles, and private vehicles bearing handicap placards or plates.

• Route 2 (See Attachment C) travels along Pegasus Circle and Memory Mall from Pegasus Express Stop 1 at the Student Union to Pegasus Express Stop 3 across Gemini Boulevard from Knights Plaza.

The AV shuttle will travel on the eastern walk of Memory Mall on a clearly marked path.

• Route 3 (See Attachment D) travels along Apollo Circle from the Lynx Transit Center near the Education Building to Pegasus Express Stop 5 near the Physical Sciences Building.

This route will pass between Millican Hall and the Reflecting Pond, where careful shuttle scheduling will be required to avoid crowded events.

Board of Trustees Meeting - New Business Attachment B

UCF Autonomous Vehicle (AV) Shuttle Service Memorandum Florida Department of Transportation – District Five February 8, 2019





Memorandum: UCF Autonomous Vehicle (AV) Shuttle Service

The idea of a car is on the move, literally. As the existence of connected and autonomous vehicles (CAVs) rapidly approaches from the horizon, the expectation for benefits are both exciting and tangible. Before these benefits can be truly realized, however, there is a significant need for growth of understanding, knowledge, and impacts from CAV deployments, specifically in the realm of research.

In 2018, the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) District Five was awarded the largest Advanced Transportation and Congestion Management Technologies Deployment (ATCMTD) grant ever awarded by FHWA, totaling \$11.9-million dollars. As partners with FDOT, the University of Central Florida (UCF) stands to benefit greatly from the subsequent grant-funded projects, including the deployment of connected vehicle (CV) technology and an autonomous vehicle (AV) shuttle service on the Orlando main campus. This opportunity provides UCF the ability to surface as one of the premier research institutions in the country related to CAVs, allowing for hands-on experience for students, faculty, and staff, while also affording access to raw data from which to draw upon in research efforts. Additionally, the investment in technology for the campus will separate UCF as one of the preeminent "smart" campuses in the US.

The opportunity to deliver an AV shuttle service on campus is exciting, yes, but there are also various concerns that arise with the evolution of new technology deployed in public spaces. In preparation for this deployment and in collaboration with LYNX, FDOT has polled the AV manufacturer industry to determine the current state of the practice and identify potential concerns. This information allowed the Department to define minimum requirements outlined in the Request for Proposal (RFP) document package to be advertised in the near future (fiscal year 2018/2019).

Due to the current state of policy related to self-driving vehicles determined by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), the ability to operate an AV shuttle service on the public roadways within the UCF campus is coupled with various concerns, namely procurement of permits and impacts to schedule. As such, the initial service proposal included routes that traversed pedestrian-access facilities, specifically large multi-use corridors on campus, such as Apollo Circle and the Memory Mall area. Typical vehicle dimensions range from 13.5' – 15.0' in length, 6.5' – 7.0' in width, and 8.5' – 9.5' in height, with gross vehicle weight (GVW) between 6,200 and 7,600 lbs., so there is little concern with the vehicle's ability to share the sidewalk with pedestrians, bicyclists, and even other motorized vehicles, such as maintenance carts.

UCF Autonomous Vehicle (AV) Shuttle Service Memorandum Florida Department of Transportation – District Five February 8, 2019

As part of the technical requirements, all proposed vehicles will be bi-directional and be required to incorporate redundant systems for braking, sensor/object and event detection, and navigation providing increased safety measures to protect pedestrians, bicyclists, and other motorists during operation. The vehicle will be required to provide a minimum of Level 3 automation, as defined by the Society of Automotive Engineers (SAE) Standard J3016, but will be limited to a top speed of 15 MPH while traversing pedestrian-access facilities. Additionally, vehicles will be required to demonstrate acceptable fallback procedures necessary to achieve minimal risk condition in the case of a system outage prior to operational deployment.

Each shuttle will be required to accommodate a minimum of twelve (12) passengers and will be compliant with all applicable regulations of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) providing considerations for passengers with mobility, visual, and audio impairments. For further inclusion, all internal announcements in visual and audible formats will be provided in both English and Spanish. The interior of the shuttle will be climate controlled providing comfortable ranges of air conditioning or heat, as appropriate with the ambient temperature, and will include a two-way monitoring and communication system connecting each vehicle with the remote management center/trained vehicle operator. An emphasis on cybersecurity countermeasure implementation will be required to ensure the prevention of all outside access to vehicle controls, system operations, communications and all other data governing autonomous vehicle control systems.

The selected vendor will enter into a service-based contract with the Department to provide AV shuttle operation for a period not to exceed three (3) years. By procuring a service, the Department will enlist the selected vendor for a turn-key solution including, but not limited to, mobilization, procurement of vehicles necessary infrastructure, infrastructure installation, route mapping and vehicle commissioning, routine maintenance, emergency repairs, and operation, as well as all managerial activities, including fulfilling minimum insurance requirements.

Attachment C



UNIVERSITY OF CENTRAL FLORIDA

Office of the Vice President for Research and Dean of the College of Graduate Studies 4365 Andromeda Loop N. Millican Hall 243 Orlando, FL 32816-0005

June 2, 2017

Ladies and Gentlemen:

The University of Central Florida (UCF) is proud to provide a match commitment of approximately \$1.6 million during the project period as part of Florida's Department of Transportation proposal to the U.S. Department of Transportation under the Advanced Transportation and Congestion Management Technologies Deployment Initiative. The match commitment from UCF is as follows:

- UCF's Provost Office \$100,000
- Office of Research and Commercialization \$100,000 and research personnel support for this project of two (2) Postdoctoral fellows and three (3) ORC Graduate Fellowships for an additional amount of \$232,000.
- UCF Police Department License Plate Reader project of \$300,000.
- UCF Parking Garage B Camera project of \$200,000.
- UCF Campus Development Agreement -
 - Signalization changes of \$100,000.
 - Installation of two (2) mid-block crossings, each controlled by a traffic signal.-\$517,000;
 - Installation of pedestrian-scale lighting along the UCF side of Alafaya Trail -\$75,000 for installation and \$25,000 per year for maintenance.

With this project we will make great headway and impact on the Smart Cities' initiative and UCF Campus would be a model for Smart Transportation. Thank you for your consideration.

William Merck

Vice President, Administration and Finance

Elizabeth A. Klonoff

Vice President for Research and Dean of the College of Graduate

Studies


Attachment D

Proposed Routes

Route 1

Route 2

Route 3



ITEM: NG-1

UCF BOARD OF TRUSTEES March 21, 2019

Title: Approval of Settlement and Release Agreement between the UCF Board of Trustees and Dale Whittaker

Background:

President Dale Whittaker's resignation was accepted by the Board of Trustees, subject to execution of a mutually agreeable settlement agreement at their meeting on February 21, 2019. The attached Settlement and Release Agreement has been accepted by Dr. Whittaker.

Issues to be Considered:

Whether to approve the attached settlement agreement.

Alternatives to Decision:

Reject or modify the proposed Settlement and Release Agreement or terminate Dr. Whittaker under the terms of his Employment Agreement.

Fiscal Impact and Source of Funding:

Six hundred thousand dollars (\$600,000), from non-state funds.

Recommended Action:

Approve the proposed Settlement and Release Agreement as written.

Authority for Board of Trustees Action:

Board of Governors regulation 1.001

Committee Chair or Chairman of the Board approval:

Committee Chair Yeargin approved the agenda and all supporting documentation.

Submitted by:

W. Scott Cole, Vice President and General Counsel

Supporting Documentation:

Attachment A: Proposed Settlement and Release Agreement for Dr. Whittaker

Attachment B: Section 9.2 Termination Without Cause from Dr. Whittaker's Employment Agreement

Attachment C: Projected 10-year Cost to Return to Faculty

Facilitators/Presenters:

N/A

Attachment A

SETTLEMENT AND RELEASE AGREEMENT

This Settlement and Release Agreement is entered by and between the University of Central Florida Board of Trustees (BOT) and A. Dale Whittaker (Whittaker) and is effective as of February 27, 2019 (Effective Date)

BACKGROUND

WHEREAS, Whittaker serves as President and tenured faculty member of the University of Central Florida (UCF) pursuant to that certain Employment Agreement between Whittaker and BOT dated July 1, 2018 (Agreement); and

WHEREAS, Whittaker is willing to tender his resignation as President and tenured faculty member to the BOT subject to the terms of this Settlement and Release Agreement; and

WHEREAS, the BOT is willing to accept Whittaker's tender of resignation subject to the terms of this Settlement and Release Agreement.

NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of the benefits to be received by each party in terminating the current employee relationship, the sufficiency of which is acknowledged, the parties agree as follows:

TERMS

- 1. The Agreement will terminate upon execution of this Settlement and Release Agreement and this Settlement and Release Agreement will govern the relationship of the parties from the date of execution.
- 2. Whittaker will resign as President and tenured faculty member effective upon the Effective Date.
- 3. Whittaker will be paid the sum of \$600,000 for relinquishment of his tenure at UCF.
- 4. Whittaker will be entitled to receive the same state and federal benefits available to all UCF employees who voluntarily terminate their employment with UCF.
- 5. UCF will provide legal defense and indemnify Whittaker for any costs incurred in defending any claims asserted by third parties in connection with their termination from UCF while Whittaker served as President, consistent with the provisions of Section 768.28 of the Florida Statutes.
- 6. Whittaker and BOT hereby now and forever release, acquit and discharge each other and their respective officers, directors, administrators, affiliates, family members, assigns, related entities, family members, present and former employees, agents, attorneys, insurers, heirs, administrators, executors, successors and assigns (hereinafter

"Released Parties"), of and from any and all claims, demands, actions, causes of action, suits, costs, attorney's fees, damages, expenses, compensation and liability of any kind, character and description, whether for compensatory, punitive or other damages which Whittaker or BOT has or may have against the other, excluding actions for breach of the terms of this Settlement and Release Agreement.

- 7. This is the entire Settlement and Release Agreement between Whittaker and the BOT and it may not be supplemented or changed without the written consent of each party. All prior representations regarding this agreement, whether oral or written, are disclaimed by all parties unless expressly incorporated in this agreement. This agreement may be executed in one or more counterparts, all of which shall be considered one and the same agreement and shall become effective when one or more counterparts have been signed by each of the parties and delivered to the other party, it being understood that all parties need not sign the same counterpart.
- 8. This agreement shall be governed in all respects, including validity, interpretation and effect, by the internal laws of the State of Florida. Venue for any action arising hereunder shall be in Circuit Court in Orange County Florida.
- 9. This Settlement and Release Agreement shall not be effective until approval by the BOT and Signature by Whittaker and the Chairman of the BOT.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned parties execute this agreement effective as of Effective Date.

University of Central Florida Board o	Trustees	
Ву:	_	
Robert Garvey Chairman	Dale Whittaker	
Date:	Date:	

Attachment B

including but not limited to, acts of dishonesty, misrepresentation, fraud, or violence that may or may not rise to a level warranting criminal prosecution by the relevant authorities.

In the event the Board determines there are grounds to terminate Dr. Whittaker for cause pursuant to sub-paragraphs B through G, the Board may terminate Dr. Whittaker's employment in any capacity with UCF. and Dr. Whittaker shall no longer be entitled to receive any compensation under this agreement and shall forfeit any additional compensation accrued but not earned pursuant to paragraph 8.5. The Board may suspend Dr. Whittaker for a period not to exceed ninety (90) days for any one or more of the acts or omissions representing grounds for termination for cause under this sub-paragraph without waiving the right of termination. During a period of suspension under this sub-paragraph, Dr. Whittaker shall only be entitled to receive the base salary provided by section 4.1. If the Board terminates Dr. Whittaker's employment for Cause pursuant to sub-paragraph A, Dr. Whittaker may continue to be employed by UCF as a full professor with the compensation described in paragraph 9.3

Section 9.2 Termination Without Cause. The University may terminate this agreement without cause at any time for the convenience of the University upon thirty (30) days prior written notice to Dr. Whittaker. In that event, Dr. Whittaker shall be entitled to receive a lump sum payment equal to twenty weeks of base salary or return to faculty at a salary of 85% of base salary. Dr. Whittaker's death or permanent disability as defined in Section 9.4, shall not constitute termination without cause.

Section 9.3 Resignation as President. In the event Dr. Whittaker resigns his employment as President of the University in a timely manner that is acceptable to the Board, and elects to return to the tenured faculty in a teaching, research or service role, Dr. Whittaker shall be paid 85 % of his base salary and shall have the option of spending the first academic year (two semesters) immediately following his resignation on sabbatical, to prepare for his return to faculty, providing that such sabbatical is subject to the terms and conditions of the University's sabbatical program, including, without limitation, Dr. Whittaker's agreement to repay the University any salary he receives while on sabbatical if he does not return to the University for at least two consecutive semesters (excluding summers) immediately following participation in the sabbatical program. No other benefits or compensation referenced in this Agreement shall continue. However, Dr. Whittaker shall be eligible for any incentive compensation awards heretofore granted in accordance with Section 4.3.

Section 9.4 Death/Permanent Disability. In the event Dr. Whittaker is unable to complete the term of this Agreement due to death or permanent disability as defined in section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code, (he/she) or his estate shall be entitled to receive an amount equal to one year of base salary (Section 4.1) due under the Agreement. In the case of disability, the one year period shall be calculated beginning with the initial date of disability, and payable on a bi-weekly basis. In the case of death, the payment shall be made within thirty (30) days of due notice by the proper estate authority.

In the event of Dr. Whittaker's death during his service as President, his spouse

Attachment C

Projected 10-year Cost to Return to Faculty

Dale Whittaker Projected Salary

Facts & Assumptions

Current Base Salary = \$506,000 Estimated Fringe Rate = 28%

Total Salary Burden = \$647,680

Per Dr. Whittaker's existing agreement, he would return to faculty at 85% of current base salary, plus fringe benefits.

Total Salary Burden = \$550,528 (Adjusted at 85%)

	Discount Rate									
Year	0%		5%		6%	7%	8%	9%	10%	
1	550	,528	524,312		519,366	514,512	509,748	505,072	500,480	
2	550	,528	499,345		489,968	480,852	471,989	463,368	454,982	
3	550	,528	475,567		462,234	449,395	437,027	425,109	413,620	
4	550	,528	452,921		436,070	419,995	404,655	390,008	376,018	
5	550	,528	431,353		411,387	392,519	374,680	357,805	341,835	
6	550	,528	410,812		388,101	366,840	346,926	328,262	310,759	
7	550	,528	391,250		366,133	342,841	321,228	301,158	282,508	
8	550	,528	372,619		345,408	320,412	297,433	276,291	256,825	
9	550	,528	354,875		325,857	299,451	275,401	253,478	233,478	
10	550	,528	337,976		307,412	279,861	255,001	232,549	212,252	
	\$ 5,505	,280 \$ 4	,251,031	\$	4,051,934	\$ 3,866,678	\$ 3,694,088	\$ 3,533,100	\$ 3,382,756	
						_	_	_	_	

ITEM: EP-1

UCF BOARD OF TRUSTEES EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS COMMITTEE March 21, 2019

Title: Conferral of Degrees for Spring 2019 Commencement Ceremonies

Background:

UCF Expects to award the following degrees at the Spring 2019 Commencement on May 2, 3, 4, 2019.

Baccalaureate Degrees: 7,048 Master's Degrees: 1,294 Doctoral and Specialist: 170

Total: 8,512

Issues to be Considered:

Spring 2019 Commencement dates and number of graduates.

Alternatives to Decision:

N/A

Fiscal Impact and Source of Funding:

N/A

Recommended Action:

Recommend approval of the conferral of Degrees during the Spring 2019 Commencement.

Authority for Board of Trustees Action:

BOG 1.001 (4)(a)

UCF BOT EPC Charter 2.1

Committee Chair or Chairman of the Board approval:

EPC Chairman Garvy approved this agenda item and all supporting documentation.

Submitted by: Brian Boyd, University Registrar

Supporting

Documentation: Attachment A: Graduation Count

Facilitator: Elizabeth A. Dooley, Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs and

Professor, College of Community Innovation and Education

UCF Spring 2019 Commencement

Attachment A

College	Bachelor	Master	Doctorate	College Totals
College of Arts and Humanities	795	71	6	872
College of Business Administration	854	233	2	1,089
College of Community Innovation and Education	944	386	25	1,355
College of Engineering and Computer Science	815	234	58	1,107
College of Graduate Studies	0	11	0	11
College of Health Professions and Sciences	804	151	36	991
College of Medicine	274	13	1	288
College of Nursing	285	34	5	324
College of Optics and Photonics	9	12	3	24
College of Sciences	1,500	105	31	1,636
College of Undergraduate Studies	386	0	0	386
Rosen College of Hospitality Management	382	44	3	429
Degree level totals:	7,048	1,294	170	8,512

ITEM: EP-2

UCF BOARD OF TRUSTEES EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS COMMITTEE March 21, 2019

Title: Tenure with Hire

Background:

New faculty members are hired each year with tenure. Normally, such faculty members have earned tenure at their previous institution and meet UCF's requirements for tenure. For others, tenure is part of the hiring package when senior faculty members are hired for administrative positions. Department faculty members and the university's administrative officers have approved granting tenure to these faculty members.

Issues to be Considered:

Please refer to the Attachment A - Tenure with Hire justification.

Alternatives to Decision:

N/A

Fiscal Impact and Source of Funding:

N/A

Recommended Action:

The department, college and Provost support the recommendation for tenure with hire.

Authority for Board of Trustees Action:

UCF 3.015(4)(a)5 – Promotion and Tenure of Tenured and Tenure-earning Faculty

Committee Chair or Chairman of the Board approval:

EPC Chairman Garvy approved the agenda and all supporting documentation.

Submitted by: Jana L. Jasinski, Vice Provost for Faculty Excellence and

Pegasus Professor of Sociology

Supporting

Documentation: Attachment A: Tenure Justification

Facilitator: Elizabeth A. Dooley, Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs and

Professor, College of Community Innovation and Education

Attachment A

Tenure with Hire Justification Board of Trustees Meeting March 21, 2019

Roberto Burguet, Associate Professor College of Business Administration, Department of Economics

Dr. Roberto Burguet received his PhD in economics from the State University of New York at Stony Brook. He has held permanent positions at the Universitat Autonoma De Barcelona and the Institute for Economic Analysis, where he was a tenured professor de investigación, as well as visiting positions at universities in France, Ireland, Mexico and the US (University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, University of Arizona, and Stony Brook University). He has published extensively on auctions, bargaining, procurement and corruption in leading economic journals, including the *RAND Journal of Economics*, *American Economic Journal: Microeconomics*, and *Games and Economic Behavior*. Dr. Burguet has supervised doctoral and masters students, and has extensive teaching experience at the PhD, Masters and undergraduate levels. He will play a vital role in the MS in Economics program, and will teach in the PhD program in business and undergraduate economics courses. The College of Business Administration and the Department of Economics support the recommendation for tenure with hire.

ITEM: EP-3a

UCF BOARD OF TRUSTEES EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS COMMITTEE March 21, 2019

Title: New Degree Program – Bachelor of Science in Senior Living Management

Background:

All undergraduate degree programs utilizing a new CIP Code are required to be reviewed and approved by the Board of Trustees. The Rosen College of Hospitality Management, in collaboration with the College of Community Innovation and Education and the College of Health Professions and Sciences, is proposing a new degree program for CIP Code 51.0718 (Long-Term Care Administration/Management).

Issues to be Considered:

- **Program Description:** The proposed Bachelor of Science in Senior Living Management is an interdisciplinary program that merges hospitality, gerontology and healthcare services.
- **Benefits**: This degree program will provide undergraduate students with the appropriate competitive skillset to acquire a managerial position in various types of senior living facilities, especially independent living, assisted living and continuous care retirement communities.
- Career/Workforce Needs: Provides a career path for students seeking employment in the senior
 living industry as business practitioners as opposed to that of direct care giver. The aim of this
 educational program to assist UCF graduates in becoming future managers and leaders of the
 senior living industry.

Alternatives to Decision:

There is no alternative if this degree program is not approved.

Fiscal Impact and Source of Funding:

No new funds requested. E&G reallocation from within the college.

Recommended Action:

The Provost's office recommend approval of the new degree program. It meets six of the eight criteria with strength and meets the remaining two criteria.

Authority for Board of Trustees Action:

BOG Regulation 8.011, Authorization for New Academic Degree Programs and Other Curricular Offerings.

Committee Chair or Chairman of the Board approval:

EPC Chairman Garvy approved this agenda item and all supporting documentation.

Submitted by: Elizabeth A. Dooley, Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs and

Professor, College of Community Innovation and Education

Supporting

Documentation: Attachment A: Analysis Summary for New Degree Authorization

Facilitator: Melody Bowdon, Interim Vice Provost for Teaching and Learning and

Interim Dean, College of Undergraduate Studies

Attachment A

Analysis Summary for New Degree Authorization Program Name: Bachelor of Science in Senior Living Management

	Criteria	Proposal Response to Criteria
1.	The goals of the program are aligned with the university's mission and relate to specific institutional strengths.	Met with Strength. The program represents a "first in the nation" opportunity for UCF to set the standard for interdisciplinary degree programs that effectively combine hospitality, business, and healthcare to positively impact not only the expanding senior living industry in the state of Florida but across the country. Rosen College of Hospitality Management's premiere reputation will only strengthen with this program, which furthers the university's stature.
2.	If there have been program reviews or accreditation activities in the discipline or related disciplines pertinent to the proposed program, the proposal provides evidence that progress has been made in implementing the recommendations from those reviews.	Met. The proposal grew out of a collaborative research initiative, was supported by one of the university's Faculty Research Clusters, and was confirmed as a positive direction for the Rosen College during its 2018 required program review. In addition to the program review's external reviewers, the proposal is also supported by industry advisory board members.
3.	The proposal describes an appropriate and sequenced course of study, including expected student learning outcomes, an assessment plan to verify student learning, and, in the case of advanced technology and related disciplines, industry-driven competences. Evidence is provided that, if appropriate, the university anticipates seeking accreditation for the proposed program.	Met with Strength. This interdisciplinary program combines the core Hospitality Management courses with 18 hours of health-related courses and 19 hours of senior living courses including a major-specific capstone. Combined with the three required internships, the curriculum provides students with both theory and practice to engage in the growing industry and meet workforce needs.
4.	Evidence is provided that a critical mass of faculty is available to initiate the program based on estimated enrollments, and that, if appropriate, there is a commitment to hire additional faculty in later years, based on estimated enrollments.	Met with Strength. There is a critical mass of faculty to teach the core Hospitality Management courses, and a new faculty member was hired in August 2018 to develop and teach the senior living courses. A second faculty search has been launched, so there will be two individuals to focus specifically on the new major. The health-oriented courses are taught in the College of Community Innovation and Education and the College of Health Professions and Sciences.
5.	Evidence is provided that the necessary library volumes and serials; classroom, teaching laboratory, research laboratory, office, and any other type of physical space; equipment; and appropriate clinical and internship sites are sufficient to initiate the program.	Met with Strength. Given the strength of existing degree programs within hospitality management and allied health fields as well as business administration, the library resources are more than sufficient for this new degree program. Three internships are required, and there are sufficient sites to ensure students have a wide range of internship opportunities.

	Criteria	Proposal Response to Criteria
6.	1	Met. There is a clear need within Florida for individuals with an
	educated in this program at this level. The proposal contains realistic	understanding of and interest in the senior living industry. The enrollment
	estimates of headcount and FTE students who will major in the	is realistic given the niche of the program as compared to the broader
	proposed program and indicates steps to be taken to achieve a diverse	Hospitality Management degree program. The initial enrollment
	student body.	projections for Senior Living Management are similar to the Entertainment
		Management program (approved in 2016), the first interdisciplinary
		program housed in the Rosen College. That program's enrollment has met
		its projections. There is every indication this one will as well.
7.		Met with Strength. Given the limited number of new courses that have
	program, which reflects the text of the proposal, which is comparable	been developed and the lack of specialized lab space for the major, this
	to the budgets of similar programs, and which provides evidence that,	degree program's budget is minimal. The Year 1 projected costs are
	in the event that resources within the institution are redirected to	\$453,670, with \$360,000 in faculty salary and benefits. The increase by
	support the new program, such a redirection will not have a negative	Year 5 is predominantly in salary increases and increasing costs of benefits.
	impact on undergraduate education. The proposal demonstrates a	The E&G cost per FTE is \$756 for Year 1, decreasing to \$421 in Year 5
	judicious use of resources and provides a convincing argument that	given the increase in majors and stability in a majority of expenses. The
	the output of the program justifies the investment.	resources are justified, providing UCF with an opportunity to launch a
		needed degree program in Florida in a cost-effective manner, leveraging
		existing courses and facilities in three disciplinary colleges.
8.	The proposal provides evidence that the academic unit(s) associated	Met with Strength. The Rosen College of Hospitality Management has 95
	with this new degree have been productive in teaching, research, and	faculty members and is nationally and internationally regarded for its
	service.	research, professional service, and innovative curricula. Faculty in the
		allied health disciplines – Health Services Administration as well as Aging
		Studies – are productive faculty members, adding to UCF's reputation in
		healthcare disciplines.

ITEM: EP-3b

UCF BOARD OF TRUSTEES EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS COMMITTEE March 21, 2019

Title: New Degree Program – Master of Science in Systems Engineering

Background:

All graduate degree programs utilizing a new CIP Code are required to be reviewed and approved by the Board of Trustees. The College of Engineering and Computer Science is proposing a new degree program for CIP Code 14.2701 (Systems Engineering).

Issues to be Considered:

- Program Description: The proposed Master of Science in Systems Engineering will fill
 a need of local industry partners who have expressed support for this program. Systems
 engineers integrate human, financial, operational, and other knowledge to design and
 manage complex, interdisciplinary systems for the benefit of customers and stakeholders.
- Benefits: The 30-credit hour degree program builds on the current 12 credit hour
 graduate certificate in Systems Engineering. The proposed program will focus on
 requirements, architecture, software systems, and systems thinking. Being a 100% online
 program allows the program to provide additional opportunity to draw from local
 industry systems engineering leaders.
- Career/Workforce Needs: The traditional engineer and scientist often lack preparation in the human, financial, software, and systems integration skills necessary to make project teams more productive, improve system and service quality. This proposed program has been vetted and is supported by major industry and government because of the workforce development need.

Alternatives to Decision:

There is no alternative if this degree program is not approved.

Fiscal Impact and Source of Funding:

No new funds requested. E&G reallocation from within the department.

Recommended Action:

The Provost's office recommend approval of the new degree program. It meets six of the eight criteria with strength and meets the remaining two criteria.

Authority for Board of Trustees Action:

BOG Regulation 8.011, Authorization for New Academic Degree Programs and Other Curricular Offerings

Committee Chair or Chairman of the Board approval:

EPC Chairman Garvy approved this agenda item and all supporting documentation.

Submitted by: Elizabeth A. Dooley, Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs and

Professor, College of Community Innovation and Education

Supporting

Documentation: Attachment A: Analysis Summary for New Degree Authorization

Facilitator: Elizabeth Klonoff, Vice President for Research and

Dean, College of Graduate Studies

Appendix A

Analysis Summary for New Degree Authorization Program Name: Master of Science in Systems Engineering

	Criteria	Proposal Response to Criteria
1.	The goals of the program are aligned with the university's mission and relate to specific institutional strengths.	Met with Strength The program aligns with two of UCF's Goals: 2 - Achieving international prominence in key programs of graduate study and research and 5 - Be America's leading partnership university. The program expects to attract an International student base eventually, by offering the courses completely online. The program has extensive support from local industry. The program has partnered with the Institute for Simulation and Training and has included modeling as a focus. The program is a STEM discipline.
2.	If there have been program reviews or accreditation activities in the discipline or related disciplines pertinent to the proposed program, the proposal provides evidence that progress has been made in implementing the recommendations from those reviews.	Met The existing graduate certificate in Systems Engineering is in its fourth year. During this period, there have been a modest number (2-7) of students enrolled. However, there is a robust number of students (~100) enrolled in the introductory systems engineering class (ESI 6551). This bodes well for future SE certificate and MSSE enrollment. Though the MSSE program has been endorsed by the local chapter of the International Council on Systems Engineering (INCOSE), there is no accreditation body.
3.	The proposal describes an appropriate and sequenced course of study. Admissions and graduation criteria are clearly specified and appropriate. The course of study and credit hours required may be satisfied within a reasonable time to degree. In cases in which accreditation is available for existing bachelor's or master's level programs, evidence is provided that the programs are accredited or a rationale is provided as to the lack of accreditation.	Met with Strength A detailed list of courses is provided for the program's curriculum, which follows existing standards of other MSSE programs. The 30-hour degree is based on existing UCF courses that can be completed on a full-time or part-time basis in a reasonable (2-3 year) period. The syllabi for the required courses (core and concentration) are included in the proposal.
4.	Evidence is provided that a critical mass of faculty members is available to initiate the program based on estimated enrollments, and that, if appropriate, there is a commitment to hire additional faculty members in later years, based on estimated enrollments. For doctoral programs, evidence is provided that the faculty members in aggregate have the necessary experience and research activity to sustain a doctoral program.	Met Though there are several faculty members involved, the Program Review and Awards Committee is concerned about the program's significant dependence on a single faculty member, Dr. John Farr, who serves as an adjunct. The Committee suggests some succession planning to sustain the program in the absence of Dr. Farr. Courses in this program are already being taught successfully. To support the MSSE program, the Industrial Engineering & Management Systems Department will hire one additional faculty member.

	Criteria	Proposal Response to Criteria
5.	Evidence is provided that the necessary library volumes and serials; classroom, teaching laboratory, research laboratory, office, and any other type of physical space; equipment; appropriate fellowships, scholarships, and graduate assistantships; and appropriate clinical and internship sites are sufficient to initiate the program.	Met with Strength Library resources are adequate to support the MSSE program. The program is non-thesis and will not need additional space for research. The program will be offered online and requires no additional physical space for instruction. Because of the strong partnerships and local demand, there is the potential for student financial support through industry-sponsored scholarships and tuition reimbursement.
6.	Evidence is provided that there is a need for more people to be educated in this program at this level. For all degree programs, if the program duplicates other degree programs in Florida, a convincing rationale for doing so is provided. The proposal contains realistic estimates of headcount and FTE students who will major in the proposed program and indicates steps to be taken to achieve a diverse student body.	Met with Strength The need for this program is demonstrated by the letters of support from local industry and statistical data provided in the proposal. However, the Committee had some concern about the currency (> 10 years old) of data for the need of master's level systems engineering graduates and would like more recent data to be included.
7.	The proposal provides a complete and realistic budget for the program, which reflects the text of the proposal, is comparable to the budgets of similar programs, and provides evidence that, in the event that resources within the institution are redirected to support the new program, such a redirection will not have a negative impact on undergraduate education. The proposal demonstrates a judicious use of resources and provides a convincing argument that the output of the program justifies the investment.	Met with Strength The program requires only a modest budget using existing resources and reallocation of funds. The budget only reflects salaries associated with teaching the courses and administering the program. The Committee suggests that the projected budget be adjusted to account for inflation, raises, cost of living increases, etc.
8.	The proposal provides evidence that the academic unit(s) associated with this new degree have been productive in teaching, research, and service.	Met with Strength The core faculty in the program are productive in teaching and research. The Committee requests CVs that detail and demonstrate productivity for all of the faculty involved in the MSSE program. The instructors in the program have a history of positive teaching evaluations. The Industrial Engineering & Management Systems Department as a whole is productive.

ITEM: EP-4

UCF BOARD OF TRUSTEES EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS COMMITTEE March 21, 2019

Title: 2019 Accountability Plan

Background:

This is a new report that combines the previous Annual Accountability Report and the University Work Plans into one document that more closely aligns with the Board of Governors' 2025 System Strategic Plan.

The revised document will enhance the System's commitment to accountability and strategic planning by enabling comparisons between past goals and actual data to better assess performance. This change will help foster greater coordination between institutional administrators, University Boards of Trustees, and the Board of Governors.

Once each institution's respective Board of Trustees approves an Accountability Plan, the Board of Governors will review and consider the plan's narrative strategy, metric goals and enrollment plans for potential acceptance of 2018-19 components. The Board's acceptance of this Accountability Plan does not constitute approval of any particular component, nor does it supersede any necessary approval processes that may be required for each component.

Issues to be Considered:

Approval of UCF 2019 Accountability Plan for submission to Florida Board of Governors. Please refer to the attached Accountability Plan.

Alternatives to Decision:

N/A

Fiscal Impact and Source of Funding:

N/A

Recommended Action:

Recommend approval of the UCF 2019 Accountability Plan

Authority for Board of Trustees Action:

BOG 2.002 (3): Each board of trustees shall prepare an accountability plan and submit updates on an annual basis for consideration by the Board of Governors.

Committee Chair or Chairman of the Board approval:

EPC Chairman Garvy approved this agenda item and all supporting documentation.

Board of Trustees Meeting - New Business

Submitted by: M. Paige Borden, Associate Provost for Academic Program Quality and

Associate Vice President for Institutional Knowledge Management

Supporting

Documentation: Attachment A: 2019 Accountability Plan

Facilitator: Elizabeth A. Dooley, Provost and Vice President for Academic Affairs and

Professor, College of Community Innovation and Education

2019 Accountability Plan

Attachment A

UNIVERSITY OF CENTRAL FLORIDA

BOT REVIEW 03/15/2019





INTRODUCTION

This is a new report that combines the previous Annual Accountability Report and University Work Plans into a single document more closely aligned with the Board of Governors' 2025 System Strategic Plan.

This revised document will enhance the System's commitment to accountability and strategic planning by enabling comparisons between past goals and actual data to better assess performance, helping to foster greater coordination between institutional administrators, University Boards of Trustees, and the Board of Governors.

Once an Accountability Plan is approved by each institution's respective Boards of Trustees, the Board of Governors will review and consider the plan for approval, excluding those sections of the Plan that require additional regulatory or procedural approval pursuant to law or Board regulations.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. STRATEGY

- a. Mission Statement, p. 2
- b. Statement of Strategy, p. 3
- c. Strengths, Opportunities & Challenges, p. 4
- d. Key Initiatives & Investments, p. 5
- e. Graduation Rate Improvement Plan, p.6
- f. Key Achievements for Last Year, p. 7
- 2. PERFORMANCE BASED FUNDING METRICS, p. 7-8
- 3. PREEMINENT RESEARCH UNIVERSITY METRICS, p. 9-11

4. KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

- a. Teaching & Learning, p. 12-14
- b. Scholarship, Research and Innovation, p. 14-15
- c. Institution Specific Goals, p. 16
- 5. ENROLLMENT PLANNING, p. 17-18
- 6. ACADEMIC PROGRAM COORDINATION, p. 19
- 7. **DEFINITIONS**, p.20

MISSION STATEMENT

The University of Central Florida is a public, multi-campus, metropolitan research university, dedicated to serving its surrounding communities with their diverse and expanding populations, technological corridors, and international partners. The mission of the university is to offer high-quality undergraduate and graduate education, student development, and continuing education; to conduct research and creative activities; and to provide services that enhance the intellectual, cultural, environmental, and economic development of the metropolitan region, address national and international issues in key areas, establish UCF as a major presence, and contribute to the global community.



STATEMENT OF STRATEGY

Given your mission, strengths and available resources, provide a brief description of your market and your strategy for addressing and leading it.

In the Collective Impact plan, UCF has defined five strategic areas of focus:

- 1. Harness the power of scale to transform lives and livelihoods. Serving a fast-growing region and state, UCF has demonstrated that maximizing a research university's impact is a function of both size and excellence, and UCF will continue to pursue both to fulfill its mission.
- 2. Attract and cultivate exceptional and diverse faculty, students, and staff whose collective contributions strengthen us. Believing that talent is at the core of its pursuit of excellence, UCF will aggressively continue to be a magnet for diverse and excellent individuals throughout the university.
- 3. Deploy our distinctive assets to solve society's greatest challenges. UCF and the region have unique capabilities and needs, and the university will focus on finding and developing solutions for Florida and our broader world.
- 4. Create partnerships at every level that amplify our academic, economic, social, and cultural impact and reputation. Continuing its commitment to being "America's leading partnership university," UCF will extend its impact though local, national, and international partnerships and continue to build its reputation of excellence.
- 5. Innovative academic, operational and financial models to transform higher education. As a younger institution with fewer historical constraints, UCF has and will continue to develop new models for how to provide high quality education and research to meet today's needs that can become models for others.



STRENGTHS, OPPORTUNITIES AND CHALLENGES (within 3 years)

What are your major capabilities, opportunities and challenges for improvement?

UCF continues to make strides in student success achieving record highs for first year retention (90.4%) and six-year graduation rates (72.3%). The 2019 U.S. News and World Report ranked UCF among the Top 50 national, public universities in average freshman retention rank, Pell grant comparative graduation rate rank, Pell grant graduation rate rank, and the overall graduation and retention rank. The success of UCF's students is a primary goal of UCF's strategic plan *Collective Impact* and as a key initiative and investment for the university.

The UCF Downtown campus opens in Fall 2019 with more than 20 academic programs. The location serves as a hub for digital media, communications, education, and other community facing disciplines. In partnership with Valencia College, the new campus connects highly skilled talent with industry needs, neighborhood synergies and new opportunities.

UCF's Academic Health Science Center continues to advance with the construction of the new UCF Lake Nona Medical Center, the UCF Lake Nona Cancer Center, and a demonstrated focus on student learning with all eligible fields (medicine, nursing, and physical therapy) exceeding national averages on licensure exams.

The U.S. Department of Education designated UCF as a Hispanic Serving Institution. Based on achieving the thresholds achieved in 2016, the formal designation means the university can now compete for federal funding to improve the educational programs for Hispanic and low-income students.

UCF set a record for research funding with \$183 million. The Arecibo Observatory management, a PTSD mixed-reality treatment program, and the creation of a Veterans Legacy Program recording stories for new generations are three of the many projects that helped propel UCF to record level funding.



KEY INITIATIVES & INVESTMENTS (within 3 years)

Describe your top <u>three</u> key initiatives for the next three years that will drive improvement in Academic Quality, Operational Efficiency, and Return on Investment.

- 1. Faculty Size and Excellence: Hire additional full-time faculty members in areas of specific focus (e.g. STEM, areas of strategic programmatic emphasis, and emerging fields). Hiring full-time faculty members enhances the undergraduate and graduate academic experience by ensuring the availability of course offerings to meet student demand, decreasing class size, increasing student engagement, supporting undergraduate and graduate research, and stabilizing UCF's student-to-faculty ratio. An emphasis on hiring tenured and tenure-track faculty members addresses the overall mix of faculty members while boosting UCF's growing research promise and economic impact. 2020 target is to grow tenured and tenure-track faculty members by 25 percent and increase the percentage of full-time faculty in academic units to 65 percent.
- 2. Research and graduate activity: Increase graduate degree program breadth, interdisciplinarity, and quality while enhancing the volume and impact of UCF research. Increasing graduate activity supports the emerging preeminence of UCF's graduate enterprise and supports the university in enhancing its Carnegie Classification as a "Doctoral University: Highest Research Activity" institution. To ensure continued growth and quality, UCF plans to expand and enhance programs in focused areas. This will include the hiring of research-intensive faculty members and essential staff members, the expansion of biomedical and clinical research, the development of additional graduate medical education programs, and the development of new health-related programs that capitalize on College of Medicine partnerships. Increasing graduate activity also furthers the volume and economic impact of UCF research, building upon the \$1.4 billion in external research grants received in the past decade. 2020 target is \$250 million in research grants.
- 3. Student Success: Expansion of existing programs and implementation of new efforts to increase retention and graduation rates. Harnessing predictive analytics, updated advising software, and focusing on program mapping and tracking to find appropriate pathways for student success are several of the initiatives allowing UCF to shift from cohort-based approaches to individualized student interventions that can predict and prevent certain student failures before they happen. Expected outcomes for these efforts are increased retention and graduation rates, shortened time to degree, and reduced excess credit hours. 2020 target is to achieve a 92 percent retention rate (exceeding the preeminence benchmark of GTE 90 percent) and a 50 percent four-year graduation rate.



Graduation Rate Improvement Plan

This narrative subcomponent is in response to the "Florida Excellence in Higher Education Act of 2018" that revised section 1001.706(5), Florida Statutes, to require each university board of trustees to submit a comprehensive proposal to improve undergraduate four-year graduation rates to the Board of Governors for implementation beginning in the fall of 2018 academic semester.

1. Provide a brief update on the academic, financial, financial aid and curricular actions that your institution has implemented to encourage graduation in four years.

Student Success Initiatives:

Board-Approved Program / Initiative ¹	Impacts in 2018-19	Plans for 2019-20
Pegasus Path (degree planner)	11,590 (available to 23,228)	Available to all undergrads in Fall 2019
mySchedule Builder (schedule optimizer)	34,736 (available to all)	
myKnight STAR (predictive analytics advising tool)	58,913 (all undergraduates)	Integration with other analytics tools
Think 30 (credit hour completion)	12,730 (campaign to all)	
Knight's Completion Grant	285	Increase number of awards
Digital Learning Course Redesign	14,884 students – 33 courses	Additional 7 courses through Fall 19
Integrative Learning	24,202	
General Education "Refresh"		Starts Fall 2019

As outlined in the BOG-approved accountability plans in June 2018

<u>Financial Aid Impact:</u> Charge On 15 is UCF's program that assists in closing the gap for gift aid between 12 and 15 hours of tuition and fees. During 2018-19, 868 awards were granted totaling \$865,234 in gift aid.

<u>Timely Completion</u>: UCF is investing in multiple timely completion initiatives that have yielded a 14% gain in students enrolling in 15 or more credit hours over the past four years. UCF's "Think 30" campaign generated large increases in FTIC student completions of 30 or more hours, a 25% increase among first year and a 23% increase among second year FTIC students. Think 30 is only one initiative UCF is leveraging to increase 4-year graduation rates. Collectively, the FTIC population of students registered in 15 or more credit hours has increased by 12% over the last five years. Additionally, new FTIC admits taking 15 or more credit hours increased by 33% during the same period.

UCF continues to focus on student success and 4-year completion.



Key Achievements for Last Year (2017 -2018)

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENTS

- 1. College of Engineering and Computer Science student Programming Team placed 1st among U.S. teams and 10th worldwide in the Association of Computing Machinery's International Collegiate Programming Contest.
- 2. College of Arts and Humanities student Anabelle Vabre was awarded one of two national internships for the White House initiative on Educational Excellence for African Americans.
- 3. College of Optics and Photonics student Haiwei Chen was one of ten students world-wide to receive a Graduate Student Fellowship award presented by the Institute for Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE) Photonics Society.

FACULTY ACHIEVEMENTS

- College of Arts and Humanities assistant professor Kerry Purmensky and associate professor Florin Mihai received a \$2.6 million grant for "Dual Language Support through Technology Enhanced Programs and Strategies".
- College of Engineering and Computer Science professor Haitham Al-Deek received the Chairman's Award for meritorious service by the Transportation Research Board, Freeway Operations Committee.
- 3. College of Nursing clinical associate professor Laura Gonzalez received an advanced certification as a Healthcare Simulation Educator. Only 29 people hold the certification worldwide.

PROGRAM ACHIEVEMENTS

- 1. College of Arts and Humanities FL Interactive Entertainment Academy received 1st place globally from the Intel University Student Showcase for their "Hallowed" video game.
- 2. College of Community Innovation and Education now offers a BS degree in Emergency Management making UCF the first university in Florida to offer a bachelor's and master's degree in this discipline.
- 3. DirectConnect to UCF Program is one of 112 colleges to be included in the Phi Theta Kappa's Transfer Honor Roll, identifying the top four-year colleges and universities creating dynamic pathways to support transfer students.

INSTITUTIONAL ACHIEVEMENTS

- 1. UCF ranked 25th nationally as a Most Innovative School and 16th for Best Online Bachelor's Programs by *U.S. News and World Report*.
- 2. The Office of Research & Commercialization's Department of Defense-related research funding grew over 41%, reaching \$28 million.
- 3. Student Development and Enrollment Services' Student Academic Resource Center received the Outstanding Learning Center Award from the National College Learning Center Association (NCLCA).



PERFORMANCE BASED FUNDING METRICS

1. Percent of B	achelor'	s Gradu	ates Enr	olled or	Employe	d (\$25,00	00+)		
	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21
ACTUAL	64.3	65.1	66.2	67.9	67.4		•		
APPROVED GOALS			65	67.2	68.5	69.1	69.5	69.9	
PROPOSED GOALS	•			•	•	69.1	69.5	69.9	70.1
2. Median Wa	ges of B	achelor's	Gradua	ates Emp	loyed Fu	ll-time			
	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21
ACTUAL	34,900	37,000	38,600	38,700	38,600				•
APPROVED GOALS			36,600	39,100	39,700	40,200	40,600	41,100	
PROPOSED GOALS						40,200	40,600	41,100	41,300
3. Average Cos	st to the	Student	[Net Tuiti	on & Fees p	per 120 Cred	it Hours fo	r Resident	Undergrad	uates]
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22
ACTUAL	16,260	15,330	15,390	15,400*	\$12,070				
APPROVED GOALS			•	15,120	15,968	15,905	15,843	15,781	
PROPOSED GOALS						12,000	11,950	11,900	11,850
Note*: Previous year data	updated to re	flect the change	e in methodolo	gy made by Bo	ard ODA staff to	improve accur	racy.		
4. FTIC Four-Y	ear Gra	duation	Rate						
	2010-14	2011-15	2012-16	2013-17	2014-18	2015-19	2016-20	2017-21	2018-22
ACTUAL	40.0	40.4*	43.6	43.7*	45.7				
APPROVED GOALS			42	45	44.7	46.0	47.8	50.1	
PROPOSED GOALS						46.8	48.0	50.4	51.0
Note*: Previous year data	updated to ac	count for chang	ges to cohorts	approved by O	DA staff to impro	ove accuracy.			
5. Academic P	rogross	Rato (Coor	and Voor D	otontion Do	to with At I	oast a 2.0.0	7D A 1		
3. Academic 1	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22
ACTUAL	85.0	86.6	86.5	87.6*	88.7		•		
APPROVED GOALS			88	87.4	87.9	88.5	89.2	90.0	

 $Note^*: Previous\ year\ data\ updated\ to\ reflect\ the\ change\ in\ methodology\ made\ by\ Board\ ODA\ staff\ to\ improve\ accuracy.$

Note: Metrics are defined in appendix. For more information about the PBF model visit: http://www.flbog.edu/about/budget/performance_funding.php.



PERFORMANCE BASED FUNDING METRICS (CONTINUED)

	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22
ACTUAL	48.9	49.7	52.0	51.9	51.6		•	•	
APPROVED GOALS			50	52.5	52.5	53.0	53.4	53.8	
PROPOSED GOALS						53.0	53.4	53.8	53.9
7. University	Access R	ate [Percer	nt of Underg	raduates wit	h a Pell gran	nt]			
	FALL 2013	FALL 2014	FALL 2015	FALL 2016	FALL 2017	FALL 2018	FALL 2019	FALL 2020	FALL 2021
ACTUAL	38.5	39.4	39.8	39.4	40.6		•	•	
APPROVED GOALS			40	40.2	40.0	40.6	41.2	41.9	
PROPOSED GOALS						41.0	41.8	42.4	42.6
8. Percentage	of Gradu	ıate Deg	rees Aw	arded wi	thin Pro	ograms o	f Strateg	ic Emph	asis
O	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22
ACTUAL	57.4	61.7	63.4	62.0	62.6	•		•	•
APPROVED GOALS			62	63.6	62.5	63.0	63.4	63.8	
PROPOSED GOALS						63.0	63.4	63.8	64.0
9. BOG Choice	e: Percer	nt of Bac	calaurea	te Degre	es Awar	ded Witl	hout Exc	ess Hou	rs
9. BOG Choice	e: Percer 2013-14	of Bac 2014-15	calaurea 2015-16	te Degre 2016-17	es Awar 2017-18	ded Witl 2018-19	nout Exc 2019-20	ess Houi 2020-21	rs 2021-22
9. BOG Choic				_					
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22
ACTUAL	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16 66.3	2016-17 76.4	2017-18 77.8	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22
ACTUAL APPROVED GOALS PROPOSED GOALS	2013-14 66.9	2014-15 69.2 .	2015-16 66.3 69	2016-17 76.4 68	77.8 76.8	77.3 78.1	77.7 78.7	78.0 79.1	2021-22
ACTUAL APPROVED GOALS PROPOSED GOALS	2013-14 66.9	2014-15 69.2 .	2015-16 66.3 69	2016-17 76.4 68	77.8 76.8	77.3 78.1	77.7 78.7	78.0 79.1	2021-22
ACTUAL APPROVED GOALS PROPOSED GOALS 10.1 Current B	2013-14 66.9 SOT Cho 2013-14	2014-15 69.2 ice: Back 2014-15	2015-16 66.3 69 nelor's D 2015-16	2016-17 76.4 68	2017-18 77.8 76.8	2018-19 . 77.3 78.1 Annual 2018-19	2019-20 77.7 78.7 ly [First N 2019-20	78.0 79.1 Majors]	
ACTUAL APPROVED GOALS PROPOSED GOALS	2013-14 66.9 SOT Cho 2013-14 12,372	2014-15 69.2 ice: Back 2014-15 12,629	2015-16 66.3 69 nelor's D 2015-16 12,832	2016-17 76.4 68 . Degrees A 2016-17 13,070*	2017-18 77.8 76.8 . awarded 2017-18 13,342	2018-19 . 77.3 . 78.1 . Annual 2018-19	2019-20 77.7 78.7 ly [First N 2019-20	2020-21	
ACTUAL APPROVED GOALS PROPOSED GOALS 10.1 Current B ACTUAL	2013-14 66.9 SOT Cho 2013-14	2014-15 69.2 ice: Back 2014-15	2015-16 66.3 69 nelor's D 2015-16	2016-17 76.4 68	2017-18 77.8 76.8	2018-19 . 77.3 78.1 Annual 2018-19 . 13,600	2019-20 . 77.7 78.7 ly [First N 2019-20 . 13,870	2020-21 . 78.0 . 79.1 1ajors] 2020-21 . 14,150	2021-22
ACTUAL APPROVED GOALS PROPOSED GOALS 10.1 Current B ACTUAL APPROVED GOALS PROPOSED GOALS	2013-14 66.9	2014-15 69.2 ice: Back 2014-15 12,629	2015-16 66.3 69 nelor's D 2015-16 12,832 12,850	2016-17 76.4 68 . 2016-17 13,070* 13,190 .	2017-18 77.8 76.8	2018-19 . 77.3 78.1 Annual 2018-19 . 13,600 13,600	2019-20 . 77.7 78.7 ly [First N 2019-20 . 13,870 13,870	2020-21	
ACTUAL APPROVED GOALS PROPOSED GOALS 10.1 Current B ACTUAL APPROVED GOALS PROPOSED GOALS Note*: Previous year data	2013-14 66.9 SOT Cho 2013-14 12,372 a updated to ref	2014-15 69.2 ice: Back 2014-15 12,629 idect the change	2015-16 66.3 69 . nelor's D 2015-16 12,832 12,850 . e in methodolog	2016-17 76.4 68 . 2016-17 13,070* 13,190 . gy made by Boa	2017-18 77.8 76.8 warded 2017-18 13,342 13,330 ard ODA staff to	2018-19 . 77.3 78.1 Annual 2018-19 . 13,600 13,600 o improve accui	2019-20 . 77.7 78.7 ly [First N 2019-20 . 13,870 13,870 racy.	2020-21 . 78.0 79.1 Majors] 2020-21 . 14,150 14,150	2021-22 79.4 2021-22 14,400
ACTUAL APPROVED GOALS PROPOSED GOALS 10.1 Current B ACTUAL APPROVED GOALS PROPOSED GOALS Note*: Previous year data	2013-14 66.9 SOT Cho 2013-14 12,372 a updated to ref	2014-15 69.2 ice: Back 2014-15 12,629 dect the change	2015-16 66.3 69 . nelor's D 2015-16 12,832 12,850 . e in methodolog	2016-17 76.4 68 . 2016-17 13,070* 13,190 . gy made by Boa	2017-18 77.8 76.8 warded 2017-18 13,342 13,330 ard ODA staff to	2018-19 . 77.3 78.1 Annual 2018-19 . 13,600 13,600 o improve accui	2019-20 . 77.7 78.7 ly [First N 2019-20 . 13,870 13,870 racy.	2020-21 . 78.0 79.1 Majors] 2020-21 . 14,150 14,150	2021-22 79.4 2021-22 14,400
ACTUAL APPROVED GOALS PROPOSED GOALS 10.1 Current B ACTUAL APPROVED GOALS PROPOSED GOALS Note*: Previous year data	2013-14 66.9 SOT Cho 2013-14 12,372 a updated to ref	2014-15 69.2 ice: Back 2014-15 12,629 dect the change	2015-16 66.3 69 . nelor's D 2015-16 12,832 12,850 . e in methodolog	2016-17 76.4 68 . 2016-17 13,070* 13,190 . gy made by Boa	2017-18 77.8 76.8 warded 2017-18 13,342 13,330 ard ODA staff to	2018-19 . 77.3 78.1 Annual 2018-19 . 13,600 13,600 o improve accui	2019-20 . 77.7 78.7 ly [First N 2019-20 . 13,870 13,870 racy.	2020-21 . 78.0 79.1 Majors] 2020-21 . 14,150 14,150	2021-22
ACTUAL APPROVED GOALS PROPOSED GOALS 10.1 Current B ACTUAL APPROVED GOALS PROPOSED GOALS Note*: Previous year data	2013-14 66.9 SOT Cho 2013-14 12,372 a updated to ref OT Choic Students	2014-15 69.2 ice: Back 2014-15 12,629 dect the change ce: Perce	2015-16 66.3 69 . nelor's D 2015-16 12,832 12,850 . e in methodolog nt of Bac	2016-17 76.4 68 . 2016-17 13,070* 13,190 . gy made by Boachelor's I	2017-18 77.8 76.8 .	2018-19 . 77.3 78.1 Annual 2018-19 . 13,600 13,600 o improve accur Awarded	2019-20 . 77.7 78.7 ly [First N 2019-20 . 13,870 13,870 racy. d to Afri	2020-21 . 78.0 79.1 [Aajors] 2020-21 . 14,150 14,150 can Ame	2021-22 79.4 2021-22 14,400 erican
APPROVED GOALS PROPOSED GOALS 10.1 Current B ACTUAL APPROVED GOALS PROPOSED GOALS Note*: Previous year data 10.2 Future BC and Hispanic	2013-14 66.9 SOT Cho 2013-14 12,372 a updated to ref OT Choic Students 2013-14	2014-15 69.2 ice: Back 2014-15 12,629 clect the change ce: Perce S 2014-15	2015-16 66.3 69 . nelor's D 2015-16 12,832 12,850 . e in methodolog nt of Bac 2015-16	2016-17 76.4 68 . Degrees A 2016-17 13,070* 13,190 . gy made by Boachelor's 1 2016-17	2017-18 77.8 76.8 . 2017-18 2017-18 13,342 13,330 . ard ODA staff to Degrees 2017-18	2018-19 . 77.3 78.1 Annual 2018-19 . 13,600 13,600 o improve accur Awarded	2019-20 . 77.7 78.7 ly [First N 2019-20 . 13,870 13,870 racy. d to Afri 2019-20	2020-21 . 78.0 79.1 [Aajors] 2020-21 . 14,150 14,150 can Ame 2020-21	2021-22 79.4 2021-22 14,400 erican

Note: This is a transition year for the BOT Choice metric (#10), so we are reporting data for both the current and future metrics. Metrics are defined in appendix. For more information about the PBF model visit: http://www.flbog.edu/about/budget/performance_funding.php



PREEMINENT RESEARCH UNIVERSITY FUNDING METRICS

1a. A	Average	GPA
-------	---------	------------

	Fall 2014	Fall 2015	Fall 2016	Fall 2017	Fall 2018	Fall 2019	Fall 2020	Fall 2021	Fall 2022
ACTUAL	3.9	4.0	4.0	4.1	4.1				
APPROVED GOALS		•	4.0	4.0	4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	•
PROPOSED GOALS	_					4.1	4.1	4.1	4.1

1b. Average SAT Score

	Fall 2014	Fall 2015	Fall 2016	Fall 2017	Fall 2018	Fall 2019	Fall 2020	Fall 2021	Fall 2022
ACTUAL	1257*	1261*	1262*	1316	1326				
APPROVED GOALS	•	•	1263*	1265*	1318	1320	1321	1322	•
PROPOSED GOALS						1326	1327	1328	1328

Note*: Historical scores and approved goals were based upon a different SAT scale standard.

2. Public University National Ranking [Top50 rankings based on BOG's official list of publications]

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
ACTUAL	1	1	2	1	3				
APPROVED GOALS			2	2	2	3	3	4	
PROPOSED GOALS		•				3	3	4	4

3. Freshman Retention Rate [Full-time students]

	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22
ACTUAL	88	89	89	89.6	90.4		•	•	
APPROVED GOALS		•	89	90	90	91	91	92	
PROPOSED GOALS						91	91.5	92.0	92.2

4. Four-year Graduation Rate [Full-time students]

	2010-14	2011-15	2012-16*	2013-17	2014-18	2015-19	2016-20	2017-21	2018-22
ACTUAL	40.0	40.4	43.6	43.7	45.7				
APPROVED GOALS			42	45	44.7	46.0	47.8	50.1	•
PROPOSED GOALS						46.8	48.0	50.4	51.0

Note*: Florida statute requires using older graduation rates as reported by IPEDS.

Note: Metrics are defined in appendix. For more information about the PBF model visit: http://www.flbog.edu/about/budget/performance_funding.php



PREEMINENT RESEARCH UNIVERSITY FUNDING METRICS (CONTINUED)

5. National Academy Memberships

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
ACTUAL	1	1	6	6	6				
APPROVED GOALS			2	7	6	7	7	8	•
PROPOSED GOALS						7	7	8	8

6. Science & Engineering Research Expenditures (\$M)

	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22
ACTUAL	143	170	<mark>166</mark> *	<mark>169*</mark>	176		•		
APPROVED GOALS			174	194	194	201	206	210	
PROPOSED GOALS						201	206	210	210

Note*: Historical data resubmitted in 2019.

7. Non-Medical Science & Engineering Research Expenditures (\$M)

	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22
ACTUAL	132	168	158*	<mark>161*</mark>	165				
APPROVED GOALS		•	171	186	186	192	198	201	
PROPOSED GOALS						192	198	201	201

Note*: Historical data resubmitted in 2019.

8. Number of Broad Disciplines Ranked in Top 100 for Research Expenditures

	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21
ACTUAL	3 of 8	7 of 8	7 of 8	7 of 8	7 of 8				
APPROVED GOALS			7 of 8						
PROPOSED GOALS						7 of 8	7 of 8	7 of 8	7 of 8

Note: Metrics are defined in appendix. For more information about the PBF model visit: http://www.flbog.edu/about/budget/performance_funding.php



PREEMINENT RESEARCH UNIVERSITY FUNDING METRICS (CONTINUED)

9. Utility Patents Awarded [over three calendar years]

	2012-14	2013-15	2014-16	2015-17	2016-18	2017-19	2018-20	2019-21	2020-22	
ACTUAL	198	177	184	165	147					
APPROVED GOALS			208	192	152	138	135	135		
PROPOSED GOALS						138	135	135	135	

10. Doctoral Degrees Awarded Annually

	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22
ACTUAL	356	423	440	429	438				
APPROVED GOALS			445	455	440	455	470	480	
PROPOSED GOALS		•				455	470	480	490

11. Number of Post-Doctoral Appointees

	Fall 2013	Fall 2014	Fall 2015*	Fall 2016	Fall 2017	Fall 2018	Fall 2019	Fall 2020	Fall 2021
ACTUAL	52	47	51	67	94				
APPROVED GOALS	52	64	68	72	96	112			
PROPOSED GOALS						112	117	123	129

Note*: Florida statute requires using older counts of Post-Doctoral Appointees as reported by the Center for Measuring University Performance in their annual Top American Research Universities (TARU) report.

12. Endowment Size (\$Millions)

	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22
ACTUAL	154.6	150.7	146.4	157	163				
APPROVED GOALS			169	153	165	175	182	190	•
PROPOSED GOALS						175	182	190	191

Note: Metrics are defined in appendix. For more information about the PBF model visit: http://www.flbog.edu/about/budget/performance_funding.php



KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

Teaching & Learning Metrics (from the 2025 System Strategic Plan that are not included in the PBF section)

Public Universit	y National Rankin	g [Number of Top50 l	Rankings based on BOG	's official list of publications]

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
ACTUAL	1	1	2	1	3				•
APPROVED GOALS			2	2	2	3	3	4	•
PROPOSED GOALS					•	3	3	4	4
Freshmen in T	Top 10%	of High	School	Class					
	Fall 2014	Fall 2015	Fall 2016	Fall 2017	Fall 2018	Fall 2019	Fall 2020	Fall 2021	Fall 2022
ACTUAL	31	33	33	31	34				•
APPROVED GOALS			34	35	31	32	32	33	
PROPOSED GOALS						35	36	37	38
Гime to Degre	o for FT	ICe in 1'	20hr pro	grame					
rime to Degre	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22
ACTUAL	4.6	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.3				
APPROVED GOALS			4.3	4.2	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.2	
PROPOSED GOALS	•				•	4.3	4.2	4.2	4.2
Six-Year FTIC	Gradua	tion Rat	es [Full-&	: Part-time	studentsl				
	2008-14	2009-15	2010-16	2011-17	2012-18	2013-19	2014-20	2015-21	2016-22
ACTUAL	69	70	68	70	72			•	
APPROVED GOALS			70	71	70	71	72	72	•
PROPOSED GOALS						72	73	73	74
Bachelor's De	grees Av	warded []	First Majo	rs Only]					
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22
ACTUAL	12,372	12,629	12,832	13,070*	13,342				•
APPROVED GOALS	•		12,850	13,190	13,330	13,600	13,870	14,150	

KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (CONTINUED)

Teaching & Learning Metrics

Professional Licensure & Certification Exam First-time Pass Rates

CALENDAR YEAR	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019 GOAL	2020 GOAL	2021 GOAL	2022 GOAL
Nursing	98	97	92	96	95	96	97	97	97
US Average	85	87	88	90	92				
Medicine (2Yr)	100	100	100	97	97	97	97	97	97
US Average	96	96	96	96	96				
CROSS-YEAR	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19 GOAL	2019-20 GOAL	2020-21 GOAL	2021-22 GOAL
Medicine (4Y-CK)	99	99	100	98	99	99	99	99	99
US Average	97	95	96	96	97		•		
Medicine (4Y-CS)	97	100	99	97	96	97	97	97	97
US Average	96	96	97	96	95				
MULTI-YEAR	2012-14	2013-15	2014-16	2015-17	2016-18	2017-19 GOAL	2018-20 GOAL	2019-21 GOAL	2020-22 GOAL
Physical Therapy	93	98	98	100	99	95	95	95	95
US Average	90	91	92	92	92				
Exam Scores Relativ	ve to Bend	hmarks							
Above or Tied	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
Total	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5

Note: An asterisk (*) indicates the passing rate is preliminary.



KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (CONTINUED)

Teaching & Learning Metrics

Graduate	Degrees	Awarded	[First Ma	jors Only	7]
----------	----------------	----------------	-----------	-----------	----

	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22
ACTUAL	2,918	2,673	2,681*	2,647	2,752				
APPROVED GOALS	•	•	2,770	2,700	2,670	2,693	2,716	2,739	•
PROPOSED GOALS						2,793	2,833	2,868	2,996
Note*: Previous year data	updated to ref	flect the change	e in methodolog	y made by Boa	ard ODA staff to	o improve accu	racy.		

Bachelor's Degrees Awarded to African-American & Hispanic Students

	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22
ACTUAL	30	31	33	35	36				
APPROVED GOALS			32	33	36	36	37	37	
PROPOSED GOALS						37	38	38	39

Percentage of Adult (Aged 25+) Undergraduates Enrolled

	Fall 2014	Fall 2015	Fall 2016	Fall 2017	Fall 2018	Fall 2019	Fall 2020	Fall 2021	Fall 2022
ACTUAL	21	20	20	19	18				
APPROVED GOALS			21	21	20	20	21	21	
PROPOSED GOALS	•	•	•	•	•	20	21	21	21

Percent of Undergraduate FTE in Online Courses

	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22
ACTUAL	28	30	31	33	34				
APPROVED GOALS	•	•	31	32	34	35	37	39	
PROPOSED GOALS						35	<i>37</i>	39	40

Percent of Bachelor's Degrees in STEM & Health

	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22
ACTUAL	30	33	34	35	34				
APPROVED GOALS	•		34	35	36	36	37	38	
PROPOSED GOALS						36	<i>37</i>	38	38

Percent of Graduate Degrees in STEM & Health

	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22
ACTUAL	41	44	47	45	47	•	•		
APPROVED GOALS			45	47	48	48	49	50	
PROPOSED GOALS				_		48	49	50	50



KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (CONTINUED)

Scholarship, R				trics					
National Acad	1emy Me 2015	embershi 2016	1 ps 2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
ACTUAL	1	1	6	6	6				
APPROVED GOALS			2	7	6	7	7	8	•
PROPOSED GOALS						7	7	8	8
Faculty Award	ds								
	Fall 2012	Fall 2013	Fall 2014	Fall 2015	Fall 2016	Fall 2017	Fall 2018	Fall 2019	Fall 202
ACTUAL	7	6	7	7	8				
APPROVED GOALS			12	8	8	10	11	12	
PROPOSED GOALS						10	11	12	12
Г <mark>otal Researc</mark> l	h Expend	ditures (S	5M)						
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-2
ACTUAL	186	216	<mark>198*</mark>	<mark>200*</mark>	215		•	•	
APPROVED GOALS		•	218	271	248	269	290	315	
PROPOSED GOALS						269	290	315	315
Percentage of	Research 2013-14	h Expend 2014-15	ditures F 2015-16	unded fi 2016-17	r <mark>om Exte</mark> 2017-18	rnal Sou 2018-19	1rces 2019-20	2020-21	2021-2
ACTUAL	46	50	49	49	55	•			
APPROVED GOALS			53	50	52	53	54	55	
PROPOSED GOALS						54	54	55	55
Utility Patents	s Award	ed [from th	e USPTO1						
<i>y</i>	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
ACTUAL	67	58	59	48	40				
APPROVED GOALS				65	45	45	45	45	
PROPOSED GOALS						45	45	45	45
Number of Li	censes/C	ptions E	executed	Annual	ly				
	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-2
ACTUAL	17	23	38	34	36	28			
APPROVED GOALS			31	34	34	34	36	36	
PROPOSED GOALS						34	36	36	36



KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (CONTINUED)

Scholarship, Research and Innovation Metrics

Number of Start-up Companies Created

	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21
ACTUAL	3	8	14	9	1				
APPROVED GOALS			10	15	10	12	14	15	
PROPOSED GOALS						2	2	2	2

Institution Specific Goals

To further distinguish the university's distinctive mission, the university may choose to provide additional metric goals that are based on the university's own strategic plan.

1. UCF Lake Nona Medical Center

2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
ACTUAL	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	ACTUAL	GOAL	GOAL	GOAL	GOAL
SECURE LAND	HCA	BOG	SECURE	BEGIN	CONST.	CONTS.	OPENING	STUDENT
	PARTNERSHIP	APPROVAL	PERMITS	CONST.	CONTINUES	COMPLETED		ROTATIONS



ENROLLMENT PLANNING

Fall Headcount Enrollment by Student Level (for all degree-seeking students at all campuses)

	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
UNDERGRADUATE									
ACTUAL	52,284	54,073	55,252	56,408	58,402				
APPROVED GOALS				56,000	57,595	58,745	59,898	61,069	
PROPOSED GOALS						58,410	58,400	58,400	58,400
GRADUATE									
ACTUAL	7,806	8,012	8,170	8,840	9,319				
APPROVED GOALS				8,590	9,148	9,341	9,549	9,740	
PROPOSED GOALS						9,500	10,000	10,400	10,710

Fall Headcount Enrollment by Student Type (for all degree-seeking students at all campuses)

		•			3		, ,		
	2014 ACTUAL	2015 ACTUAL	2016 ACTUAL	2017 ACTUAL	2018 ACTUAL	2019 <i>PLAN</i>	2020 <i>PLAN</i>	2021 <i>PLAN</i>	2022 <i>PLAN</i>
UNDERGRADUATE									
FTIC	24,686*	25,209	25,518	26,289	27,340	28,310	29,180	30,060	30,730
FCS AA Transfers	21,438*	21,897	22,012	21,636	21,617	22,590	22,420	22,400	22,470
Other AA Transfers	257	325	446	482	483	510	520	520	530
Post-Baccalaureates	527	1,085	1,073	1,066	1,121	1,250	1,310	1,360	1,390
Other Undergraduates	5,376	5,557	6,203	6,935	7,841	5,750	4,970	4,060	3,280
Subtotal	52,284	54,073	55,252	56,408	58,402	<i>58,410</i>	<i>58,400</i>	<i>58,400</i>	<i>58,400</i>
GRADUATE									
Master's	5,415	5,663	5,812	6,359	6,668	6,680	7,040	7,300	7,510
Research Doctoral	1,757	1,724	1,732	1,787	1,897	2,050	2,180	2,300	2,390
Professional Doctoral	634	625	626	694	754	770	780	800	810
Subtotal	7,806	8,012	8,170	8,840	9,319	9,500	10,000	10,400	10,710
TOTAL	60,090	62,085	63,422	65,248	67,721	67,910	68,400	68,800	69,110

Note*: Previous year data updated to account for changes to cohorts approved by ODA staff to improve accuracy.

Notes: This table reports the number of students enrolled at the university by student type categories. The student type for undergraduates is based on the Type of Student at Time of Most Recent Admission. The student type for graduates is based on the degree that is sought and the student CIP code. Does not include 'Unclassified' students who are not formally admitted into a degree program but are enrolled (e.g., dual enrolled high school students).

Percent of Baccalaureate-Seeking Resident Undergraduates Who Earned 15+ Credit Hours (Fall terms only)

	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	
ACTUAL	11	11	11	12	13					_
APPROVED GOALS			##	##	##	##	##	##		
PROPOSED GOALS						14	15	16	17	



ENROLLMENT PLANNING continued

Actual & Planned FTE Enrollment by Residency & Student Level

	2013-14 ACTUAL	2014-15 ACTUAL	2015-16 ACTUAL	2016-17 ACTUAL	2017-18 ACTUAL	2018-19 PLAN	2019-20 PLAN	2020-21 PLAN	2021-22 PLAN	2022-23 PLAN
RESIDENT										
LOWER	14,747	15,208	15,609	15,878	16,175	16,840	17,360	17,690	17,990	18,250
UPPER	28,845	28,797	29,403	29,943	30,572	31,540	32,830	33,440	34,020	34,490
GRAD I	3,828	3,515	3,549	3,651	3,985	4,030	4,460	4,680	4,850	4,980
GRAD II	849	808	721	680	714	780	830	880	930	970
TOTAL	48,270	48,328	49,281	50,152	51,446	53,190	55,480	56,690	57,790	58,690
NON-RESID	DENT									
LOWER	662	816	1,188	1,422	1,707	1,900	1,830	1,870	1,900	1,930
UPPER	883	975	1,080	1,359	1,726	2,140	1,850	1,890	1,920	1,950
GRAD I	493	572	603	634	689	820	770	810	840	860
GRAD II	567	623	662	726	766	810	890	950	990	1,040
TOTAL	2,606	2,985	3,533	4,140	4,888	5,670	5,340	5,520	5,650	5,780
TOTAL										
LOWER	15,410	16,024	16,797	17,299	17,882	18,730	19,200	19,550	19,890	20,170
UPPER	29,728	29,772	30,483	31,302	32,298	33,680	34,680	35,320	35,940	36,440
GRAD I	4,321	4,087	4,152	4,285	4,674	4,860	5,230	5,490	5,690	5,840
GRAD II	1,416	1,431	1,383	1,406	1,480	1,590	1,710	1,830	1,920	2,000
TOTAL	50,876	51,313	52,815	54,292	56,334	58,860	60,820	62,190	63,440	64,450

Note: Full-time Equivalent (FTE) student is a measure of all instructional activity (regardless of fundability) that is based on the number of credit hours that degree-seeking students enroll. FTE is based on the standard national definition, which divides undergraduate credit hours by 30 and graduate credit hours by 24. Pursuant to section 1013.31, Florida Statutes, Board facilities staff use this data as a key factor in the calculation of facility space needs for university educational plant surveys.

Percent of FTE Enrollment by Method of Instruction

	2013-14 ACTUAL	2014-15 ACTUAL	2015-16 ACTUAL	2016-17 ACTUAL	2017-18 ACTUAL	2018-19 PLAN	2019-20 PLAN	2020-21 PLAN	2021-22 PLAN	2022-23 PLAN
UNDERGRADUATE										
Distance (80-100%)	28%	30%	31%	33%	34%	37%	38%	39%	40%	40%
Hybrid (50-79%)	7%	8%	9%	10%	10%	11%	11%	11%	11%	11%
Classroom (0-50%)	65%	62%	60%	58%	56%	52%	51%	50%	49%	49%
GRADUATE										
Distance (80-100%)	28%	28%	29%	31%	36%	38%	40%	41%	42%	42%
Hybrid (50-79%)	12%	12%	12%	11%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%	10%
Classroom (0-50%)	60%	60%	60%	58%	54%	52%	50%	49%	48%	48%

Note: Full-time Equivalent (FTE) student is a measure of instructional activity (regardless of fundability) that is based on the number of credit hours that degree-seeking students enroll. FTE is based on the standard national definition, which divides undergraduate credit hours by 30 and graduate credit hours by 24. Distance Learning is a course in which at least 80 percent of the direct instruction of the course is delivered using some form of technology when the student and instructor are separated by time or space, or both (per 1009.24(17), F.S.). Classroom/Traditional, is a course in which less than 50% of the direct instruction of the course is delivered using some form of technology when the student and instructor are separated by time, space or both. This designation can include activities that do not occur in a classroom (ie, labs, internships, practica, clinicals, labs, etc) – see SUDS data element #2052. *Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding.



ACADEMIC PROGRAM COORDINATION

New Programs For Consideration by University in AY 2019-20

The S.U.S. Council of Academic Vice Presidents (CAVP) Academic Program Coordination Work Group will review these programs as part of their on-going coordination efforts. The programs listed below are based on the 2018 Accountability Plan list for programs under consideration for 2019-20.

PROGRAM TITLES		AREA OF STRATEGIC EMPHASIS	OTHER UNIVERSITIES WITH SAME PROGRAM	OFFERED VIA DISTANCE LEARNING IN SYSTEM	PROJECTED ENROLLMENT in 5th year	PROPOSED DATE OF SUBMISSION TO UBOT
BACHELOR'S PROGRAMS						
Molecular and Cellular Biology	26.0406	STEM	-	N	400	May-2019
Molecular Microbiology	26.0503	STEM	UF, USF	N	400	May-2019
Data Sciences	30.0801	STEM	-	N	250	Mar-2020
MASTER'S, SPECIALIST AND O	THER ADV	ANCED MA	ASTER'S PROGR	AMS		
Computer Vision	11.0102	STEM	-	N	30	Nov-2019
Cognitive Sciences	30.2501	STEM	-	N	50	Jul-2019
Planetary Science and Space Exploration	40.0203	STEM	-	N	40	Mar-2020
Public Policy	44.0501	-	-	N	90	Nov-2019
DOCTORAL PROGRAMS						
Interdisciplinary Studies	30.0000	-	-	Υ	12	Mar-2020
Sustainable Coastal Systems	30.3301	STEM	-	Ν	24	Jul-2019
Planetary Science and Space Exploration	40.0203	STEM	-	N	40	Mar-2020
Public Administration	44.0401	-	FAU, FIU, FSU	N	120	Mar-2020
Rehabilitation Sciences	51.2314	HLTH	UF, FSU	N	20	Mar-2020

New Programs For Consideration by University in 2020-22-

These programs will be used in the 2020 Accountability Plan list for programs under consideration for 2020-21.

PROGRAM TITLES BACHELOR'S PROGRAMS	CIP CODE 6-digit	AREA OF STRATEGIC EMPHASIS	OTHER UNIVERSITIES WITH SAME PROGRAM	OFFERED VIA DISTANCE LEARNING IN SYSTEM	PROJECTED ENROLLMENT in 5th year	PROPOSED DATE OF SUBMISSION TO UBOT
Neuroscience	26.1501	STEM	FSU	N	400	Mar-2021
MASTER'S, SPECIALIST AND	OTHER ADV	ANCED MAS	STER'S PROGRA	MS		
Themed Experiences	50.0401	GAP	UF	N	120	Nov-2020
Health Sciences	51.2208	HLTH	UF, UNF	N	200	Nov-2020
DOCTORAL PROGRAMS						
Biomedical Engineering	14.0501	STEM	FAMU, FIU, FSU, UF, USF	N	15	Nov-2020
Neuroscience	26.1501	STEM	FSU	Υ	50	Jul-2020
Public Administration	44.0401		-	N	40	Mar-2021

ITEM: NG-2

UCF BOARD OF TRUSTEES March 21, 2019

Title: Amendments to University Regulation UCF-2.009 Admission of International Students

Background:

Florida Board of Governors Regulation 1.001 provides that "Each Board of Trustees is authorized to promulgate university regulations in accordance with the Regulation Development Procedure adopted by the Board of Governors." Florida Board of Governors Regulation 6.009 requires that each Board of Trustees adopt regulation(s) addressing the admission of international students, including the chosen methods of the university to assess English language proficiency of any international student for whom English is not the student's first language.

Issues to be Considered:

The University proposes to amend Regulation UCF-2.009 to update the proficiency test that is used for graduate students who are non-native speakers of English in order for the students to teach. A specified level of proficiency is also added where the UCF English Language Institute measures English language proficiency.

This regulation was posted online February 20, 2019 for public comment. No comments were received as of the date of submission of these materials.

Alternatives to Decision:

Do not amend University Regulation UCF-2.009 as proposed.

Fiscal Impact and Source of Funding:

N/A

Recommended Action:

Approve amendments to University of Central Florida Regulation UCF-2.009 Admission of International Students.

Authority for Board of Trustees Action:

Board of Governors regulations 1.001 and 6.009

Committee Chair or Chairman of the Board approval:

Committee Chair Bill Yeargin approved.

Submitted by:

Scott Cole, Vice President and General Counsel

Supporting Documentation:

Attachment A: Proposed Amended Regulation UCF-2.009 (redline)

Facilitators/Presenters:

Youndy Cook, Deputy General Counsel

Attachment A

UCF-2.009 Admission of International Students.

Admission of international students to UCF is governed by the university admission regulations, Florida Board of Governors Regulation 6.009 and the following:

- (1) Eligible international students may be accepted at the appropriate level subject to space and fiscal limitations. International applicants can only be admitted in a status that is appropriate for the visa category designated on the application.
- (2) In addition to University admission requirements, international students must meet the following requirements as a minimum:
 - (a) The applicant shall be academically eligible for further study in his/her own country.
 - (b) An applicant whose native language is other than English shall show proficiency in written and spoken English by:
 - 1. proving they are from a country where English is the only official language; or
 - 2. establishing that a prior bachelor's, master's or doctoral degree was earned from a regionally accredited college or university in the United States; or
 - 3. establishing that a prior bachelor's, master's or doctoral degree was earned from a country where English is the only official language, or a university at which English is the only official language of instruction; or
 - 4. submitting a qualifying score on the Test of English as a Foreign Language (TOEFL) or International English Language Testing System (IELTS). Qualifying scores for graduate applicants are: a TOEFL computer-based score of 220; a TOEFL internet-based score of 80 (or equivalent score on the paper-based test); or an IELTS score of 6.5. Specific programs may establish higher scores for qualification, and such information will be included in the Graduate Catalog and program website information for that specific program. Qualifying scores for undergraduate applicants are: a TOEFL computer-based score of 220, a TOEFL internet-based score of 80, a TOEFL paper-based score of 550, or an IELTS score of 6.5; or

- 5. completion of the English Language Institute's (ELI) level 48 or higher with a grade of a "B" or better; or
- 6. completion of EAP 2851 with a grade of a "C" or better or placement into ENC 1101; or
- 7. an onsite assessment by UCF ELI faculty qualified to administer English proficiency assessment and determining that the student is English proficient at the university level.
- (c) Students who are non-native speakers of English (and do not have a degree from a U.S. institution) must pass the <u>SPEAK_Versant English Speaking</u> exam administered by the UCF English Language Institute before they will be permitted to teach as a Graduate Teaching Associate or Graduate Teaching Assistant.
- (d) The graduate applicant shall have an overall GPA of at least 3.0 on a 4 point scale or equivalent.
- (e) The applicant shall furnish a detailed statement showing specific sources of a satisfactory level of financial support and the amount expected from each source.
- (f) The applicant shall submit a health examination form to the University physician indicating his fitness, mentally and physically to pursue a college level study program. In addition, all international students on an F or a J visa must submit proof of health insurance that complies with the requirements of BOG Regulation 6.009.
- (g) International student applications, undergraduate and graduate, along with all other records required for admission must be received by the program or university international application deadline, whichever is earliest, or the deadline must be waived by the university.

Authority: BOG Regulations 1.001 and 6.009. History—New 10-8-75, Amended 7-14-80, Formerly 6C7-2.09, Amended 3-16-03, Formerly 6C7-2.009, Amended 6-11-09, 12-19-16, _____-19.

ITEM: NG-3

UCF BOARD OF TRUSTEES March 21, 2019

Title: Nomination of Judy Duda for Honorary Doctoral Degree of Humane Letters

Background:

Since 1970, UCF has bestowed 85 honorary degrees to individuals who have made significant academic, cultural and scientific contributions to society.

Issues to be Considered:

Nomination of Judy Duda for Honorary Doctoral Degree of Humane Letters.

Alternatives to Decision:

Deny recommendation.

Fiscal Impact and Source of Funding:

None

Recommended Action:

Approve honorary doctorate nomination for consideration by full Board.

Authority for Board of Trustees Action:

UCF Regulation UCF-2.010(3); UCF Nominating and Governance Committee Charter Section 1.4: recommend candidates for designation as Honorary Doctor for consideration by the full board.

Committee Chair or Chairman of the Board approval:

Committee Chair Bill Yeargin approved.

Submitted by:

Tom Hope, Associate Vice President and Deputy Chief of Staff, on behalf of Thad Seymour, Interim President

Supporting Documentation:

Attachment A (Letter of Recommendation from Dean Jeffery Moore), Attachments B, C and D (support/background documents), Attachment E (President's Endorsement)

Facilitators/Presenters:

Dean Jeffrey Moore

Attachment A



UNIVERSITY OF CENTRAL FLORIDA

College of Arts & Humanities 12421 Aquarius Agora Dr. Orlando, FL 32816

February 18, 2019

Board of Trustees University of Central Florida 4000 Central Florida Blvd Orlando, FL 32816

Dear Board of Trustees,

I am both delighted and honored to write this letter of nomination and support for Mrs. Judy Duda to receive the Honorary Doctoral Degree of Humane Letters from the University of Central Florida. I feel qualified to offer this recommendation, since I have known Judy several years and have had the opportunity to work with her on numerous activities.

Judy Duda is an outstanding human being who leads by example. Guided by her faith in God and her Christian values, she has given generously of her time and talent to enhance the quality of life in our community. It goes without saying that her unselfish commitment is making a difference. Heavily involved in education, the visual and performing arts, history and other endeavors, Judy Duda has created a path for all of us to follow.

As Dean of the College of Arts and Humanities, I have long valued our College's relationship with this multi-faceted and dynamic individual. I consider myself to be extremely blessed to have Judy as the Chair of the Dean's Executive Council. As Chair, she consistently encourages others to support our programs through philanthropy, commitment and membership. Her influential strategic advice and her business savvy have been instrumental in supporting our faculty, students, and alumni. As founder of the Saint Luke's Lutheran Church's Concert Series, Judy is solely responsible for a twenty-five year continuous partnership between Saint Luke and UCF's Symphony Orchestra. Thanks to her indefatigable efforts and energy, our students have had the opportunity to perform to the best of their abilities and many of them have gone on to distinguish themselves as educators and professionals.

Judy is ageless and untiring as she continues to serve on charitable boards, committees, archival photography, historical records, documentaries, and as a member of the Board of Regents, of

her Alma Matter, Concordia University. I once asked Judy where did she get her energy and her reply was a simple one, "From God."

Lastly, I must comment on Judy Duda, the consummate leader and team player. She is courteous, polite and humorous. She possesses a magnetic personality and has been the recipient of prestigious awards and diverse accolades and yet, on the other hand, she shuns the limelight and is humble to a fault. Judy is a human being for all seasons and for all people. What she means to our university, her church and the community cannot be measured even by the most sophisticated computer. Judy Duda has clearly earned this recognition.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Moore, Dean

College of Arts & Humanities University of Central Florida



Judith M. Duda

Judith (Judy) Duda was born in Chicago, but has lived in Central Florida since 1958, when she arrived here to serve as a teacher at St. Luke's Lutheran School.

Educated at Concordia University, Chicago and Rollins College, Ms. Duda served in several teaching and music director positions in private schools between 1958-1979, while raising her family of four children, and has served as an organist and choir director for St. Luke's Lutheran Church/Oviedo, FL for many years.

Her interests in education, music and the arts and social ministry are reflected in the volunteer leadership roles she has filled as a member of the Board of Regents (Concordia University, Nebraska); as Chair of the Dean's Executive Council: Colleges of Arts & Humanities/University of Central FL; as former Vice-President of the Board of Trustees: WMFE, the Central Florida NPR/PBS affiliate; as Trustee of the statewide Florida Historical Society; President's Council: Concordia University, Chicago; Vice-President: Christian Service Center, Orlando; Vice-President: Lutheran Services Florida (statewide social ministry); President: Lutheran Counseling Services, Central Florida; Executive Committee: Albin Polasek Foundation; President: Carroll Mc Kenney Foundation; Trustee, United Arts of Central Florida and service to other entities.

Over the course of more than 45 years of volunteer service, she has chaired Capital Campaigns, Executive Searches and organized many special events on behalf of various community endeavors. In recognition of her effective service and leadership, she was honored with a Lifetime Achievement Award by Concordia University in 2016.

Judy is the Founder and Executive Director of the St. Luke's Concert Series, now celebrating its 25th season. She received the Seminole County Hearts for the Arts award in 2008 and has also received numerous personal tributes from performing groups and composers featured in St. Luke's Concert Series productions and by local media.

Since 1994, she has formed important collaborations with several local performing ensembles (some still in their *own* infancies) and maintained them, with very beneficial outcomes for the Concert Series, for the participants, and, above all, for the *community!!*

St. Luke's Concert Series offers *free admission to all* for 14 public performances each season, with annual attendance exceeding 10,000.

Its first "partner" was the UCF Symphony Orchestra, which performed its inaugural concert at St. Luke's in April, 1995, providing the university's music students and faculty performers with a much-needed venue. Since then, the Orchestra has logged more than 40 additional performances at St. Luke's, with cumulative audiences of well over 30,000 members of neighboring communities, who have been afforded the opportunity to experience the fine product of music education at UCF.

Other local ensembles that have benefited, similarly, from collaborations with the Concert Series are the Orlando Philharmonic Orchestra, the Orlando Concert Band and the Brass Band of Central Florida.

At present, Judy Duda also serves as Archivist for St. Luke's Lutheran Church & School and served, in past years, as historian for the Duda Family Council.

In that capacity, she has compiled and written meta data for a large digital collection of almost 2,000 historic images. This entire collection was shared with and is currently accessible on UCF's CentralFloridaMemory.org website, on which St. Luke's Lutheran Church and School is credited as a Partner with CFM.

She recently co-authored (with Dr. Paul Wehr, professor emeritus and Alicia Duffy, Visiting Professor, UCF) <u>Like a Mustard Seed: A Century of Faith</u> (2016)... a book encompassing 100+ years of local history, containing 1,000 of those images.

In 2015, she served as contributor and narrator for a TV documentary about Andrew Duda and the Slovak diaspora in Central FL, which was aired numerous times on "national TV" in Europe in 2015.

Her research and archival collections have also borne fruit in the production of several videos, the creation of large permanent wall displays at St. Luke's and via presentations she has made to local historical societies.

The highest priority in her life, however, is "family," which includes her wonderful husband, Col. Howard (Bud) Weber, her two sons, two daughters and their beloved spouses, nine "perfect" grandchildren and two extraordinary great-grandchildren.


Contact info: JUDY DUDA

Church office: 407/365-3408 - Ext 3508

(Preferred) Cell: 407/760-8536 (voice or text) ~ Home: 407/365-3616

email: judyduda1@bellsouth.net







As St. Luke's Lutheran Church celebrates the 20th anniversary of its iconic concert series, we honor the irrepressible inspiration behind Oviedo's connection to classical music, Judy Duda.

1993 was a happening year in the Central Florida music scene. The Orlando Philharmonic Orchestra was deep into its inaugural season, the Seminole Cultural Arts Council was about to be born, and a few hundred members of St. Luke's Lutheran Church had just sat down for a humble, free concert inside the church's new and glorious sanctuary. Eventually, these three musical parts would join with many others in perfect harmony

to make Oviedo – somewhat ironically and unexpectedly – the epicenter of classical music in Seminole County.

Today, 20 years later, the St. Luke's Concert Series is one of the largest and most well-reviewed and well-respected classical music exhibitions in Central Florida. It's taken a cast of thousands to turn the Concert Series into a self-sustaining success, but none of it would have happened without Judy Duda, the program's mainstay and maestro.

"We dedicated the new sanctuary at St. Luke's on November 20, 1993," remembers Judy, who first came to St. Luke's in 1958 as a teacher at the church's then-brand-new Lutheran school. "As was tradition, we hosted a fabulous organ concert to

introduce members to the sanctuary's incredible new pipe organ. After the concert, we just thought it would be wonderful to host more concerts in here."

It wasn't quite that easy, but in retrospect the early battles Judy fought to bring classical music to the church's sacred hall were as entertaining as the performances themselves.

"There was a lot of concern about showcasing secular music – even classical secular music – in the sanctuary," Judy says.

Discussions among church elders were serious and occasionally tense. It was finally decided that classical music could be permitted if, and only if,

the composer featured was Johann Sebastian Bach (a devout Lutheran).

"It was an opening, at least," Judy says with a laugh. "Next, we had to find a performance group who needed us as much as we needed them."

Judy didn't have to look far. The on-campus performance venue for the UCF Symphony Orchestra was, at the time, far less spectacular than the talent showcased inside. The UCF Symphony jumped at the chance to perform for a large crowd inside the new and acoustically superior St. Luke's sanctuary. Judy also helped form the Lutheran Cantata Choir, and performances by the two groups made up the very first St. Luke's Concert Series season.

From the beginning, Judy was committed to making the concerts free for all attendees, a gift of music from the church to the community. She also refused to burden the church with any financial responsibility. That meant the concerts had to be self-funded, which in the early days, simply meant Judy covered the cost herself.

"I made up simple flyers and copied them using the big Xerox machines at



Who says classical music can't be fun? After a recent space-themed concert by the Brass Band of Central Florida, two Stormtroopers embrace Judy Duda.

12 | Oviedo-Winter Springs Life · November/December 2013

the Duda & Sons office," says Judy, who married into the Oviedo founding family in 1959. "I typed the programs on my typewriter and cooked the preshow meal for the performers in my own kitchen. Whatever the costs were, I just wrote a check. We never asked or expected anyone to contribute to the concerts."

A few shows into the series, however, a funny thing began to happen. Crowds in the early days numbered a few hundred, almost exclusively St. Luke's members. To manage the small gathering, Judy enrolled the help of two or three gentlemen living at the adjacent Lutheran Haven retirement home to greet concertgoers at the door and hand out programs. After the shows, the elderly ushers would bring Judy a handful of \$5 and \$10 bills, tokens of appreciation from the audience. As the concerts grew in size and popularity (and diversity of composers), more patrons asked about ways to support the series. Judy eventually set up a mechanism for supporters to make donations, and she suddenly had the means to book other acts and market the concerts more broadly.

The series got another boost in the early 2000s. Mary Lou Andrews, the former mayor of Oviedo, had become a regular at the St. Luke's concerts, and as a board member of the Seminole Cultural Arts Council (SCAC), Mary Lou encouraged Judy to apply for the Council's various grant programs. Judy would eventually receive Seminole County's first "HeART for the Arts" award, and in lieu of a commemorative gift, Judy requested a modest line item in SCAC's annual budget to support the St. Luke's Concert Series.

The annual commitment and the growing support from concertgoers allowed Judy to partner with the Orlando Philharmonic Orchestra and add the group to the Concert Series program every season. A performance of the Orlando Phil will cost music lovers a pretty penny at the Bob Carr downtown, but it's still free at St. Luke's.

Audiences grew and now average more than 1,000 for every St. Luke's show. There are 11 concerts scheduled for the 2013-2014 season, including the immensely popular holiday concerts by the Brass Band of Central Florida on December 6 and 7.



"One of our main goals was to share God's wondrous gift of music with the community, and I think we're accomplishing that goal," says Judy, who now manages a corps of 20-30 volunteers at each show, including a full audio/video team and a licensed healthcare provider on site at each performance in case the need arises.




Some of Judy's favorite concerts include a mid-2000s performance by violinist Aiman Mussakhajayeva from Kazakhstan. A friend of UCF violin professor Ayako Yonetani, Aiman arrived in Oviedo carrying her \$4 million Stradivarius violin and full diplomatic credentials from Kazakhstan. She and Ayako teamed for a performance of the daunting "Bach Double," considered one of the most intricate and difficult concertos ever written for two violins.

Another highlight is more recent, the performance of Beethoven's Ninth Symphony during last year's series. The UCF Symphony Orchestra joined with a 95-member chorus to perform the symphony. "When they did Beethoven's Ode to Joy, everyone was weeping." Judy recalls with excitement.

"It's been an incredible experience during these last 20 years," says Judy, who has never taken a dime of concert proceeds for her efforts. "I can't wait to see what the next 20 years bring."







In 1993, members of the congregation of St. Luke's Lutheran Church in Oviedo got their first look at their spacious new sanctuary. The first thing they noticed was how big it was.

"The next thing we noticed was how great the acoustics were," says Judy Duda.

They decided to "share God's gift of music," as she puts it, by offering a free concert series in the sanctuary. Duda, who came to St. Luke's in 1958 as a 20-year-old teacher whose first classroom held 39 students ranging from first to third graders, was elected to lead the way.

"My strategy was: Find someone who needs you as much as you need them."

She and her team started tracking down groups in need of a venue and began spreading the word about the free concerts. "At first, getting an audience of 400 people was major cause for celebration," she says.

These days, the 25-year-old series consists of 14

free public performances per season and draws 12,000 listeners annually. The UCF Symphony Orchestra, the Lutheran Cantata Choir, the Orlando Philharmonic Orchestra, the Orlando Concert Band and the Brass Band of Central Florida are the primary performing troupes. A hundred volunteers usher and prepare catered meals for performers. All of which, after this season, will have to go on without their tireless executive director. You get the impression she'd stick around if she could. She's only

81. But her husband has been hinting for a while now that it would be nice to see a little more of her.

The series kicks off the concert season Sept. 15 with the Brass Band of Central Florida. stlukes-oviedo.org



ORLANDO ARTS MAGAZINE SEPTEMBER/OCTOBER 2018

November/December 2013 OVIEDOWINTER SPRINGS Vol. 12 • Issue 6

Publisher/Editor - Mary Pando

Managing Editor - Sheila Kramer

Associate Publisher Chip Colandreo

Business Manager Michael Kramer

Sales Representative Lauren Mitchell

Staff Writers

Jill Cousins • Crystal Lang Kristen Manieri • Shelley Ouellette Peter Reilly • Rebekah Riley • Margie Sloane

Contributing Writers
Rachel Caldwell, DMD • Selena Rocker

Photography Kelly Canova Photography Valari Canonico

Co-owned by Mary Pando, Sheila Kramer, and Michael Kramer, Oviedo-Winter Springs Life is published bi-monthly and is direct-mailed to more than 20,000 homes in Oviedo, Winter Springs, Tuskawilla, Chuluota and Geneva. An additional 2,000 copies are distributed through the Oviedo-Winter Springs Regional Chamber of Commerce, City Hall and Recreation & Parks facilities, schools, professional offices, and retail locations.

Oviedo-Winter Springs Life P.O. Box 950998 Lake Mary, FL 32795-0998 407-324-2644 • Fax: 407-831-3881

All information contained herein is provided as a service to readers and is not endorsed by the publisher. Oviedo-Winter Springs Life is not responsible for statements made by advertisers or writers. The publisher assumes no responsibility for error or misprints in copy or in any customer-approved advertising copy. No part of this publication may be reproduced without the written permission of the publisher. The publisher reserves the right to refuse advertising space at her discretion. All rights reserved. © Copyright 2013 by Oviedo-Winter Springs Life, Inc.

HAVE AN IDEA FOR A STORY?

Contact us at 407-365-1551, 407-324-2644, or email mary@OWSLife.com Attachment D

from the publisher

JUDY DUDA IS AN IRREFUTABLE GIFT TO OUR COMMUNITY

Although I learned of the St. Luke's Concert Series more than five years ago. I hadn't attended a single performance until last year when I went to a post-concert reception for the series' sponsors to take some photos for Oviedo-Winter Springs Life. I'm so glad I did. It was a truly amazing experience—a delight to the senses—and I highly recommend that you do your best to attend one of this year's anniversary concerts.

— Non Pando

I am so pleased to share our cover story with you this issue. It is not only because of my fondness for Judy, but also because of what she's given to our community. If you have never personally met Judy Duda, let me first share my impression of this high-octane septuagenarian with the energy, quick wit, and spunk of a college kid.

Judy is the founder of the remarkable St. Luke's Concert Series, now celebrating 20 years in our community, but her bio is still being written.

There's an infectious spirit in Judy that inspires us to excel in our own lives. She continues to serve on charitable boards, executive committees, and councils such as WMFE, University of Central Florida, Albin Polasek Foundation, Lutheran Services of Florida, Concordia Foundation, and St. Luke's Lutheran Church. It's no wonder she was presented with the inaugural "HeART for the Arts" award by the Seminole Cultural Arts Council in 2008.

Judy's penchant for archiving was put to the test last year during the St. Luke's centennial, when she created a digital collection of images and metadata to produce four videos as well as permanent, museum-quality displays for the celebration. For the past two years she's been working on a 200-page digital compilation of archival photos and historical narrative of the Slavia community in Oviedo entitled *Like a Mustard Seed – A Century of Faith*. Soon to be published, this work will share the rich history of the founding families of Slavia, St. Luke's Lutheran Church and School, and the Lutheran Haven. Judy is currently narrating a documentary to include this history and the Duda family's legacy to be broadcast in Slovakia.

Judy's roots in our community go back more than 55 years when she began to make her mark as one of only three teachers at St. Luke's school. Today, she is an important part of cultivating the iconic Duda family name in our community. Make it a point to experience the St. Luke's Concert Series this year, and you'll see why it is celebrating two decades of fine, cultural entertainment.

- Mary Pando



Oviedo~Winter Springs
Regional Chamber of Commerce









Attachment E

UNIVERSITY OF CENTRAL FLORIDA

Office of the President

P.O. Box 160002 Orlando, FL 32816-0002

March 5, 2019

Mr. William Yeargin, Chair Nominating and Governance Committee UCF Board of Trustees University of Central Florida Orlando, FL 32816

Dear Chair Yeargin:

I endorse the nomination made by Dean Jeffrey Moore for Judy Duda to be awarded an Honorary Doctoral Degree of Humane Letters from UCF.

The many contributions Mrs. Duda has made in the areas of education, the visual and performing arts and history, to name a few, have made Central Florida and our state a richer place. I believe her to be eminently qualified for this distinction.

Sincerely,

Thad Seymour, J

Phone: 407.823.1823 • Fax: 407.823.2264
An Equal Opportunity and Affirmative Action Institution